

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the January 19, 2006, Meeting
Page 1

A regularly scheduled meeting of the Carson City Board of Supervisors was held on Thursday, January 19, 2006, at the Community Center Sierra Room, 851 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada, beginning at 8:30 a.m.

PRESENT:	Marv Teixeira	Mayor
	Robin Williamson	Supervisor, Ward 1
	Shelly Aldean	Supervisor, Ward 2
	Pete Livermore	Supervisor, Ward 3
	Richard S. Staub	Supervisor, Ward 4
STAFF PRESENT:	Linda Ritter	City Manager
	Dave Dawley	Assessor
	Alan Glover	Clerk-Recorder
	Noel Waters	District Attorney
	Al Kramer	Treasurer
	Sheila Banister	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer
	Andrew Burnham	Public Works Director
	Larry Werner	City Engineer
	Sally Edwards	Librarian
	Roger Moellendorf	Parks and Recreation Director
	Melanie Bruketta	Chief Deputy District Attorney
	Joe McCarthy	Redevelopment/Economic Development Manager
	Cheryl Adams	Purchasing and Contracts Manager
	Juan Guzman	Open Space Manager
	Katherine McLaughlin	Recording Secretary
	Justine Chambers	Contracts Coordinator
	(BOS 1/19/05 Recording 8:31:23)	

NOTE: Unless otherwise indicated, each item was introduced by staff's reading/outlining/clarifying the Agenda Report and/or supporting documentation. Staff members making the presentation are listed following Department's heading. Any other individuals who spoke are listed immediately following the item heading. A tape recording of these proceedings is on file in the Clerk-Recorder's office. This tape is available for review and inspection during normal business hours.

CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL, PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE, INVOCATION - Mayor Teixeira convened the meeting at 8:31 a.m. Roll call was taken. The entire Board was present, constituting a quorum. Supervisor Livermore led the Pledge of Allegiance. Rev. Bruce Kochsmeier of the First Presbyterian Church gave the Invocation.

CITIZEN COMMENTS (8:33:48) - None.

- 1. ACTION ON APPROVAL OF MINUTES (8:33:05)** - None.
- 2. AGENDA MODIFICATIONS (8:34:01)** - Item 8A was deferred to another meeting.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the January 19, 2006, Meeting
Page 2

3. SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS - PRESENTATION OF LENGTH OF SERVICE AWARDS TO CITY EMPLOYEES (8:34:20) - Human Resource Director Lisa Roth introduced each recipient. Mayor Teixeira presented the awards and congratulated each employee. Ten year awards were presented to: Larry Giurlani; Robert Guimont; Douglas Leet; Duane Lemons; Betty Sealy; Ken Shannon; and Donald White. Fifteen year awards were presented to: Jay Ahrens; Maxine Cotton; Debbie Devall; Bob Keith; Carol McIntyre; Robert Meitzner; Kenneth Steel, Jr.; Johnnie Tuttle; Charles Voight, Jr.; LeAnn Warne, and Elaine Werlinger. Twenty year awards were presented to: Tom Case; Shannon Evans; Dianna Fusano; Curtis Horton; Dana McKelvey; and Janis Smerdon. Twenty-five year awards were presented to: Harold Bruce Bowen; Dennis Howard; Susan Orsbern; and David Stultz. A 30-year award was presented to Kenneth Engels. No formal action was required or taken.

4. LIQUOR AND ENTERTAINMENT BOARD (8:43:05) -- Mayor Teixeira recessed the Board of Supervisors session and immediately convened the Liquor and Entertainment Board. For Minutes of the Liquor and Entertainment Board, see its folder.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (8:51:56) - Following adjournment of the Liquor and Entertainment Board, Mayor Teixeira reconvened the Board of Supervisors session. The entire Board was present, constituting a quorum.

5. CONSENT AGENDA (8:52:10)

5-1. PURCHASING AND CONTRACTS

A. ACTION TO APPROVE CONTRACT NO. 0506-099, A REQUEST FOR THE PURCHASE OF ONE (1) CATERPILLAR 143H MOTOR GRADER FROM CASHMAN EQUIPMENT COMPANY FOR A NOT TO EXCEED COST OF \$223,565 EXEMPT FROM COMPETITIVE BIDDING

B. ACTION TO EXTEND CONTRACT NO. 0203-097, A JOINDER CONTRACT WITH CORPORATE EXPRESS, MY OFFICE PRODUCTS.COM, AND OFFICE DEPOT TO PURCHASE OFFICE SUPPLIES THROUGH MARCH 31, 2006, AND AUTHORIZE ALL CITY DEPARTMENTS TO BE ABLE TO UTILIZE THIS CONTRACT PROVIDED CARSON CITY'S APPROVED FUNDING AND PURCHASING PROCEDURES ARE FOLLOWED

C. ACTION TO EXTEND CONTRACT NO. 0405-081 JOINDER PERMISSIVE PRICE AGREEMENT WITH TRIMBLE NAVIGATION TO PURCHASE GPS EQUIPMENT, GIS MAPPING, TOTAL STATIONS AND ALL G AND E PRODUCTS THROUGH NOVEMBER 30, 2006, PROVIDED CARSON CITY'S APPROVED FUNDING AND PURCHASING PROCEDURES ARE FOLLOWED

D. ACTION TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO DETERMINE THAT THE 166 PIECES OF MISCELLANEOUS COMPUTER EQUIPMENT HAVE REACHED THE END OF THEIR USEFUL LIVES AND WILL BE DONATED TO ANOTHER GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR TO A REQUESTING NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION CREATED FOR RELIGIOUS, CHARITABLE OR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES AS SET FORTH IN NEVADA REVISED STATUTE 372.3261 (FILE 0506-102)

E. ACTION TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO DETERMINE THAT THE 1991 CHEVROLET PICK-UP TRUCK VIN

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the January 19, 2006, Meeting
Page 3

1GTDC14Z8MZ517815 HAS REACHED THE END OF ITS USEFUL LIFE AND IS THEREBY DONATED TO THE CARSON CITY AIRPORT AUTHORITY (FILE 0506-104)

5-2. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - CONTRACTS

A. ACTION TO ACCEPT PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION AND ACCEPT THE CONTRACT ISSUED FOR THE EMERGENCY FIRE STATION 1 ROOF REPAIR PROJECT, CONTRACT NO. 2005-125, TO NRC ROOFING, INC., 3020 DEER RUN ROAD, CARSON CITY, NV 89701-1427 FOR A CONTRACT AMOUNT OF \$134,760 AND A CONTINGENCY AMOUNT OF \$20,214

B. ACTION TO ACCEPT THE WORK AS COMPLETE AND APPROVE THIS RELEASE OF FINAL PAYMENT ON CRAIN STREET RECONSTRUCTION, CONTRACT NO. 2005-073, AS SUBMITTED BY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES TO A&K EARTH MOVERS, INC., 12251 TRUCKEE CANYON COURT, SPARKS, NEVADA 89434 FOR A FINAL PAYMENT AMOUNT OF \$21,000 AND ACCEPT THE CONTRACT SUMMARY AS PRESENTED - Supervisor Livermore moved to approve the Consent Agenda consisting of five items from Purchasing and Contracts and two items from Development Services - Contracts, with the addition of Resolution Numbers 2006-R-2 to Item 5-1D and 2006-R-3 to Item 5-1E, for a total of seven items. Mayor Teixeira asked that Item 5-1A be pulled for discussion. Supervisor Livermore amended his motion to remove the item from the Consent Agenda leaving a total of six items, four items from Purchasing and Contracts and two from Development Services - Contracts with the resolution numbers as stated. Supervisor Staub seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

5-1A. (8:53:34) - Discussion between Mayor Teixeira and Public Works Operations Manager Tom Hoffert explained that Streets currently shares a grader with the landfill operation. The plan is to retire it and put the new grader on the streets within the right-of-ways. The grader used full-time by Streets will be transferred to the landfill and become the backup for Streets. Supervisor Livermore moved to approve Contract No. 0506-099, a request for the purchase of one Caterpillar 143H Motor Grader from Cashman Equipment for a not to exceed cost of \$223,565 exempt from competitive bidding; funding sources as designated in the agenda item packet. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

6. PARKS AND RECREATION - Open Space Manager Juan Guzman - **ACTION TO ACCEPT AN OFFER FROM THE U.S. FOREST SERVICE TO PURCHASE THE FORMER GILBERT PROPERTY FROM CARSON CITY LOCATED AT KINGS CANYON ON THE SOUTHEAST SIDE OF KINGS CANYON ROAD AT THE END OF THE PAVEMENT (APNS 7-061-72 THROUGH -75) (8:55:20) -** Mr. Guzman had not received any verbal or written protests on the sale. Public comments were solicited but none were given. Supervisor Aldean moved to accept an offer from the U.S. Forest Service to purchase the former Gilbert property from Carson City, located at Kings Canyon on the southeast side of Kings Canyon Road at the end of the pavement, APNs 7-061-72 through 75; fiscal impact will be an increase to the Open Space fund by approximately \$1.12 million. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0. Mr. Guzman indicated that the "check is in the mail" and that he will call the Forest Service and advise them that the process has been completed. The money will become part of the Open Space fund.

7. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - PLANNING AND ZONING - Community Development Director Walter Sullivan

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the January 19, 2006, Meeting
Page 4

A. ACTION TO APPROVE AN ABANDONMENT OF PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY APPLICATION FROM HELEN GARDNER-TREADWELL, SYMANTHA GARDNER-ZINK, BENJAMIN W. LEVY AND DONNA J. GEARHART-LEVY, TO ALLOW ABANDONMENT OF A PORTION OF PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY KNOWN AS ARDEN WAY; EAST OF SOUTH EDMONDS DRIVE AND SOUTH OF KOONTZ LANE, LOCATED AT 4025 SOUTH EDMONDS DRIVE AND 3949 SOUTH EDMONDS DRIVE, APN'S 010-185-18 AND 010-185-17, SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL CONTAINED IN THE STAFF REPORT AND TO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR TO SIGN THE ORDER OF ABANDONMENT - FILE AB-05-136 (8:58:10) - City Engineer Larry Werner, Ferrel's Attorney Julian Smith, Ben Levy, Helen Treadwell, Richard Jones, "Chuck" Charles Ferrel - Supervisor Aldean explained the Board's direction to staff in September regarding an abandonment application for this area. She felt that the proposed solution was more onerous than the original one had been. Mr. Sullivan explained staff's efforts to find a compromise. The proposal is a result of that effort. The proposal is supported by three of the four property owners. He recommended that the Board accept the proposed recommendation or leave the public right-of-way as it is. Staff believed that the proposal was reasonable as the one property owner who objects to it still has access from Conti Drive. Three of the four property owners support the proposed abandonment. He also felt that unanimous consensus may not be reached on a proposal which would provide a 20 or 25-foot wide access way over Arden Way. Mr. Werner explained staff's concerns regarding who will maintain the access way and enforce weed control and City Codes. Mr. Sullivan explained that the Board should abandon or keep the right-of-way. If it is abandoned, a utility easement should be maintained. The property owners can then sort out the other issues when zoning matters are addressed.

Supervisor Livermore explained his involvement since September 2005 with the property. He did not see a public benefit to the abandonment. The application indicates that it allows access to two properties which were granted in the government tract subdivision. An abandonment serves only one or two of the property owners. It will deny access for one or two individuals who have enjoyed the use for years. Mr. Sullivan explained that public benefits are considered when applications for abandonment in the downtown area for parking, etc., are considered. This right-of-way was created by taking 30 feet from two adjoining properties. The proposal abandons the City's interest in having a road at that location. Supervisor Livermore explained that it is public property and the roadway has been used for 30 years. The proposal will now deny that access and eliminate any public use in the future. He could not see a benefit from the abandonment. He did not favor giving up a benefit when it creates an expense for someone else. Mr. Sullivan indicated that this was the same position that two of the Commissioners had taken as indicated by their Nay votes. Mr. Werner then explained the liability issues placed on the City for such rights-of-way and reasons for abandoning them in the future. If it is a public right-of-way, it needs to be constructed to street standards or the City can be held liable if someone is hurt on it. If it is not designed and constructed as a street, it should be barricaded to halt access. He acknowledged that there are similar rights-of-way throughout the City that do not meet City Code. These rights-of-way have been identified as county roads which are not maintained. If the rights-of-way are used, then the City is making the residents bring them up to Code. Supervisor Livermore pointed out that the trails master plan has identified lots of property that are unprescribed easements and used by the public. The same is true with this right-of-way. Mr. Werner agreed that the property owner to the south wants to use the right-of-way as a roadway.

Mr. Sullivan indicated that he was not aware of any future need for the right-of-way. Supervisor Staub

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the January 19, 2006, Meeting
Page 5

disclosed that he has had discussions with the Ferrels regarding their concerns. He also disclosed that he had lived across the street from the Ferrels on Conte Drive. He indicated that they are not landlocked. Supervisor Aldean disclosed that she has also had a discussion with Georgia Ferrel. She does not represent Ms. Ferrel, whose attorney is present. She had hoped that the issues could have been worked out. She felt that, as the Ferrels are the ones who use the right-of-way, they may be willing to maintain the area. To do so would require a private agreement among the four parties. She preferred to see a solution from which all of the parties will receive a benefit. Supervisor Williamson disclosed that she had also talked to Ms. Ferrel. She had told her that it is better if the neighbors work out their problems rather than bring it to the Board. The tenor of the discussion indicated to her that there are a lot of animosities among the property owners and that the Board will have to make the final decision.

Discussion between Mr. Werner and Supervisor Staub indicated that, if the property reverts to the property owner on the south, it would be a private easement. The City would then not have any liability due to its use. Mr. Werner reminded the Board that he is not a District Attorney. Supervisor Staub stated his desire to have it on the record that the City would not be liable at that point. Public comments were then solicited.

Mr. Smith advised that he represents the Ferrels. It is a public street with four abutting property owners. The proposal is to abandon the property to two of the property owners. He explained his calculation of the value of the right-of-way indicates that it is worth \$60,000. Under the proposal only two of the property owners will benefit from the abandonment. It is an unimproved public street which is called Arden Way. It does not have any street signs. It has always been on the "books". He felt that giving the street to two of the four abutting property owners would be a violation of the law. The City must convey the property to the abutting property owners based on the contribution made by the property owners' predecessors which is should equal to all four parties. He acknowledged an effort to reach a compromise regarding the abandonment without sacrificing public access, which he believed "is a valuable property value". He alleged that elimination of the Ferrels' access to the property from Arden Way will make half of their property unuseable. This is a loss of \$150,000 to them. This is the reason he had been retained to represent them. It is a suburban residential area. He did not agree with either the District Attorney or Supervisor Staub's opinions. The Statute requires an abandoned public street to be given to the abutting property owners. It does not spell out a variance in the amount based on the amount of frontage the property owners have. He then explained that a 25-foot public access or private access easement up the center of Arden to the eastern properties does not provide the required Fire Department access. The Fire Department needs 30-feet with a "Y".

Discussion between Supervisor Aldean and Mr. Werner indicated that the Fire Department requires a private driveway with restricted access to be a 20-foot wide access. This requires each property owner to dedicate 12½ feet. Clarification indicated that the road would have to access onto the Ferrels' property to the east and not deadend before it reaches their property. City staff had investigated the area when the abandonment was requested. It was determined that no vehicles had traversed the right-of-way until after the application was filed. It is an unimproved right-of-way. Mr. Werner was uncertain whether it would be possible for a vehicle to traverse the area today. Mr. Smith noted that his letters are in the "file".

Discussion between Mr. Smith and Supervisor Staub indicated that the public has not used the public road with the exception of Mr. Smith's clients, the Ferrels. Supervisor Staub explained that this issue deals with the City's need to keep the road. For that reason, he wanted it on the record whether or not the public uses

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the January 19, 2006, Meeting
Page 6

it. Mr. Smith was uncertain how often the Ferrels' use the road. He felt that the number of times that they use it should not matter as they have used it and they should have access over it. The access is of value to them as it allows them access to the back of their lot. As the access is there, if it is taken by the public, the abutting property owners should be compensated for it. If the proposal abandons the property to all four owners, then he would not have a case. The Ferrels did not file an application for abandonment as they do not want the City to abandon the road. It provides a public street to the back of their lot. He also pointed out that the other eastern neighbor, the Crowes, do not want the abandonment without providing for a sewer easement. This neighbor is not concerned about the access. The Ferrels want to keep the access. They have it today. They do not want to give away \$60,000 to the two neighbors and ultimately cost the Ferrels' \$150,000 in value from their property.

Mr. Levy explained that he owns the property to the north. Ms. Treadwell advised that she owns the property on the south. The 30 feet provided for the right-of-way was taken from BLM and their lots at a time when the area was surrounded by BLM property. The area taken from their lots provided access to the BLM property only. It has not been used as a road. After the Planning Commission meeting, the property owner on the back of her lot attempted to take hay to the back of his lot. They had to put in a gate in their solid fence to do so. She had provided weed and litter control of the area. She alleged to have a letter from her next door neighbor indicating that the neighbor had never seen anyone use the right-of-way. The Ferrels and Crowes have 1¼ acres. Ms. Treadwell and Mr. Levy each have only one acre due to the 30-foot right-of-way. She alleged that the only indication of the 30-foot right-of-way is on a "water map". This map has never been processed or the road developed. She claimed that the Ferrels want to subdivide their property and need the road for an access to it for that reason. They purportedly want to build a home and sell the subdivided lot for inheritance for their children, etc. The land was taken was from Mr. Levy and Ms. Treadwell. It was not the Ferrels and never has been. The City crew had to come out and redid the ditch during the rainstorm because the Ferrels destroyed the drainage ditch when they attempted to take the hay to the back of their lot. She believed that there had been a lot of miscommunication on where the land came from. She alleged that the City has never owned the right-of-way or purchased it. Mr. Sullivan indicated that it is a "reservation".

Mr. Levy indicated that he liked the attorney's numbers. It makes his property more valuable. He felt that the majority of the statements that have been made indicate that the right-of-way has been developed. It is not. The proposed development will, in the future, develop the road. He questioned who will be responsible for development of the road and the liability if people continue to use the right-of-way. He had only seen the Ferrels use it on one occasion. A ditch crosses Arden Way which makes it difficult to access the right-of-way. He alleged that the Ferrels used his driveway to cross behind his home and reach the right-of-way. This usage makes him liable if something happens to anyone wanting to access the right-of-way. He advised that he is not willing to accept this liability. He also advised that he had not been asked for permission to cross his property. The Ferrels installed a gate in their fence approximately 3½ months ago and had only used the right-of-way on one occasion to take hay to their horses. He also explained that during one of the numerous meetings regarding the abandonment a value of \$112,000 had been placed on the strip. A different formula for different property suggested that the value is \$129,000. The City does not own the strip. It was taken from their property. Arden Way has not been developed. It is a public right-of-way but has not been used by the public. It is accessible to only the Ferrels. He, Ms. Treadwell and the Crowes do not need the right-of-way to access their property. If the abandonment is not granted, it will be a private access. Discussion between Mr. Levy and Supervisor Livermore indicated that Mr. Levy does not currently live on his property. He has

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the January 19, 2006, Meeting
Page 7

owned it since September 2004. Supervisor Livermore explained a letter that had purportedly been sent to Mr. Levy regarding a fence that he had put across the back of his lot. Mr. Levy asked what address the letter had been sent to as he was not aware of that letter. Mr. Levy indicated that he had been informed by the City Engineer that he could not fence off the right-of-way. He made the decision to construct the fence.

Supervisor Livermore explained the process to legitimately close a public right-of-way. It requires all residents to participate and benefit. He felt that it was unfortunate that the residents could not reach an agreement on the abandonment and that the Board has been placed in a position of making a decision. Supervisor Livermore advised that he would not support an abandonment that would benefit some parties but not all parties.

Mr. Levy explained that he had fenced the property in an effort to keep his dogs on his property. He did not want them to be a nuisance for his neighbors or be hit on Edmonds. He had forgotten that there was an easement on his property. His son was at the house and was told by the City about it. He moved the fence shortly thereafter. He has since talked to the Crowes who want a utility easement. Supervisor Staub asked that they put on the record their opinion regarding the ability to reach a private solution to the abandonment request. Mr. Levy responded that an agreement among the four parties had not been reached. An agreement between three of the four parties has been reached. The agreement is the one that has been submitted. Supervisor Staub then asked if their testimony is that neither of them are willing to grant the Ferrels a restrictive easement. Ms. Treadwell then explained that it had been explained to her by an unidentified party as well as her insurance company that granting access places a great burden upon her and Mr. Levy if a road is put through and that they are liable for. She also found it interesting that they want to use the right-of-way for access to the back of their lot and obtain a change of zoning for a half acre lot which they want to sell. She reiterated that the original land had not been taken from Mr. Crowe or Mr. Ferrel. The land was taken from her and Mr. Levy. She also alleged that work on the abandonment request had started several years ago. At that there were no objections to the abandonment. The increased value of the property in their area has created a change of mind regarding the property. She felt that an individual who is present could explain the matter from a surveyor's point of view. Supervisor Staub again asked if there was a private solution. Ms. Treadwell felt that the only other solution was the suggestion that Mr. Levy and she purchase the property. She did not have the funds to purchase it. She did not believe that Mr. Levy had the funds to buy the property. Supervisor Staub explained that the land had not been taken from Mr. Levy or Ms. Treadwell. It was taken from the parcel when the original map was filed. Ms. Treadwell concurred.

Mr. Jones explained that he is a professional, Nevada licensed, land surveyor. At Ms. Treadwell's request he had looked at all aspects of the ownership, its creation, and its current status. The original patent was in 1864. At that time it was a 2½ acre parcel. It was later split to create two lots which are now Ms. Treadwell and Mr. Levy's lots. Arden was created as an access and utility easement reservation by taking land from their lots. No other property or lots are involved. This reservation was established, in his opinion, for access to the BLM property to the east. That land was subsequently patented by BLM to private interests which were then subdivided. At that point Conte Drive and the extension of Valley View were created as part of the subdivision for access to those properties. The Arden Way access was never intended at the time of creation to be an access to private land. It was for access to public lands. When the actual subdivision occurred, which Mr. Ferrel's property and other surrounding properties were recorded, Conte Drive and Valley View were extended specifically for the purpose of providing proper access to those properties. Had the previous owners

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the January 19, 2006, Meeting
Page 8

of the property now occupied by Ms. Treadwell and Mr. Levy come to the City and requested an abandonment of Arden Way, it may have been granted when Valley View was extended and Conte Drive was created. Their purpose was to provide access to those lots. Ms. Treadwell and Mr. Levy's lots were the only lots involved in the creation of the easement. The easement was created by reservation of 30 feet on each side of their boundary line. No other parcel at that time was part of the reservation procedure. In his opinion, he firmly believed that there is no vested or dictated right by anyone other than Treadwell and Levy in this property and this 60-foot wide access easement. The City never developed it as a roadway. Even if the City had developed it as a roadway, under an abandonment procedure, the property would revert to those adjoining properties from which the easement was originally created. It is his belief that, under the abandonment procedure, the property should be returned to the parcels from which it originally was reserved. He recognized the issue regarding a sewer right-of-way to the properties to the north—Mr. Ferrel's and other properties. Normally, in a subdivision process, a public utility easement is created along the side, rear, and front lot lines. This is addressed in the conditions requiring that a ten-foot wide easement be reserved for utility purposes along either side of the common line between Levy and Treadwell properties. This will allow for the installation of a sewer line from the properties to the east down to Edmonds Drive. He felt that this was a proper solution to the request for an abandonment. Supervisor Livermore explained that his parcel map indicates that on opposite sides of the Levy and Treadwell parcels are other one acre parcels and then the parcels become 1.9 acres in size. He questioned how the entire easement was taken from two specific lots and not the four lots. Mr. Jones explained that the one acre parcels were created after the 30-foot wide easement was reserved from the two adjoining parcels. The parcels were originally 2½ acres in size. There is a series of maps created by BLM and parcel maps that were privately recorded that indicate that the parcel was originally 2½ acres as created by BLM with the 30-foot reservation on each side of the line. The subsequent parcels to the south of Ms. Treadwell and the north of Mr. Levy had divided the remaining 2½ acre parcel in half. The 30-foot easement did not impact either of the adjoiners to the south and north. Supervisor Livermore indicated that when the 30-foot easement was removed, they had divided the remaining portions of the 2½ acre lots into two - one acre parcels. He felt that any monetary or property loss should be afforded to all four parcels. Mr. Jones disagreed. He did not believe that there was a monetary loss. It is a question of ownership. When BLM exchanged the original parcels with the person who took it into private ownership under the patent, the BLM had only had a desire to maintain an access to the public lands to the east. Valley View and Koontz were not extended through there.

(9:50:26) Mr. Ferrel indicated that they do not use the road. He had seldom used it. On one occasion in 1974 he had used his dirt bike to access his parcel over it to determine where the house should be. He had installed a gate in the fence originally. The BLM did not want the gate in the fence when he obtained two Mustangs. The horses are now old and lame and are not interested in leaving the property. The right-of-way is vital to him to get hay to the back of his lot as he can no longer carry it from the side of his house to where the horses are located. He had driven the right-of-way in a two-wheel drive vehicle to deliver the hay and could do so after the flood. He claimed to be able to drive through the desert in a fashion that it would be difficult to determine that he had driven through and that it would be done without tearing up the landscaping. He then explained that when he built a 24x64 foot garage and shop he obtained his neighbor's approval. He was not required to obtain it but he did it anyway. That structure and the house are located on a half acre. His neighbors could do the same thing as he had with the house, garage, barn, and fencing. Approval of the abandonment will hurt him. Although he alleged to not have any plans to split the property now, as it provides a buffer between him and his neighbors, his children may want to in the future. They could then sell

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the January 19, 2006, Meeting
Page 9

it. They will need the road to reach the area. He contended that he would not profit from the use of the roadway and did not want it abandoned for that reason.

Mr. Levy then reiterated that access to Arden Way is through his driveway. It is not possible at this time to get through with a two-wheel drive vehicle. Mr. Ferrel has a nice garage. Mr. Levy wanted a barn. He was immediately faced with an argument from the Ferrels that it will obstruct their view.

Mr. Smith pointed out that the truth is that the applicants had purchased one acre lots. His client has 1¼ acre lot. It is assumed that all of the lots were purchased at the market price. The abandonment of the road will deny access to the back of his client's lot. Attempts to compromise were unsuccessful. We do not want to lose our access. Additional public comments were solicited but none were given.

Supervisor Staub explained that the Board could force a private compromise by denying the application as it will force them to negotiate a compromise. He was elected to represent the City at large. There is no intent for the public to use Arden Way. It is a huge liability to the City if it continues to remain as it is. The City does not have the funds or time to improve, fence or restrict access to it. The Board/City should reduce its liability whenever possible. When considering the history of Arden Way and the lack of intent to use it they should grant the abandonment due to the liability the City has on an ongoing basis about it.

Supervisor Williamson moved to approve an abandonment of public right-of-way application from Helen Gardner-Treadwell, Symantha Gardner-Zink, Benjamin W. Levy, and Donna J. Gearhart-Levy, to allow abandonment of a portion of public right-of-way known as Arden Way; east of South Edmonds Drive and south of Koontz Lane, located at 4025 South Edmonds Drive and 3949 South Edmonds Drive, APNs 010-185-18 and 010-185-17, subject to the conditions of approval contained in the staff report and to authorize the Mayor to sign the order of abandonment. Supervisor Staub seconded the motion. Additional comments were solicited but none were given. The motion was voted by roll call with the following result: Supervisor Williamson - Aye; Supervisor Staub - Aye; Supervisor Aldean - No; Supervisor Livermore - No; and Mayor Teixeira - I am on the catbird seat. You have been dealing with this for as long as I can remember. I have been dealing with it as long as I have been here, It is really unfortunate that four neighbors can't get together and cut a deal. That is unfortunate. I hate like hell to do this. We are not just going to set here and go back and waste the staff's time and try to cut a deal. You want the easement. They don't want it through their property. Three out of four wins. I vote for the motion. Motion carried 3-2.

B. ACTION TO CONSIDER A TENTATIVE PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION KNOWN AS EAGLE VILLAGE CONDOMINIUM PROJECT FROM WESTERN ENGINEERING (PROPERTY OWNERS: MICHAEL AND JUDITH BRAY AND JONATHAN AND SUSAN WARREN) TO DEVELOP 36 MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS (CONDOMINIUMS) ON 3.66 ACRES NORTH OF EAGLE STATION LANE, ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER 009-123-32 BASED ON THE STAFF REPORT FINDINGS AND SUBJECT TO THE RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL AS CONTAINED IN THE STAFF REPORT - FILE TPUD-05-191 AND SUP-05-192 (10:00:14) - Applicant's Representative Dennis Smith of Western Engineering - Mayor Teixeira disclosed that he had a conversation with the applicant and had watched the Board's previous meeting on this application. He understood the project, therefore, it was not necessary to have a complete review of the Planning Commission's decision.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the January 19, 2006, Meeting
Page 10

Mr. Smith explained the application and acknowledged the Board's concerns expressed at the last meeting. The proposed use was felt to be the highest and best use of the property. Its lack of development as a senior care facility as purportedly approved by the Board on several previous occasions was noted. The project contains 36 housing units for "the older, empty nester type" residents. Justification for their wanting this type of housing was provided. He alleged to have a video illustrating similar housing in the Gardnerville-Minden area. This style of residential housing is "not appropriate housing for a family". A sound wall surrounding the project will provide screening. Ample parking will be provided. The residents will understand the noise that will be created by the adjacent commercial uses. This project style has worked well in other areas. Amenities are being considered which will enhance the lifestyle of the residents. They are considering providing covered parking. He assured the Board that it will be part of the plan. He then stipulated that the covered parking will be in addition to the garages. This concept recognizes that most people have two cars and will want to park their vehicles out of the weather. The property is part of the CC&Rs for surrounding property. They are attempting to "de-annex" it from the surrounding property. This will keep the surrounding commercial property from being influenced by 36 new home owners. Clarification then indicated that he was voluntarily stipulating this. Mr. Smith also indicated that "they have been working with Economic Development to take it out." He then advised that they were "de-annexed out of the architectural review of the existing property owner's association in the area. The architectural review has been given to the property owners." Clarification indicated that Mr. Smith is a member of the Architectural Review Committee and that he will step down when it considers the application.

Supervisor Williamson disclosed that she had met Mr. Smith and the developers. It is their contention that the City's mixed use of commercial establishments on the lower floor and residential uses on the upper floors does not work. The City's "Envision" Master Plan encourages the introduction of residential uses in commercial areas. She believed that as long as the residents are cognizant of what they are acquiring, it could bring value to the South Carson commercial area.

Mr. Smith explained that the sound walls are pre-manufactured concrete walls that are used for highway noise abatement. They are eight feet tall and slide together. They will be attractive with an architectural feature. They do not look like a concrete wall. They look like a block wall.

Supervisor Staub disclosed that he had also met with Mr. Smith and the applicants. He was originally concerned about the residents who purchase the units in the future and future changes in the commercial uses. The first buyers will be well informed about their surroundings. The land owners should know that the uses may not remain as they are currently. Mr. Smith indicated that there will be deed restrictions indicating that there are commercial uses surrounding the units and that they may change. Supervisor Staub felt that future Boards may look back at the present Board's decision and question the reasons for approving the application today. Future residents will object en masse to the commercial gases, fumes, noises, expansion up and not out, etc. He also recognized that mixed uses are part of the "Envision" Master Plan. He was, therefore, willing to approve the project with the greatest degree of trepidation.

Supervisor Livermore disclosed that he had met with the applicants. He was familiar with the Minden project. The project is doable in the proposed location. Future buyers will be aware of the existing three commercial properties and their operations. He is committed to the process of future economic and redevelopment which will include additional residential developments in commercial areas. He was uncertain of the locations where

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the January 19, 2006, Meeting
Page 11

this will occur but felt that the Board must recognize the changes in Carson City's makeup and residential areas. He had voiced his concerns to the applicant and, based on that, will support the project.

Supervisor Williamson moved to approve a Tentative Planned Unit Development application known as Eagle Village Condominium Project from Western Engineering, property owners; Michael and Judith Bray and Jonathan and Susan Warren, to develop 36 multi-family residential dwelling units, condominiums, on 3.66 acres north of Eagle Station Lane, Assessor's Parcel Number 009-123-32, based on the staff report findings and subject to the recommended conditions of approval as contained in the staff report including de-annexation of the commercial CC&Rs, and his being off the Architectural Review Committee, and covered parking and de-annexation to take place after the first building permit is issued but before the sale of the first unit. Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0-1 with Supervisor Aldean abstaining.

RECESS: A recess was declared at 10:20 a.m. The entire Board was present when Mayor Teixeira reconvened the meeting at 10:22 a.m., constituting a quorum.

C. PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION ONLY REGARDING THE ENVISION CARSON CITY DRAFT COMPREHENSIVE MASTER PLAN AND LAND USE MAP (10:22:49) - Principal Planner Lee Plemel, Parks Planner Vern Krahn, City Manager Linda Ritter - The highlights of the process were given. Mr. Plemel stressed that action by the Board was not requested at this time. The public inclusion process was described. The Planning Commission, Open Space Advisory Committee, and the Parks and Recreation Commission have reviewed the plan and approved it. It is a user friendly, layman's master plan. A brief description of the plan's contents was provided. Chapter 9 contains the action plan. It includes a check list that will require continued work by staff. He then described the land use map and its purpose. Designations that were cleaned up and/or revised were indicated. Justification for these revisions were provided. Mr. Plemel explained for Mayor Teixeira that the water line is at the tank located in the eastern portal area. The sewer line has not yet been extended to that location. The City has obtained a considerable amount of the Highway 50 frontage located east of the pawn shop under a recreation and public purposes lease. The notices were sent to property owners whose zoning was changed on the new master plan land use map and to property owners with 300 feet of those individuals. The changes will ultimately be presented to the Board for approval. Ten notices of opposition were received. Their issues will be presented to the Board for resolution. The notices were sent by first class mail. The NRS does not require the use of certified return receipt mail for noticing. Supervisor Aldean expressed concern about the lack of proof that the property owner had received the notice. Justification for adhering to the Statutes was described. Other outreach efforts were indicated but not listed. It was felt that the revisions would create an increase in the property value. One primary change made along the highway and freeway corridors changed the zoning from industrial to commercial. These changes were made due to the belief that is the highest and best use at this time. The zone change does not occur until requested by the property owner and approved by the Board. Full-time staff responds to the inquiries. Only ten property owners had concerns about the changes to their property. The Board will ultimately resolve their issues. These issues will be given to the Board after the Planning Commission makes its decisions on their issues. Clarification indicated that the land use map already reflects the Commission's decision on two sections of Highway 50 that was mixed use residential. The owners wanted commercial zoning. They are reflected on the revised map as staff could support either zoning district. Mayor Teixeira iterated that the plan and map are only guidelines. The ultimate decision regarding the use and/or the taking of the land is not occurring at this time. The public's view of the vision is requested.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the January 19, 2006, Meeting
Page 12

Supervisor Williamson felt that it was an exciting process which had brought people together. Its dynamic, invigorating process has established a plan for providing more residential development in the downtown area and will provide infill for vacant lots. Her concern regarding the Vicee Canyon Special Planning Area, which is owned by the State and the Board of Regents, relates to its future and if the State is planning to dispose of the land. At this time the City is the only one interested in acquiring the land. It is needed for a detention basin. The State purportedly is interested in changing the zoning in order to have a higher value for the property. She suggested that the zoning be delayed until the City's purchase(s) has been completed. She also asked that the State's disposal date be determined/requested. Supervisor Livermore and Mayor Teixeira concurred.

Supervisor Aldean expressed her concerns about conflict zones between residential and commercial sites. She suggested that a statement be added to the plan providing for integration of uses that does not result in the creation of friction zones. She indicated she will draft a written statement and submit it to staff in the future.

Supervisor Staub indicated that, while he had supported the previous item, he had reservations about approving the application. He then explained his concern about BLM property that is surrounded by three or four commercial developments, e.g., the water tank east of Koontz and Edmonds. At some future date the BLM land in that area will be sold and developed. The residents in the vicinity like having the BLM land open and undeveloped. The master plan should not preclude attractive development that is congruent with the surrounding developed areas. He pointed out that all of the BLM property cannot remain undeveloped and open space. The City will eventually run out of developable private property. He urged staff to identify those areas and provide straight parameter lines delineating BLM areas that may be developed in the future. The master plan should not preclude this development potential(s). Ms. Ritter explained that the current maps indicate limited areas that should be made available for development. The parcel Supervisor Staub had identified may be needed for drainage and trails. A 20-acre parcel in south Carson surrounded by residential uses is a large drainage area. It was removed from the map. Pockets along Highway 50 and Spooner Summit have been identified. The gun range on Arrowhead could be developed as industrial. Supervisor Staub reiterated his belief that these sites should be identified and justification for doing so provided. Ms. Ritter also indicated that the Federal lands map requires identification of potential uses for their property when transferred to the City. She urged the Board to contact Mr. Burnham with any ideas/suggestions.

Mayor Teixeira stressed the need for the master plan to include a goal of being able to comfortably live within our resources and, specifically, water resources. For that reason the population needs to be capped at 75,000. Mr. Plemel explained that the Land Bill will be consistent with the map and suggested designations. The plan accommodates growth to 75,000 which is 20 years from now according to the State Demographer. The current population according to him is 57,000. Mayor Teixeira opined that the City will reach the 75,000 cap in less than 20 years. The Demographer's growth rate is much slower and will take more than 20 years to reach the cap of 75,000. He does not agree with the Demographer.

Mr. Plemel acknowledged Sierra Pacific Power Company's representatives who were in attendance. He also indicated that they are working with staff to identify locations for future substations, which he felt would be minimal. They need to know where growth will be occurring in order to make their decisions. He then explained that the Carson River Advisory Committee will consider the plan in February. Mayor Teixeira

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the January 19, 2006, Meeting
Page 13

hoped that the process will be completed by the end of the first quarter. Mr. Plemel advised that staff is working to complete the trails, pedestrians, and bicycle elements. Mayor Teixeira thanked staff for their work. No formal action was taken or required.

RECESS: A recess was declared at 10:54 a.m. The entire Board was present when Mayor Teixeira reconvened the meeting at 10:59 a.m.

8. CITY MANAGER - Linda Ritter

A. ACTION TO APPROVE A SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND THE CARSON CITY FIREFIGHTER'S ASSOCIATION, LOCAL NO. 2251 OF THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF FIREFIGHTERS AND STEVE MORGAN WITH REFERENCE TO THE GRIEVANCE FILED BECAUSE OF CHANGES MADE BY THE PUBLIC EMPLOYEE'S RETIREMENT SYSTEM TO THE POLICE AND FIREFIGHTERS' RETIREMENT FUND (8:34:01) (10:59:34) - Pulled.

B. ACTION TO APPOINT FOUR MEMBERS TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY CITIZENS COMMITTEE (RACC); ONE TO FILL THE "BUSINESS OPERATOR FROM THE REDEVELOPMENT DISTRICT" POSITION, TWO TO FILL "CITIZEN AT LARGE" POSITIONS, AND ONE TO FILL THE "PERSON SKILLED IN ONE OF THE FOLLOWING AREAS: ARCHITECTURE, URBAN PLANNING, ENGINEERING, CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT, GENERAL CONTRACTING, HISTORIC PRESERVATION, RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT, REAL ESTATE TRANSACTIONS, BROKERAGE AND PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, RETAIL, ENTERTAINMENT AND CINEMA, TOURISM AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, BUSINESS OWNERSHIP, STARTUP AND MANAGEMENT, TRANSIT, CULTURAL FACILITIES, HOUSING, ECONOMICS AND FINANCE, EDUCATION, TECHNOLOGY, MARKETING, LAW." ONE "CITIZEN AT LARGE" VACANCY IS DUE TO A RESIGNATION WITH A TERM EXPIRING JANUARY 1, 2008. THE REMAINING THREE TERMS ARE DUE TO END JANUARY 1, 2009 (11:00:00) - Mayor Teixeira passed the gavel to Mayor Pro-Tem and RACC Chairperson Williamson. Don Bruce failed to submit either a letter of interest or an application for a second term. Sally Zola's resignation was noted. The vacancies were listed. During the interviews the Board thanked each applicant for applying. The Board interviewed: (11:01:06) Donna Wilson; (11:05:53) Gary Cain; (11:33:10) Russell Carpenter; (11:47:18) Gigi Valenti; and (11:56:10) Stan Jones. Supervisor Livermore disclosed that he had worked with Ms. Wilson on Park and Recreation items. The Board thanked Mr. Cain for investing in the community. Mr. Carpenter gave the Board and Clerk a brief resume of his residency in Nevada, current employment, and community involvement. (A copy is in the file.)

Discussion noted that two of the applicants had filed for the business operator appointment. (12:02:56) Supervisor Aldean moved to appoint Gigi Valenti to fill the position of a person skilled in one of the listed professional categories to a three-year term ending January 1, 2009. Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the January 19, 2006, Meeting
Page 14

Supervisor Aldean moved to appoint Stan Jones to fill the position of a business operator from the Redevelopment District to a three-year term ending January 1, 2009. Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

The Board was polled to determine the appointments to the citizen-at-large positions. Supervisor Aldean moved to appoint Gary Cain to fill the position of citizen-at-large for a three-year term ending January 1, 2009. Supervisor Staub seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

Supervisor Aldean moved to appoint Dan Neverett to fill the position of citizen-at-large to fill a term expiring January 1, 2008. Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0. Mayor Pro-Tem/RACC Chairperson Williamson returned the gavel to Mayor Teixeira.

RECESS: A recess was declared at 12:05 p.m. The entire Board was present when Mayor Teixeira reconvened the meeting at 1:31 p.m.

C. PRESENTATION OF AN UPDATE ON GOALS SET BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS IN 2005 AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO ADOPT GOALS FOR 2006 (1:31:05) - City Manager Linda Ritter explained that the process is abbreviated due to staff's desires to complete the Board's goals for last year. The last year's goals and their status were summarized. Discussion ensued on the need for a parking element to be included in the downtown core revisions; the number and different groups working to improve the downtown area; the need for the casinos to participate in this effort; the billboards along Carson Street, the need for a billboard ordinance addressing the freeway, and the need for a billboard/signage announcing the V&T Railway; the potential for 70 foot billboard applications along the freeway; the need for a holistic approach to signage which includes billboards; the need for the Fairview expansion to be completed prior to the freeway's arrival at Fairview; the need for transitional housing for the homeless and for a grant to assist FISH with its move to permanent quarters. Mayor Teixeira disclosed his discussion with Scott Magruder at NDOT regarding signage to keep people from being dumped on Highway 50 without knowing where to go. The freeway signs read: 395 Business District and 395 South. He suggested one sign read "395 South with Lake Tahoe and Gardnerville and that the second sign read "395-50 East". He urged staff to followup on his discussion in an effort to avoid misleading the tourists. Supervisor Staub explained that RTC is in the process of evaluating how to get the trucks off Carson Street by having them use the freeway to Graves/College Parkway. He supported Mayor Teixeira's suggestion regarding changing the freeway signage. Supervisor Livermore supported the concept of having a citizen outreach coordinator. Supervisor Williamson supported finding funding for the freeway landscaping program. Discussion pointed out that the Federal grant for \$2 million was for just the first leg of the freeway. Supervisor Staub asked that status reports regarding projects approved by the Board be provided every 90 days. Justification for the request was provided. Ms. Ritter explained the effort that was started by Mr. Flansberg to provide monthly status reports on projects. She also indicated that this report should be included on the website. Discussion explained the reasons the website update has not been completed. It was a Board goal two years ago. A contract has purportedly been issued. The City has already done several studies on the website. Mayor Teixeira indicated that no more studies are to be done on it. Work should be done instead. Ms. Ritter indicated that the studies have been given to the designer. Information Services Director Haggarty is meeting with them later this month to establish the logistics. A prototype should be to the Board in 45 to 90 days. The website revision should be

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the January 19, 2006, Meeting
Page 15

completed by June 30, 2006. Discussion emphasized the need to begin the process of finding a new PEG channel provider and to issue the RFP as soon as possible.

Dave Morgan felt that the depth of the bid is very "wide" and still growing. The "hit points" need to be determined before the RFP can be issued. Ms. Ritter explained that none of the nonprofit organizations had bid on the temporary contract due to the feeling that it would not make money. Mr. Morgan indicated that there is a nonprofit organization that is interested in providing the service, however, will not bid until it is determined what the City wants. Mayor Teixeira also pointed out the need for a facility to house the service. Discussion also noted the connection the service has with Charter Communications and the need to finalize Charter's contract. Mayor Teixeira reminded Mr. Morgan that a final decision on this contract will be made during a public meeting.

Supervisor Aldean reminded the Board that the City Code is now available on the web via LexisNexis. She explained her and Supervisor Livermore's involvement with the PEG operation and the attempts to determine the type of service desired.

Discussion ensued on the Board's goals for next year. Mayor Teixeira indicated that mandatory drug testing for all new employees should be performed. He then expanded the mandatory testing to include all current City employees. Discussion pointed out that the Library had submitted two proposals which should be part of the budget discussions. These proposals were submitted in the hope that the Board would make them a goal. Mayor Teixeira pointed out that none of the other Departments had included staffing requests for consideration as part of the goal setting process. Comments indicated that the Sheriff's Office and other Departments will be seeking addition staffing during the budget process. The Library request should be included in this holistic approach. Board discussion with Librarian Sally Edwards pointed out that the Library Board's goal is to expand the library hours. This goal was established in 1988. Justification for submitting it for consideration as a Board goal and the Board's of Supervisors decision to not add it to the goals at this time were provided. Comments indicated that a new facility is the second proposal.

Mayor Teixeira complimented staff on its desire to not take on a lot more projects at this time and for working together on the goals. He also pointed out that financially the City is not yet out of the woods and should be careful about encumbering any future financial commitments. The service level needs to remain as it is with the current staff. Personnel should not be reduced. He also felt that communication/coordination with Hispanic Services is improving as a result of City's Citizen Outreach Coordinator Javier Ramirez' efforts. RTC's limited budget efforts were acknowledged. Communication with the State is good. Public Health and Information Services are good. The need for an improved website was stressed. He also appreciated working with a Board whose members coordinate and communicate with each other as well as the City staff. (2:18:46) Ms. Ritter listed the six goals established during the discussion as being: Maximize outreach efforts to the Latino/Hispanic community; identify funding options for landscaping along the freeway; provide updated capital projects report to the Board on a regular basis; complete the redesign of the website; identify a permanent vendor or nonprofit to operate the cable access television station(s); and begin pre-employment drug testing. Mayor Teixeira indicated that these are the goals for 2006-07. Supervisor Livermore moved to approve the additional six goals that were just read by City Manager Ritter to the 2006-2007 strategic planning goals. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Mayor Teixeira encouraged comments from those in attendance. District Attorney Noel Waters noted out that the anti-methamphetamine/drug coalition

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the January 19, 2006, Meeting
Page 16

will be meeting this evening to develop its goals. He suggested that the goals include recognition of this effort. Justification for his recommendation was provided. Mayor Teixeira indicated that this should be an ongoing program. Mr. Waters explained that he had not heard or seen it listed as a previous or continuing goal. He stressed the importance of abating this problem. Ms. Ritter explained that it was included in the 2005-06 goals which are being carried forward. She also indicated that the website improvements were part of the 2004-05 goals. Mayor Teixeira asked Mr. Waters to provide verbiage to Ms. Ritter who will build it into the anti-drug goal. He also thanked Mr. Waters for all of his effort on the program. Additional comments were solicited but none were given. The motion to approve the additional six goals was voted and carried 5-0. Clarification indicated that the 2005-06 Goal No. 1, the drug abatement program, is to be restated in 2006-07 goals and that one of the six for 2006-07 is replaced by this revised goal. Ms. Ritter indicated that the wording for it is: To build a community coalition to define the issue regarding methamphetamine, build public awareness, and work toward abatement. Mayor Teixeira concurred.

9. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS - NON-ACTION ITEMS

A. INTERNAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS (1:33:02) -

Mayor Teixeira invited the Board to participate in a thank you dinner for the anti-meth coalition volunteers. The dinner was scheduled for this evening at 5:30 p.m. at the Station Grill. Spouses were not invited. (2:23:32) Mayor Teixeira then reported on his personal observation of the amount of curbside recycling he found in his neighborhood. He had driven by more than 150 homes and observed only 16 recycling bins. He felt that his observation indicated that the community does not support the program. He repeated his announcement regarding this evening's dinner for volunteers of the anti-meth coalition. No formal action was required or taken.

B. STAFF COMMENTS AND STATUS REPORT - None.

10. ACTION TO ADJOURN (2:25:04) - Supervisor Staub moved to adjourn. Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0. Mayor Teixeira adjourned the meeting at 2:26 p.m.

The Minutes of the January 19, 2006, Carson City Board of Supervisors meeting

ARE SO APPROVED ON March 2, 2006.

/s/

Marv Teixeira, Mayor

ATTEST:

/s/

Alan Glover, Clerk-Recorder