

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 17, 2011 Meeting
Page 1

A regular meeting of the Carson City Board of Supervisors was scheduled for 8:30 a.m. on Thursday, March 17, 2011 in the Community Center Sierra Room, 851 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada.

PRESENT: Mayor Robert Crowell
Supervisor Karen Abowd, Ward 1
Supervisor Shelly Aldean, Ward 2
Supervisor John McKenna, Ward 3
Supervisor Molly Walt, Ward 4

STAFF: Larry Werner, City Manager
Alan Glover, Clerk - Recorder
Randal Munn, Chief Deputy District Attorney
Kathleen King, Deputy Clerk / Recording Secretary

NOTE: A recording of these proceedings, the Board's agenda materials, and any written comments or documentation provided to the Clerk during the meeting are part of the public record. These materials are available for review, in the Clerk's Office, during regular business hours.

1 - 4. CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL, INVOCATION, AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (1-0007) - Mayor Crowell called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m. Mr. Glover called the roll; a quorum was present. Mayor Crowell called for St. Teresa's Catholic Community Father Doug Stewart and, when no one was forthcoming, read a thought-of-the-day into the record from Harvey S. Firestone. Supervisor Walt led the pledge of allegiance.

5. ACTION ON APPROVAL OF MINUTES - February 17, 2011 (1-0029) - Supervisor Aldean noted corrections to page 8, and advised of a few other clerical corrections which she offered to share with the recording secretary after the meeting. Mayor Crowell entertained a motion. Supervisor Aldean moved to approve the minutes, as amended. Supervisor Abowd seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

6. ADOPTION OF AGENDA (1-0055) - Mayor Crowell entertained modifications to the agenda and, when none were forthcoming, deemed it adopted.

7. PUBLIC COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION (1-0060) - Mayor Crowell entertained public comments. He read into the record and explained an additional provision relative to public comments, which had been added to the agenda.

(1-0086) Karl Neathammer read into the record a quote from *Marbury vs. Madison*, and expressed the belief that the Justice of the Peace selection process "is fundamentally flawed and bordering on corruption." He expressed adamant opposition "to the Carson City Board of Supervisors' ability, even by statute, to appoint Carson City's next Justice of the Peace ...," and the firm belief that the citizens "should have a voice in the election of their new Justice of the Peace ..." He expressed the opinion that "the expenditure of \$25,000 or \$50,000 or \$75,000 for a special election and in the name of democracy, fundamental fairness, the consent of the governed, and in adherence to the ideals of our constitutional republic ... this isn't too much to ask." He suggested that the "expenditure of \$200,000 for an ice skating rink far exceeds [his] ability to vote on [his] judicial candidate." He cautioned the Board, and described as tiresome the idea of "unelected officials, boards, and commissions deciding public policy." He reiterated opposition to not

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 17, 2011 Meeting
Page 2

holding a special election to appoint the new Justice of the Peace. He discussed his service as a justice of the peace *pro tem*, and expressed the opinion “there’s absolutely no reason why there should not be an election.”

In response to a question, Mr. Glover advised that the last special election was relative to the hospital bond in the 1980s. Mr. Neathammer expressed concern over the qualifications of several of the application review committee members. “If you go ahead and appoint, despite what the statute says, ... our ... candidates for judges should stand before the entire electorate of this community in a free, open, robust debate as to why they should be our new judge.” Mr. Neathammer expressed the opinion that the Board “should step back from this” and “re-evaluate what you’re doing.” He reiterated the request for a special election, and expressed bewilderment over the appointment of the Chamber of Commerce and Western Nevada College representatives to the application review committee. He provided additional background information on his experience as a lay judge and training he received from the National Judicial College. He suggested a preference for “attorneys on the bench.” He reiterated opposition to the validity of the application review committee process and the qualifications of the members. He disclosed having previously discussed his concerns with Supervisor McKenna and Mayor Crowell, and reiterated the opinion that the Board “should step back from this,” and “spend the money for a special election.” He expressed the opinion that the process is “fundamentally unfair ... to the people of Carson City.”

Mr. Neathammer suggested utilizing former justices of the peace *pro tem* “until the election cycle ...” He expressed the opinion that appointing a justice of the peace amounts to “handing them the incumbency ... given the habitual voting patterns in this town ...” He expressed the further opinion that the Board should have “bifurcated the system,” and reviewed all the applicants. He requested the Board to “re-evaluate this, re-evaluate the process, and consider the fact that we should be able to vote for my next judge.”

Mayor Crowell inquired as to the difference between appointing a judge and appointing a judge *pro tem*. Mr. Neathammer reviewed the process for appointing a judge *pro tem*, and expressed the opinion that “lay judges are just as competent as attorneys.” He reviewed the situations appropriate for the service of a judge *pro tem*. Mr. Neathammer entertained questions of each of the Board members. Supervisor Aldean advised of having previously discussed the selection process with Mr. Neathammer, and advised that “the whole point was to have a varied view.” She noted her preference for a lay judge “if there is a qualified applicant, and, apparently, in the view of the selection committee, there were no qualified lay people who applied for the position.” She expressed personal disappointment that no lay person “rose to the top of the list,” but advised she was “not convinced that the process is flawed.” She noted that Mr. Neathammer, as a justice of the peace *pro tem* was “passing judgment as an appointee on the people who came before [him].” She expressed understanding that Mr. Neathammer’s service was on a temporary basis, but suggested “a narrow distinction.” Mr. Neathammer expressed the opinion “it’s two sides of the same coin. One is going to be permanent.” He expressed the belief that there were qualified lay applicants, and reiterated opposition to the representatives of the Chamber of Commerce, WNC, and law enforcement serving as members of the application review committee.

Mayor Crowell entertained additional public comment. (8:54:00) Maurice White referenced Senate Bill 203, and provided an overview of the same. He discussed the destructive effects of methamphetamine, and requested the Board’s public support of SB 203. He distributed copies of the bill to the Board members and the Clerk. Mayor Crowell advised that the Board had passed a resolution in support of the bill at a previous meeting, and thanked Mr. White. Mayor Crowell entertained additional public comment; however, none was forthcoming.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 17, 2011 Meeting
Page 3

8. CONSENT AGENDA (8:56:03) - Mayor Crowell entertained requests to hear items separate from the consent agenda. When none were forthcoming, he entertained a motion to adopt the consent agenda, as published. Supervisor Aldean moved to adopt the consent agenda, consisting of one item from the Assessor's Office, one item from the Sheriff's Office, one item from Purchasing and Contracts, one item from the City Manager's Office, one item from Finance, one item from Parks and Rec, and one item from the First Judicial District Court, Resolution No. 2011-R-7. Supervisor Walt seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

8-1. ASSESSOR - ACTION TO APPROVE THE CORRECTION/INCREASE OF TAXES FOR THE 2009 / 10 AND 2010 / 11 TAX YEARS FOR PARCEL NUMBERS 008-303-03 AND 008-303-25, LOCATED AT 3363 AND 3369 HIGHWAY 50 EAST, PURSUANT TO NRS 361.765, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2,904.00

8-2. SHERIFF - ACTION TO APPROVE THE CLOSURE OF BANK OF AMERICA CHECKING ACCOUNT 4964882069 AND THE TRANSFER OF FUNDS TO THE CONTROLLER TRUST

8-3. PURCHASING AND CONTRACTS - ACTION TO APPROVE CONTRACT NO. 1011-166 AS A CONTRACT FOR ITEMS WHICH MAY ONLY BE CONTRACTED FROM A SOLE SOURCE AND, THEREFORE, NOT SUITABLE FOR PUBLIC BIDDING, PURSUANT TO NRS 332.115, FOR THE PURCHASE OF AN AMH SORTER FROM ENVISION WARE FOR A NOT-TO-EXCEED COST OF \$59,455.00, TO BE FUNDED FROM THE LIBRARY GIFT FUND AND PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FUND, AS PROVIDED IN FY 2010 /2011, P.O. #2011-068

8-4. CITY MANAGER - ACTION TO RATIFY THE APPROVAL OF BILLS AND OTHER REQUESTS FOR PAYMENTS BY THE CITY MANAGER FOR THE PERIOD OF FEBRUARY 9, 2011 THROUGH MARCH 8, 2011

8-5. FINANCE DEPARTMENT - ACTION TO ACCEPT THE REPORT ON THE CONDITION OF EACH FUND IN THE TREASURY THROUGH MARCH 9, 2011, PURSUANT TO NRS 251.030

8-6. PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT, OPEN SPACE DIVISION - ACTION TO FOLLOW THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE OPEN SPACE ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR TO SIGN A "DEED OF CORRECTION" PERTAINING TO THE VIDLER WATER COMPANY'S 39-ACRE PARCEL DONATION FOR APNs 10-681-01 AND 10-681-02 TO CARSON CITY

8-7. FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT - ACTION TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION FOR INTERLOCAL CONTRACT, EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2011 TO JUNE 30, 2014, BETWEEN THE STATE OF NEVADA, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DIVISION OF WELFARE AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES, AND THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR CARSON CITY AND STOREY COUNTY AND THE CITY OF CARSON CITY

9. RECESS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (8:56:59) - Mayor Crowell recessed the Board of Supervisors at 8:56 a.m.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 17, 2011 Meeting
Page 4

LIQUOR AND ENTERTAINMENT BOARD

10. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL (8:57:00) - Chairperson Crowell called the Liquor and Entertainment Board to order. Mr. Glover called the roll; a quorum was present, including Member Furlong.

11. ACTION ON APPROVAL OF MINUTES - February 17, 2011 (8:57:36) - Member Aldean moved to approve the minutes, as presented. Member Abowd seconded the motion. Motion carried 6-0.

12. PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, BUSINESS LICENSE

12(A) ACTION TO APPROVE PAUL BONALDI AS THE LIQUOR MANAGER FOR AN ON-PREMISES/PACKAGED LIQUOR LICENSE FOR COCO DOLCE, LIQUOR LICENSE NO. 11-27512, LOCATED AT 1910 COLLEGE PARKWAY, SUITE 130, CARSON CITY (8:57:57) - Chairperson Crowell introduced this item. Senior Permit Technician Lena Tripp reviewed the agenda materials, noting staff's recommendation of approval. Chairperson Crowell called for Paul Bonaldi; however, no one was forthcoming. Chairperson Crowell requested staff to contact the applicant and reagendaize the item.

(10:57:38) Mr. Werner advised that Mr. Bonaldi was present in the meeting room, and requested the Chairperson to reconvene the Liquor and Entertainment Board. In response to a question, Mr. Munn advised that since the board had adjourned by consensus, the Chair could reconvene by consensus.

Chairperson Crowell reconvened the Liquor and Entertainment Board at 10:57 a.m. All members of the board were present, constituting a quorum. Chairperson Crowell invited Mr. Bonaldi to the podium. In response to a question, Mr. Bonaldi described Coco Dolce as a wine and chocolate bar. He advised that beer will also be served as well as sandwiches, salads, and soup. Art will be displayed on the walls and vintage movies on the back wall by the bar. Breakfast items will also be served with espresso.

Mr. Bonaldi acknowledged that his staff has participated in alcohol server training. "It's a very limited staff. I have about three people and I have been through ServeSafe training." In response to a question, Mr. Bonaldi advised that his staff has not yet participated in the Sheriff's Office alcohol server training program. He acknowledged that he would arrange to have his staff comply.

Chairperson Crowell entertained questions or comments. In response to a question, Mr. Bonaldi advised that appropriate signage will be installed and that his staff will check identification. He further advised that he currently holds a Washoe County liquor license for an establishment at Sand Harbor. Chairperson Crowell entertained a motion. **Member Aldean moved to approve Paul Bonaldi as the liquor manager for the on-premises packaged liquor license for Coco Dolce, liquor license number 11-27512, located at 1910 College Parkway, Suite 130, in Carson City. Member Abowd seconded the motion. Motion carried 6-0.** Chairperson Crowell and the board members thanked Mr. Bonaldi for doing business in Carson City and wished him well.

12(B) ACTION TO APPROVE JEFF SMEATH AND JOHN SMALL AS THE LIQUOR MANAGERS FOR A BEER / WINE LIQUOR LICENSE FOR THE GOLDEN NICKEL, LIQUOR LICENSE NO. 11-27497, LOCATED AT 444 EAST WILLIAM STREET, CARSON CITY (8:59:11) - Chairperson Crowell introduced this item, and Dennis Small advised that he would represent the applicants. Ms. Tripp reviewed the agenda materials, noting staff's recommendation of approval. In response to a question, Mr. Small advised that business is fair.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 17, 2011 Meeting
Page 5

Member Aldean suggested that Mr. Small is likely familiar with the City's liquor ordinances, and offered to make a motion. Chairperson Crowell entertained public comment and, when none was forthcoming, entertained a motion. **Member Aldean moved to approve Jeff Smeath and John Small as the liquor managers for a beer / wine liquor license for the Golden Nickel, liquor license number 11-27497, located at 444 East William Street, Carson City. Member Walt seconded the motion. Motion carried 6-0.**

13. ACTION TO ADJOURN THE LIQUOR AND ENTERTAINMENT BOARD (9:01:45) - Chairperson Crowell adjourned the Liquor and Entertainment Board at 9:01 a.m. (11:00:44) Chairperson Crowell adjourned the Liquor and Entertainment Board at 11:00 a.m.

14. RECONVENE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (9:01:48) - Mayor Crowell reconvened the Board of Supervisors at 9:01 a.m. (11:00:45) Mayor Crowell reconvened the Board of Supervisors.

ORDINANCES, RESOLUTIONS, AND OTHER ITEMS

15. ANY ITEM(S) PULLED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA WILL BE HEARD AT THIS TIME (9:01:51) - None.

16. CITY MANAGER - DISCUSSION AND ACTION WITH RESPECT TO BDR S-646 WHICH CONCERNS THE PERMISSIBLE USE OF PROCEEDS OF CERTAIN LOCAL SALES AND USE TAX BY CARSON CITY BY MODIFYING THE CITY'S CHARTER (9:01:58) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item, and Mr. Werner reviewed the agenda materials. Mr. Werner acknowledged that the proposed bill would amend the Carson City Charter. In response to a question, he advised that, after having researched the records, there was no indication that a similar item was agendized before the Charter Review Committee.

Supervisor Aldean expressed the understanding that staff is concerned over transferring Question #18 funding to a special bond fund which enables the City to make the bond payments. In response to a comment, Mr. Werner explained the City's option, under state law, to create a single bond payment fund. "The ... official statement for the Question #18 bonds states that the payments shall be out of the City's bond payment fund." Supervisor Aldean requested Finance Department Director Nick Providenti to review detail relative to the cost allocation process. Mr. Providenti advised that the cost allocation study was approved by the Board at the February 3, 2011 meeting, and reviewed the method by which costs are allocated. He further advised "this is the way virtually every government in America does their business. It's audited. It's generally accepted accounting principles and it's just generally the way things work in governments ..."

In response to a question, Mr. Werner advised that the Open Space Advisory Committee makes recommendations relative to the Open Space Program budget. He provided background information on the discussions surrounding the cost allocation issue. Mr. Providenti assured the Board "the process is not arbitrary or capricious. There's an actual methodology that we use. ... we spend a couple, three weeks with these folks, ... gathering the information ... and we feel that they're appropriate."

Mayor Crowell entertained public comment. (9:08:46) Assemblyman Pete Livermore distributed to the Board members and the Clerk a copy of Assembly Bill 263, together with an amendment he planned to offer at introduction, and reviewed background information on Question #18. He read into the record portions of the ballot question and the legislative history relative to Assembly Bill 237. He explained the

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 17, 2011 Meeting
Page 6

intent of Assembly Bill 263 to “amend the City’s Charter to reflect the voters’ intent and will of what they voted on. They voted to set aside this money and set aside these funds specifically and exclusively for the 40 percent for open space and the 40 percent for recreation facilities and the 20 percent for maintenance and the need for that.” In reference to his amendment, he stated, “The administrative cost was never intended to confuse the issue with the bond payments or the operation of facilities like the swimming pool or to look at reduction in salaries or services. I just believe to think that the corporate cost allocation costs that ... has been approved by the last two budget and this next year’s budget and the cost of \$135,000 is excessive and should not be a consideration in this cost allocation because of the specificity of what the voters voted for.” Assemblyman Livermore advised of previous discussions with Mayor Crowell.

Mayor Crowell expressed understanding for Assemblyman Livermore’s argument relative to the 40 / 40 / 20 allocation specified in the Quality of Life Initiative. Mayor Crowell noted that Assemblyman Livermore’s amendment provides for certain charges to be allocated to the Open Space Program budget. He inquired as to how this is reconciled with Assemblyman Livermore’s argument that there should be no charges to the Open Space Program budget. Assemblyman Livermore advised of the need to “revisit that amendment ... if you bring that to me in that case.” He explained that the draft amendment “was just finalized yesterday and the committee of taxation will hear this bill 263 next Tuesday.”

Mayor Crowell expressed the belief that “property incurred costs” are determined by the Board of Supervisors. He inquired as to whether the Quality of Life Initiative indicates that associated operations will be subsidized by the general fund. Assemblyman Livermore referenced that portion of the legislative history relative to Ms. Mary Walker’s comments “when it talked about associated costs and the testimony at the legislative that stated that that would be covered by the City.” He reviewed cost allocations relative to the subject meeting, and stated, “These are normal functions of what general government is.” He expressed sympathy “with your willingness to try and squeeze every penny out of every account,” and the belief “that this set aside account the voters voted on, the integrity ... knew what they were casting their ballot for was never intended to subsidize the Board of Supervisors, the City Manager’s Office, the District Attorney’s Office, and the Clerk - Recorder’s Office ...”

Supervisor Aldean advised of having reviewed the original Question #18 ballot explanation. She inquired as to whether Question #18 funding should be used to maintain parks, trails, and recreational facilities that were acquired or developed prior to its implementation. Assemblyman Livermore stated “the law doesn’t allow that. It’s only for the new facilities that have been developed, new trails that have been developed with the 40 percent component part.” Supervisor Aldean advised of a recent District Attorney’s opinion which contradicts the statement. Assemblyman Livermore provided an overview of two District Attorney’s opinions relative to Question #18. In response to a comment, Supervisor Aldean referenced the November 8, 2010 District Attorney’s opinion regarding the use of Question #18 proceeds to maintain facilities in existence prior to implementation of Question #18. In consideration of Question #18, she pointed out that “the time will come when we cannot afford to acquire any more open space.” She expressed the opinion that the Board should consider going back to the voters to revisit Question #18 in consideration of the requirement to maintain open space properties. She noted that much of the Question #18 revenues have been used and are allocated to acquire and develop new facilities. “The time will come when we don’t need new facilities and we need a higher allocation to maintenance and management.” She reiterated the importance of considering reallocation of the Question #18 funds “to better reflect our current situation.” Assemblyman Livermore acknowledged the intent to maintain Open Space Program properties. “Their maintenance monies are embedded within that 40 percent. The 20 percent only speaks to the recreation facilities, never intended to maintain the open space 40 percent. So that transition of open space operation and maintenance was a normal transition and vision at that point in time and it’s stated in the testimony here

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 17, 2011 Meeting
Page 7

of both the Assembly and the Senate by City staff that represented that.” Assemblyman Livermore requested the Board’s support of Assembly Bill 263 “because I believe it was the intent of the voters.”

Mayor Crowell advised of having voted for Question #18 in 1996, and that it was not his intent to have the general fund subsidize “the activities of open space.” Supervisor McKenna described the issue as “basically to increase the allocation of general fund money to open space and take it from other services.” He inquired as to Assemblyman Livermore’s preference, and offered to request an agenda discussion. He further described the issue as “a local matter; ... not something for 68 people from all over the state to defend.” He expressed an interest in hearing public comment. In response to a comment, Supervisor McKenna expressed concern over “micromanagement.”

Supervisor Abowd expressed an interest in hearing from Open Space / Property Manager Juan Guzman. Assemblyman Livermore requested the Board to keep in mind the intent of the voters. In response to a question, he advised of having invited Charter Review Committee Chair Donna DePauw to testify.

Mayor Crowell entertained additional public comment. (9:33:07) Michael Fischer advised of having served as an Open Space Advisory Committee member since creation of the committee in 1996. He expressed the opinion that the Board has retained him as a committee member to ensure “the institutional memory was present on exactly what the voters did back in 1996.” Dr. Fischer advised of having served as co-chair of the steering committee that “basically sold this to the public. ... What was sold to the public was that 40 percent of the increase in the sales tax would go for the purchase of open space, 40 percent would go for the purchase and construction of new parks facilities, and 20 percent would go to the maintenance thereof.” He cautioned the Board against allocating funds “from what the voters voted on.” In reference to previous comments, he expressed concern over the nationwide “trouble ... because this is the way the government works.” Supervisor McKenna thanked Dr. Fischer and others who participated in the development of Question #18 for their vision. “This has been a great thing for Carson City” due in large part to Dr. Fischer and the others who worked so hard on Question #18.

(9:36:42) Donna DePauw advised that she “was one of the founding members of Question #18,” and provided historic information with regard to the same. She advised of having spoken with Mr. Werner “in regard to a question for the Charter Review Committee in regards to a grand jury to meet every four years. At that time, I discussed with him Question #18 and how I felt about it. And his answer to me was, ‘Well, the D.A. okayed it because the word was shall.’ Well, I don’t care if the word’s shall. That doesn’t make it right. And it is inappropriate to continue to take these funds to fund programs. I mean, I was appalled to find out that the D.A. gets almost \$50,000 a year from Question #18 funding. The Clerk-Recorder gets \$37,000. Now, you can’t tell me if the voters knew about that that they would be happy because I certainly wasn’t when I found out about it. I tried to discuss it with him. I know of other people that have tried to discuss it with him. At that time, I was shot down on a grand jury, but that doesn’t mean I can’t go in there on my own. I know plenty of people who would be supporting this program right now if I needed to go to a grand jury so I’m asking you, as the Board of Supervisors, to stop the madness and figure out a way to pay back the people the \$350,000 you’ve already taken out of their funding for parks and for maintenance that should have not occurred in the first place. And please revisit this.”

Supervisor McKenna thanked Ms. DePauw for her vision, and reiterated the benefit of Question #18 to the community. He posed a scenario, as follows: “If this fund was to build a new park and they hired an outside contractor, third-party contractor, and that third-party contractor had an accountant to do payroll for its employees and maybe a lawyer on board to make sure the contracts were right and that sort of thing, is what you’re saying ... that we ought to break the cost of that accountant and that overhead out of that

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 17, 2011 Meeting
Page 8

contractor's contract and pay that out of the general fund and only dirt moving comes out of Question #18?" Ms. DePauw replied this was not what she was saying. In response to a question, she stated, "I'm saying, when you're working with a new park project and it involves an outside person, which happens quite frequently. We hire outside contractors and that happens. I don't feel Question #18 should be paying for the D.A.'s money to review the contract. I don't feel it should be paying Mr. Werner to review the contract. I don't feel it should be paying you as Board of Supervisor members to be doing your job to look at a contract. No. It's part of the process of building a new park, just like the maintenance of that new park." Supervisor McKenna inquired as to whether the "voters saw that ... other general fund money would go into building these parks and that Question #18 money would only go towards some purposes." He pointed out that "management, whether it be the D.A. reviewing the contracts or the City Manager negotiating the contracts is still part of the cost of building a park. And, what I hear from this bill is that, 'No, we don't want to pay that. We want to take general fund money and stick it into Question #18 funds.'" Ms. DePauw referenced the "40 percent to build new parks. You have 20 percent to maintain it. I don't feel like Question #18 money should be going out of the general fund for you to be doing your job." Supervisor McKenna suggested that "money is not coming out of Question #18 for us to do our job. It is the job we do for Question #18 [that] Question #18 is being charged for." He suggested this may be the philosophical difference.

Supervisor Walt expressed understanding for Supervisor McKenna's points and the belief that "when you acquire property, as we have done with Open Space, time and time again, ... within those meetings when you're speaking about acquiring the property for open space, then the D.A.'s office is giving you their time to go over the contracts, to come up with the contracts. Finance Department. That is all within that one acquired space." Supervisor Walt inquired as to the source of funding to pay for these services, and referred to the proposed multi-purpose activity center as an example. Ms. DePauw advised of little familiarity with the Open Space Program, and "when you talk about the new facility, yes, I expect it to come out of the 40 percent, just like the voters said, and the 20 percent to maintain it. That's where I expect it to come from." In response to a further question, Ms. DePauw advised that she "expect[s] it to come out of what needs to be taken out of Question #18 for new facilities and for the maintenance of it. I do not believe, personally, that the voters in this community thought, at any time, that monies for public employees were going to be paid out of Question #18 funding 'cause if there was such a question of that nature, it wouldn't have ever passed for one thing and we all told them no. It's just like when I was with the lottery. I never expected any funding to go to teachers. So, yes, I do expect the general fund and I do expect the Board of Supervisors, as a resident of this community, to handle Question #18 funding, but to handle it appropriately, what was designated. The 40 percent on the projects that were requested to be done first and then the 20 percent to go into the new maintenance. And, no, I do not believe that the people voted in the D.A. or whomever and expected us, as voters, to pay for their salaries out of Question #18 funding that they voted in." Supervisor Walt suggested that the costs are "all part of the project because without a lawyer looking at the contracts, without the Finance Director telling us whether or not its feasible to even build, ..." Additional discussion followed, and Mayor Crowell pointed out that incurred costs "don't go away." He suggested the issue is relative to who will bear the cost. He advised that the Board of Supervisors is very proud of the Open Space Program. "On the other side, what you're really asking us to do is to subsidize the costs incurred by open space ... by cops, firemen, and other general fund activities." Ms. DePauw discussed the purpose of Question #18 to provide for the community's recreational and open space needs. She requested the Board to consider cutting the general fund "instead of taking it out of what the people voted in for."

In consideration of Supervisor Aldean's previous comments relative to taking Question #18 back to a vote, Ms. DePauw advised "we thought about that but, as you know, that's not a good timing for any vote of

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 17, 2011 Meeting
Page 9

money and going back to the people, you'd lose everything, in my opinion, right now. ... And there may come a time where we have to go back in and re-look at that, but it's not any time in the near future. We haven't come close to completing the list of what the people are expecting." She requested the Board to "continue what was voted in by the people and to follow the guidance and the direction that the people of this community gave you at that time."

Supervisor Abowd expressed appreciation for all the efforts of everyone involved in developing the Quality of Life Initiative. In consideration of the cost of doing business, she posed a scenario relative to a contractor incorporating certain costs into his bid. Supervisor Aldean clarified that re-opening Question #18 could be narrowly focused to the voters.

(9:53:53) Mayor Crowell thanked Steve Hartman for his Open Space Advisory Committee service. Mr. Hartman advised of having recently resigned from the committee. He acknowledged the difficult economic times, and provided historic information on the Open Space Advisory Committee's struggle over the "fuzzy line" between Open Space and Parks and Recreation. He advised that the Open Space Advisory Committee's charge was to prioritize protection of the River corridor, the mountains, and the agricultural resources, etc. He related the example of an Open Space Program acquisition adjacent to Edmonds Drive which needed a trail and a bridge to accommodate Eagle Valley Middle School students going to and from school. "There is no clear, bright line in terms of those expenditures. And, as a result, we had ... a very good relationship with the Parks Department on those fuzzy lines." Mr. Hartman noted other issues associated with utilities and drainage, and advised that the Open Space Advisory Committee has "struggled with those but we have always managed to ... maintain the integrity of those sides of the ledger." He described Question #18 as "essentially a referendum. The people of this community said, 'We want this much money ... to go to these activities.'" He suggested the "fuzzy line" that the Board of Supervisors has to deal with "is, as a government, all of the employees are paid a salary to do whatever that job is ... all year round. And, within that job, you're to do whatever comes before you as a task." Outside consultants are retained when necessary. Mr. Hartman expressed the opinion that "it really needs to be about the community ... as a whole. With that comes this fuzzy line and that fuzzy line is a difficult line to deal with." He expressed the opinion that because Question #18 is a referendum, "you have to stay within those bounds or you should go back to the public and you should go back to them in entirety." He reiterated understanding for the Board's dilemma, and the opinion that "Question #18 is a very different issue than the others that you deal with."

Supervisor McKenna expressed understanding for Mr. Hartman's points, and inquired as to the level at which the issue should be addressed "... locally or ... at the legislature." Mr. Hartman expressed understanding for Assemblyman Livermore's frustration "because the folks that were involved in the inception of Question #18 truly believed that this was a segregated chunk of money, separate and apart from the rest of the community's ..." Mr. Hartman expressed the opinion there are ways to address the issue locally, "but the problem is ... there were attempts to do that and they just didn't ever get any traction ..." He expressed a preference to address the issue locally, "but there's a time, when if you can't do [it] locally, you don't have a lot of choices."

Supervisor Abowd expressed concern over the ambiguity of the language in Assemblyman Livermore's bill and "the pennies in the jar." She related an analogy relative to her interior design business where she bills out her time based on allocations to various jobs. She suggested that "the pennies in the jar seems ambiguous at the City level in terms of, if time is spent on whether it be Parks and Rec issues or Open Space issues by staff, shouldn't that be billed out to that particular project." Mr. Hartman suggested the difference is that government has different expertise within it, and the concept is "you were going to do that

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 17, 2011 Meeting
Page 10

anyway. That is your fuzzy line. ... Question #18 is different than a quarter cent sales tax increase which goes into the general fund.” Mr. Hartman cautioned against not “allocat[ing] people in a veiled way to do certain things for purpose of reallocating because it’s not necessary.” Discussion followed, and Supervisor Aldean read into the record a portion of Carson City Charter Article 8A. She noted that “theoretically all of these charges we’re talking about could be charged to Parks and Rec and then charged back to Question #18 because it’s a contract. ... The question here today ... is what representations were made to the general public when they were sold on Question #18.” Supervisor Aldean assumed that Assemblyman Livermore is not concerned with direct cost allocation, and Mr. Hartman agreed. He expressed the opinion that the “bigger question ... is that this Question is very different than the general fund. ... that’s what makes it different. It was sold very differently and if you are going to go back to the body politic, take the full thing back to them.”

Mayor Crowell entertained additional public comment. (10:10:53) Lori Bagwell expressed understanding for the Board’s dilemma. She advised of having recently learned that the federal government does not allow general government costs to be charged to any federal grant. “I guess that’s the issue for me here. The Board of Supervisors is the general governance of this town. That is a general fund expense and should remain so.” She suggested administering Question #18 “the same way as any other federal grants.”

(10:14:20) Carol Howell advised of having moved to Carson City in 1994 and of having voted in the 1996 election. She expressed appreciation for the specificity of Question #18 and of having voted for it “because it was [her] assumption, at that time, that 40 / 40 / 20 was set in stone and that it would not be used as a slush fund for any other account.” She expressed amazement “that we have to go through this kind of allocation of funds and, at the same time, be looking at projects like the Nugget project.” She advised that she would not have voted for Question #18 in 1996 “had [she] understood this.” She further advised of having researched Question #18, and “there was not to be ... these kinds of deductions from this fund.”

Supervisor Aldean pointed out the change in the Board of Supervisors’ membership. “Some people in this room ... have a deeper understanding of what transpired during those days when this was being advocated for as a ballot question.” Supervisor Aldean expressed respect for the institutional knowledge, but noted the importance of fairness in consideration of the Board’s responsibility over the City’s solvency. She further noted that staff, at the Board’s direction, is doing its best to make ends meet. She questioned the fairness of “castigat[ing] staff for doing their job and that is to make sure that potholes are filled, make sure that we have sufficient police in the street to provide the services we need, to make sure that we have a fire department that is able to respond at a moment’s notice to an event requiring their services.” She suggested there are no villains in this scenario and that “it’s a matter of interpretation.” She expressed appreciation for Ms. Howell’s comments, and the hope that “this wouldn’t sully you on the system or the process because that’s why we’re having this discussion today ...” Ms. Howell acknowledged her intent was not to impugn anyone’s integrity. She expressed the belief that this was not the intent of the people “that these funds be used that way.” She expressed concern over “seeing these kinds of games being played, allocations, call them what you will, with the accounts.” In response to a question, Supervisor Aldean noted the statutory requirement to balance the City’s budget and, if there is no money, the only option is to “start making more cuts.” She expressed the opinion that the City has done so reasonably effectively. With regard to the subject item, she discussed the need to understand the intent of the Quality of Life Initiative and “what we can do to be fair to the intent of the voters while being fair to the needs of the general fund.” Ms. Howell described the situation as “the economy versus everybody,” and expressed understanding for the fact that the current “Board was not in place in 1996 and didn’t make these types of guarantees.” She expressed the opinion that the intention of the Quality of Life Initiative is the responsibility of the Board “to what the voters in this City voted for.”

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 17, 2011 Meeting
Page 11

Discussion ensued, and Mayor Crowell pointed out that Question #18 does not provide for general fund operations to subsidize another fund. "From a fiscal responsibility standpoint and a transparency and an accountability argument, ... cost causers should pay the cost." He noted that the effect of Assemblyman Livermore's proposed bill and its amendment is to "subsidize Question #18 funds with general fund operations." Additional discussion followed.

(10:27:33) Assemblyman Livermore acknowledged that his bill was never intended to deny the professionals that are hired by local government to operate the Open Space Program. In reference to the proposed amendment, Supervisor Aldean suggested revising the language at 3(B) to clarify no intent to interfere with the City's ability to transfer money into the City's bond funds.

Mayor Crowell entertained additional public comment. (10:32:07) John Wagner advised of having recently taught a lobbying class. He suggested considering that without the existence of Question #18, the City would be hard pressed to provide parks and other recreational facilities.

(10:34:09) Donna DePauw expressed the belief that no one "wanted it to get to this point." She expressed respect for the Board of Supervisors and their advisory boards.

(10:35:52) Bill Burke expressed disturbance over having voted for the Quality of Life Initiative and the belief that "this money is being diverted somewhere else." He noted none of the testimony indicated any belief that "this money should be spent this way." In reference to the agenda report, Mayor Crowell noted the appropriate time to discuss the subject matter was in February when the Board was presented with the annual cost allocation study. Mr. Burke suggested that the impact of decisions are often not felt "until we have time to analyze them." He expressed the opinion that there are many other budget cuts which could take place in the City. "I see wasted money going on in this town," and Mr. Burke offered to discuss the same with Mayor Crowell. He expressed the opinion that the cost allocation process is "a way of diverting funds ..."

Mayor Crowell entertained additional public comment and, when none was forthcoming, expressed the opinion that the subject discussion "need not occur in front of the Legislature." Supervisor Walt agreed, and suggested that the Board consider the source from which the costs should be funded. "Quality of Life is part of Parks and Rec and we're going to have to be looking to see whether or not the Parks and Rec Department can look at another \$135,000 coming out of their budget." Supervisor McKenna advised of having supported Question #18, and that he was serving as a Parks and Recreation Commissioner at the time it was put on the ballot. He further advised of having always expected Question #18 to pay all of the associated project costs, including its share of general government. He suggested "all this is something that probably needs to be discussed, and it needs to be discussed effectively" at the local level. "Excuses that I was not listened to, that I tried for years and failed, we few people do not agree with what is being done currently, that does not justify the abandonment of local government and enable the Assembly and the Senate to become involved in Carson City management." Supervisor McKenna expressed the opinion that the proposed bill should go no further and should be withdrawn today. Supervisor Abowd expressed agreement with Supervisors Walt and McKenna. "This is an accounting question. It's on which ledger are things presented. It's a local issue. ... We don't need one more level of government being involved in a local issue that ... is resolvable." Supervisor Abowd noted that maintenance costs are going to increase over time on all the Open Space Program properties and administration of that maintenance is all a part of that cost. "It's about which ledgers do we put it on, and ... we're all intelligent enough to work through that on a local level and make that happen." Supervisor Aldean commended the discussion as very useful, and expressed confidence that Assemblyman Livermore proposed the bill in response to constituent concerns.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 17, 2011 Meeting
Page 12

She agreed with her colleagues that the matter can be resolved at the local level. She expressed a preference to take “some sort of substantive action ... that would lead [Assemblyman Livermore] to believe that we are serious about dealing with this issue effectively.”

(10:45:11) Assemblyman Livermore advised that “a bill goes through many processes. It’s being presented to the committee of taxation on next Tuesday at 9:00 a.m. You cannot amend your charter yourself. The only board that can amend your charter is the legislature. So you may have local desires and local issues but it’s a creation of NRS that amends your charter. You’ve got a charter review committee and you make recommendations to the legislature to amend that just as we’re doing with the airport board. Only the legislature can address those. You can address by, probably by resolution or some nature of that and I’ve attempted to go down those pathways before. And I’ve had no success with that and so I would think that long before it gets to the desk of the governor to sign this, you’ve got months and months so I think you could probably demonstrate, in some form or fashion, some opportunity clarify this long before it gets, when you’ve got a bill heard, then you’ve got a workshop after that and you take testimony, there are amendments to the bill and then you get to the workshop. And once you get to the workshop and the committee addresses and debates this, I could present information, if that’s the case that supports something and, even after that, it goes to the floor of the Assembly and it takes three votes or three presentations before its voted and sent on to the Senate and then the process starts all over again. So it’s not something that’s going to be approved on Tuesday, but the process is on its way. When I offered the bill draft, and the bill’s out now and the presentation is there because the agenda there is I don’t believe I would be willing to not hear that bill. I think that bill needs to be heard and, if it’s going to be addressed on the local level, I would encourage you to immediately start that process of doing that.” In response to a question, Assemblyman Livermore advised that “the hearing is not going to result in anything other than a hearing.” He expressed uncertainty as to who would speak for or against the bill.

Supervisor Aldean compared the situation to revisiting the Bi-State Compact in the context of the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency. Discussion took place regarding the legislative bill process, and Supervisor Aldean expressed a preference to avoid an adversarial relationship between the Board and Assemblyman Livermore. She expressed the opinion that there will be no choice but to lobby against those portions of the bill to which the Board is opposed. She noted that Assemblyman Livermore, as a former member of the Board of Supervisors, “along with [his] colleagues ... always kind of resented the legislature meddling in the affairs of local government.” She expressed the opinion that the proposed bill amounts to meddling. She again requested Assemblyman Livermore to withdraw the proposed bill with the Board’s commitment to “have serious discussions about the issues ... raised.” Assemblyman Livermore reiterated that the Board would have time to seriously discuss the subject issue in consideration of the legislative calendar. Supervisor Aldean reiterated the attempt to avoid placing the City in an awkward position. She noted there would be another opportunity in 2012 to consider the possibility of amending the Charter. In the meantime, the matter could be submitted to the Charter Review Committee.

Assemblyman Livermore expressed the opinion “this should be no surprise. Mr. Werner was well aware, when I was elected on November 3rd, I met with him and offered a bill on the airport. I did tell him I was going to offer a bill on what I thought was to reaffirm Question #18. I believe that’s been an issue. Again, it’s not a surprise from November to here we are on March 17th.” Assemblyman Livermore assured the Board that the intent of the proposed bill was “not attempting to do something that would be ... combative ...” He suggested, “... in most cases, it’s not bad to agree to disagree. And I think that that’s the status where I’m at. I just agree to disagree at this point in time and I don’t want to miss my opportunities.” He reiterated the opinion “there’s still time and opportunity for further negotiations.”

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 17, 2011 Meeting
Page 13

Mayor Crowell reiterated that the cost allocation study was presented to and approved by the Board in February. He advised that the City's budget process is forthcoming, and expressed concern that "none of this was talked about when the allocation process came up." He committed to "raise this and see where it goes." He reiterated the position that he is "generally against this cross-subsidy of services. I don't think costs go away. I think we can argue about whether the costs are proper or not and ... the proper time to do that is in the budget [process.]" Mayor Crowell reiterated the inappropriateness of having "this local discussion" in front of any legislative committee. He entertained a motion to support amending the charter or advising the bill sponsor. "At the end of the day, costs don't go away and if the bill passes, ... other things are going to give. So, they give. ... We can argue about the costs, and the level of the costs, but when it comes to this bill, if it passes the way it is or as it's proposed to be amended, that just means something else has to go and we'll have the discussion. And I think the first place that things have to go is ... you don't want to subsidize things and you're going to assign costs and you cannot assign them to open space, ... they're going to get assigned to the next place where they have a reasonable nexus ... which is parks and rec. If you can't make it there, it's going to go to the general fund which is going to come back to other functions that are funded by the general fund." Mayor Crowell did not anticipate anyone lobbying for or against the bill.

Supervisor Aldean expressed the hope that, based on the conversation in the event the bill passes, we would amend the one provision discussed that it would not preclude transference of Question #18 funding into the bond funds so that we can keep current on our bond payments. Mayor Crowell entertained a motion. **Supervisor Aldean moved to send a communication to the bill sponsor, respectfully requesting that such legislation not be introduced or processed for the reasons stated in the explanation of the recommended board action, with a commitment from this Board to re-examine the indirect cost allocations to the Quality of Life fund within the next two months. Supervisor Walt seconded the motion.** Supervisor McKenna requested an amendment to actively resist the bill in the legislature and expend whatever funds are necessary to do so. Supervisor Aldean declined to amend her motion.

Mayor Crowell entertained public comment. (10:56:30) Donna DePauw advised that the Charter Review Committee can be called at any time.

Mayor Crowell entertained additional discussion and, when none was forthcoming, called for a vote on the pending motion. **Motion carried 5-0.**

17. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS NON-ACTION ITEMS:

STATUS REVIEW OF PROJECTS - None.

INTERNAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS (11:12:49) - Supervisor Abowd advised that she and Supervisor McKenna attended last night's Carson Water Subconservancy District meeting. She reviewed highlights of the meeting, including water sampling and mosquito abatement. Mayor Crowell advised that he is taking professional dancing lessons, and that he would be participating in the West Coast Swing Dance Convention on April 2nd. Supervisor Walt advised that she and Sheriff Furlong would also be participating.

CORRESPONDENCE TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS - None.

STATUS REPORTS AND COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD MEMBERS - None.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 17, 2011 Meeting
Page 14

STAFF COMMENTS AND STATUS REPORT - None.

18. RECESS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (11:00:50) - Mayor Crowell recessed the Board of Supervisors at 11:00 a.m. and reconvened at 11:12 a.m. He returned to item 17. (11:14:20) Mayor Crowell recessed the Board of Supervisors.

BOARD OF HEALTH

19. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL (11:14:27) - Chairperson Susan Pintar called the Board of Health to order at 11:14 a.m. Mr. Glover called the roll; a quorum was present, including Member Furlong.

20. ACTION ON APPROVAL OF MINUTES - December 16, 2010 (11:14:58) - Member Abowd advised she would abstain because she had not yet been appointed to the board in December 2010. Member Aldean moved to approve the minutes, as presented. Member Walt seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0-1, Member Abowd abstaining.

21. HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT

21(A) DIRECTOR'S REPORT REGARDING CARSON CITY HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES ("CCHHS") ACTIVITIES (11:16:20) - Health and Human Services Department Director Marena Works reviewed the Director's Report, a copy of which was provided for the record. In response to a question, Chairperson Pintar advised of no particular concerns relative to new trends in infectious disease. "If disease is acceptable, they're within acceptable ranges at this stage." Chairperson Pintar noted that "we're relatively early in the flu season, but there are not any national indications that we're going to have one like we had a couple years ago." She commended the flu vaccine campaign.

In response to a further question, Chairperson Pintar noted the focus of public health on preparedness. She offered to discuss earthquake preparedness with Member McKenna, and reassured the board that the Health and Human Services Department staff as well as the Fire Department staff spend a lot of time ensuring "we are as prepared as we can be given our resources." She clarified that there was no specific focus on preparing for radiation exposure "primarily because that is not a likely scenario for our area." Mr. Werner advised that an emergency management program presentation will be agendaized for a Board of Supervisors meeting in the near future.

Vice Chairperson Crowell noted the extensive discussion at the legislature relative to shifting health services to the local jurisdictions. With regard to the Health and Human Services Department, he advised of having received several accolades from "people at the legislature on both sides of the fence, elected and non-elected, about the quality of our ability, particularly Marena, to look for solutions on a regional basis where we can help our state move forward." Chairperson Pintar advised of increasing interest from adjacent counties to "copy what we do well and we would like to be able to copy what they do well." She thanked Vice Chairperson Crowell for his comments.

21(B) PROGRAM MANAGER UPDATE ON THE NURSING PROGRAM AT CCHHS (11:29:26) - Chairperson Pintar introduced Carson City Health and Human Services Clinic Program Manager Roni Galas. (11:29:37) Ms. Galas provided an overview of her presentation relative to clinical services provided on site at 900 East Long Street. She explained that the clinical services "fall into four different categories. One ... would be our family planning clinic, but within our family planning clinic, we

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 17, 2011 Meeting
Page 15

offer sexually transmitted infection checks pre-conception care, so preventive services for individuals not wanting pregnancy now but maybe wanting pregnancy in the future as well as ... preventive health services.” She reviewed corresponding statistical information. She discussed the provision of well child exams, immunizations, and TB tests. She reviewed additional statistical information relative to clinic visits in 2010, and responded to questions regarding follow up care.

Chairperson Pintar responded to questions of clarification regarding the recommendation for children’s flu vaccines. In response to a further question, she explained, “The trouble with influenza is that the virus changes every year and so, even if you had it the year before, you don’t carry any immunity on to the next year.” In response to a question, she advised that the clinic is not currently involved in any active research studies. “Our clinic, because it is a screening center, is not typically eligible. Most of the trials ... are treatment trials. We are, right now, exploring some interactions with WNC and with the UNR College of Community Health Sciences ... and getting involved in some research studies. ... We’re not in the position to offer treatments.”

In response to a question, Ms. Galas and Chairperson Pintar reviewed statistical information relative to sexually transmitted diseases. Chairperson Pintar entertained additional comments or questions and, when none were forthcoming, thanked Ms. Galas for her report.

21(C) DISCUSSION AND ACTION TO ADOPT REVISED BYLAWS FOR THE CARSON CITY BOARD OF HEALTH (11:47:08) - Chairperson Pintar introduced this item, provided background information, referred to the agenda materials, and entertained comments of the board members. Member Aldean noted the requirement for a board secretary, and suggested agendaizing action to appoint a secretary at the next board meeting. Chairperson Pintar and Member Aldean recalled that Member Furlong had been designated as the board secretary at a previous meeting, and Chairperson Pintar requested staff to research the minutes.

Chairperson Pintar entertained a motion. **Member Walt moved to adopt the bylaws for the Carson City Board of Health. Vice Chairperson Crowell seconded the motion. Motion carried 6-0.** Chairperson Pintar reported that progress is being made on the governance evaluation. She provided background information for the benefit of Members Abowd and McKenna.

21(D) REVIEW OF THE RESULTS FROM THE LOCAL PUBLIC HEALTH SYSTEM PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT CONDUCTED IN SEPTEMBER AND DECEMBER 2010 (11:49:15) - Chairperson Pintar introduced this item, and reviewed the agenda materials, including a “Short Summary” prepared by Chairperson Pintar and Ms. Works, and distributed to the board members and the Clerk prior to the start of the meeting. Chairperson Pintar entertained comments or questions; however, none were forthcoming.

21(E) REVIEW OF THE MATRIX DEVELOPED FROM RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY THE HUMANE SOCIETY OF THE UNITED STATES REPORT (11:54:05) - Chairperson Pintar introduced this item, and Ms. Works reviewed the agenda materials. Member Abowd commended the report, and the progress made. In response to a question, Ms. Works advised that the recently-hired volunteer coordinator is scheduled to begin work on Monday, April 11th. She has extensive experience administering animal services volunteer programs. In response to a question, Mr. Werner advised that funding is available; “it’s a matter of prioritizing it.” He expressed the belief that the recommended improvements are critical. In response to a question, Ms. Works expressed the hope that

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 17, 2011 Meeting
Page 16

volunteers can be reintroduced to the animal shelter operation once the policies and procedures are implemented and a training program developed. She anticipates this will come together by June, and discussed the formalized application and training process to be associated with the volunteer program.

21(F) TOUR OF THE ANIMAL SERVICES FACILITY (12:03:19) - Chairperson Pintar introduced this item. She thanked Member Furlong for volunteering to speak at the National Association of City and County Health Officials. She noted a recent article about Carson City published in the National Association of Local Boards of Health magazine. Chairperson Pintar recessed the Board of Health at 12:04 p.m. In response to a question, Mr. Munn suggested designating 1:00 p.m. as the time for adjournment of the Board of Health following the animal services facility tour.

Animal Services Director Gail Radtke conducted a tour of the animal services facility. A quorum of the Board of Health was present, as well as members of the public and City staff. Ms. Radtke, Ms. Works, and Mr. Werner responded to various questions regarding facility operations throughout the duration of the tour. Ms. Radtke thanked the board members, City staff, and citizens present for their participation.

22. ACTION TO ADJOURN BOARD OF HEALTH (1:00:00) - A motion was made, seconded, and carried unanimously to adjourn the Board of Health meeting following the animal services facility tour.

23. RECONVENE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (5:33:32) - Mayor Crowell reconvened the Board of Supervisors at 5:33 p.m. All members of the Board were present, constituting a quorum. District Attorney Neil Rombardo was present.

24 CITY MANAGER - PRESENTATION OF APPLICATIONS FOR ANNUAL FUNDING OF CARSON CITY COMMUNITY SUPPORT SERVICES AND ACTION TO ALLOCATE FUNDS FOR FY 2011 - 2012 (5:33:44) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item, provided an overview of and direction regarding the process.

(5:35:36) Nevada Rural Counties Retired Senior Volunteer Program ("RSVP") Executive Director Janice Ayres distributed to the Board members and City staff a written statement which she read into the record. In response to a question, she advised that services to seniors has "increased ... three-fold" since last year. She provided corresponding statistical information. She acknowledged that all of the recipients are unable to pay for RSVP services. "For some of our services, we do ask them to at least attest to the fact that they're low income at poverty level and they have to sign a form ..."

Mayor Crowell disclosed that he serves on the Partnership Carson City Ad Hoc Advisory Committee and on the Circles Initiative Board. Mr. Rombardo responded to questions of clarification relative to a group disclosure. Supervisor Walt disclosed that she serves on both the Partnership Carson City Executive Board and the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee. Supervisor McKenna advised of having recently resigned from the F.I.S.H. Board of Directors. Supervisor Aldean disclosed that she serves as a member of the Capital City Circles Initiative Board of Directors. She advised that the Circles Initiative was the recent recipient of federal funding through Partnership Carson City. Supervisor Abowd disclosed that The Greenhouse Project was previously under the Ron Wood Foundation, but is now its own 501(c)(3) non-profit corporation. She further disclosed that her husband, Charlie, serves as a member of the Nevada Rural Counties RSVP Board of Directors and as a member of the Community Counseling Center Advisory Board. Mayor Crowell entertained additional questions or comments and, when none were forthcoming, thanked Ms. Ayres.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 17, 2011 Meeting
Page 17

(5:44:27) Tammi Palmer, of Home Health Services of Nevada, reviewed the application included in the agenda materials. She acknowledged no redundancy between the services provided by Home Health Services of Nevada and the Nevada Rural Counties RSVP. In response to a question, Ms. Palmer reviewed services provided under Title 3 grants and the community support services grants. In response to a further question, Ms. Ayres described the differences in services provided by the two organizations. In response to a further question, Ms. Palmer advised that Home Health Services of Nevada has 140 Carson City clients. Mayor Crowell entertained additional questions or comments and, when none were forthcoming, thanked Ms. Palmer for her presentation.

(5:48:31) Advocates to End Domestic Violence Executive Director Lisa Lee reviewed the application included in the agenda materials. She acknowledged Advocates currently employs bi-lingual staff persons. In response to a further question, she reviewed allocation of the grant funding. Mayor Crowell thanked Ms. Lee for her presentation.

(5:50:25) Jessica Sedillos, representing Partnership Carson City and United Latino Community, reviewed the application included in the agenda materials. In response to a question, she advised that the Partnership Carson City Youth Grant was not applied for. In response to a further question, she emphasized that the requested funding will be strictly allocated to Carson City residents. Mayor Crowell entertained additional comments or questions and, when none were forthcoming, thanked Ms. Sedillos for her presentation.

(5:53:53) Linda Lange, representing Partnership Carson City, reviewed the application process and the application included in the agenda materials. In response to a question, Ms. Lange advised that both the Boys and Girls Clubs of Western Nevada and the Big Brothers Big Sisters organizations serve children whose parents are incarcerated. She advised of plans to meet with Big Brothers Big Sisters representatives during the first week in April to discuss collaboration and alternative funding sources. Mayor Crowell entertained additional questions or comments and, when none were forthcoming, thanked Ms. Lange for her presentation.

(5:59:23) AARP Tax Aide Foundation Senior District Coordinator Gil Yanuck provided background information on the Tax Aide Foundation service, and reviewed statistical information relative to the application included in the agenda materials. In response to a question, he advised that the national program receives an IRS grant to pay for supplies and “part of that grant says ... we cannot charge anything. This is a totally free service.” In response to a further question, he advised that the foundation is on the list to be considered for the City’s surplus equipment. “It’s on the list of surplus equipment because it really isn’t usable.” Mr. Yanuck reviewed the computer criteria necessary to run the tax preparation software, and advised that Mr. Werner has worked hard to try to find surplus computer equipment. In response to a further question, Mr. Yanuck advised that the foundation works with Computer Corps. Mayor Crowell thanked Mr. Yanuck for his presentation.

(6:07:54) Community Counseling Center Clinical Outpatient Director Brandy Hartline reviewed the application included in the agenda materials. In response to a question, she was uncertain as to the amount of funding to be cut from the center’s state allocation. Mayor Crowell entertained additional questions or comments and, when none were forthcoming, thanked Ms. Hartline and requested her to convey the Board’s best wishes to Administrator Mary Bryan.

(6:09:49) Mile High Jazz Band Association Board Member Elinor Bugli provided background information on the Mile High Jazz Band Association and previous funding and support. She reviewed the application included in the agenda materials, and distributed programs to the Board members and staff. She

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 17, 2011 Meeting
Page 18

acknowledged having solicited and received past funding from the Carson City Convention and Visitors Bureau and the Nevada Commission on Tourism. Mayor Crowell disclosed that his wife serves as a member of the Mile High Jazz Band Committee. He entertained additional questions or comments and, when none were forthcoming, thanked Ms. Bugli for her presentation.

(6:13:26) Capital City Circles Initiative Coordinator Brenda Silis and Capital City Circles Initiative Board of Directors Member Anne Maquarie introduced themselves for the record. Ms. Silis read the Capital City Circles Initiative mission statement into the record and reviewed the application included in the agenda materials. Mayor Crowell entertained questions or comments and, when none were forthcoming, thanked Ms. Silis and Ms. Maquarie.

(6:16:48) Ron Wood Family Resource Center Executive Director Joyce Buckingham distributed to the Board members and staff a fact sheet and reviewed the application included in the agenda materials. She discussed other grant funding applications submitted this year in conjunction with a description of the various programs administered by the Ron Wood Family Resource Center. She responded to questions regarding statistical information relative to individuals served; other, similar organizations; the relationship between Ron Wood Family Resource Center and the Food Bank of Northern Nevada; and other food sources. Mayor Crowell entertained additional questions or comments and, when none were forthcoming, thanked Ms. Buckingham.

(6:28:43) Nevada Day, Inc. President Ken Hamilton provided background information on last year's Nevada Day Celebration and plans for this year. Mayor Crowell entertained questions or comments and, when none were forthcoming, thanked Mr. Hamilton.

(6:32:20) Ormsby Association of Carson City Executive Director Mary Winkler provided background information on the recent name change and reviewed the organization's mission in conjunction with the application included in the agenda materials. Mayor Crowell entertained questions or comments. Supervisor Abowd thanked Ms. Winkler for her service to the community. Mayor Crowell thanked her for her presentation.

(6:36:51) Nevada Tahoe Conservation District Manager Doug Martin distributed to the Board members and staff a summary of current projects, and discussed the Carson Clear Water Revival Project being done in collaboration with the Carson City Public Works Department. Mayor Crowell entertained questions or comments and, when none were forthcoming, thanked Mr. Martin for his presentation.

(6:40:45) CASA of Carson City Executive Director Chris Bayer was joined at the podium by several volunteers, and provided background information on CASA's mission. Mayor Crowell entertained questions or comments and, when none were forthcoming, the Board members thanked Mr. Bayer and the CASA volunteers.

(6:43:26) Mike Harrison introduced himself for the record, related his personal experience with the Rural Center for Independent Living, and requested the Board's consideration of the application included in the agenda materials. Mike Longacre introduced himself for the record, advised that he "runs the front desk," and related his personal experience with the Rural Center for Independent Living. He requested the Board's consideration of the application, noting that the "need for bus passes and birth certificates is a big step in coming back up when you're down on your luck." Mayor Crowell thanked the gentlemen. In response to a question, Mr. Longacre estimated \$1,000 of the \$2,400 requested would be allocated toward

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 17, 2011 Meeting
Page 19

“the birth certificates and the rest of it would go towards the bus passes.” He noted the main concern of “getting [people] off the street and helping them get jobs.” Supervisor Aldean commended the gentlemen.

(6:47:45) F.I.S.H. Executive Director Jim Peckham distributed to the Board members and staff a summary of the information included in the application materials, and reviewed the application. Mayor Crowell entertained questions or comments and, when none were forthcoming, thanked Mr. Peckham for his service.

(6:52:32) Nevada Health Centers, Inc. CEO Tom Chase introduced Chief Development Officer Shirley Hampton and reviewed the application included in the agenda materials in conjunction with displayed renderings. He responded to questions regarding the status of the building project, and acknowledged that the construction is funded. In response to a question, Ms. Hampton estimated the cost of furnishing examination rooms at \$4,000 each and the landscape at in excess of \$100,000. Mayor Crowell entertained additional questions or comments and, when none were forthcoming, thanked Mr. Chase and Ms. Hampton for their presentation.

(7:00:20) Muscle Powered Treasurer Marcus Marcheggar introduced Board Member Jeff Potter, and thanked the Board for the letter supporting the Ash Canyon to Kings Canyon Trail which was sent to the U.S. Forest Service last summer. Mr. Marcheggar reviewed Muscle Powered’s mission and goals for the requested funding. Mr. Potter acknowledged having presented the proposal to the Open Space Advisory Committee, and advised that Muscle Powered is seeking additional funding sources. He explained the potential for a NEPA document relative to crossing federal lands, and the goal to first construct that portion of the trail which is on Carson City property. In response to a question, he advised that the Open Space Advisory Committee requested a cost estimate for the environmental assessment. In response to a further question, he clarified that the tools and materials request was not presented to the Open Space Advisory Committee. Supervisor Aldean expressed support for writing a letter to the Open Space Advisory Committee endorsing the project and requesting allocation of the requested funds. Mr. Potter advised of unanimous support for the trail project from the Parks and Recreation Department and the Open Space Advisory Committee. Discussion followed. (7:13:05) Open Space / Property Manager Juan Guzman provided background information on Muscle Powered’s presentation to the Open Space Advisory Committee. He anticipates no problem allocating funding toward the subject or other portions of the trail project. Mayor Crowell entertained additional questions or comments. Supervisor Walt commended Muscle Powered on their volunteer efforts. Mayor Crowell discussed the benefits of the Bicycle Friendly Community designation which application was submitted in January.

(7:16:33) Boys and Girls Clubs of Western Nevada Executive Director Hal Hansen expressed the hope that funding would be allocated. Mr. Werner acknowledged the recommended allocation of \$106,000, and provided background information relative to the same.

Mayor Crowell reiterated the difficulty associated with action on this item. He commended all the community organizations and representatives. “... we’re a great community because we’re not just a collection of neighborhoods.” Mr. Werner responded to questions of clarification regarding the recommended allocations which were displayed on a spreadsheet in the meeting room, and discussion took place with regard to the same. At Supervisor Walt’s request, Linda Lange discussed youth services funding relative to the Big Brothers Big Sisters request. Discussion followed, and Supervisor Aldean requested the Nevada Health Centers allocation to be specifically earmarked for the purchase of examination tables.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 17, 2011 Meeting
Page 20

Mr. Werner modified the displayed spreadsheet according to the discussion which had taken place. He acknowledged that the item may have to be reagendized depending upon legislative decisions which may affect the City's general fund. Mayor Crowell entertained a motion. **Supervisor Walt moved to approve the community support services grant allocations, in the amount of \$418,250, according to the displayed spreadsheet which was made a part of the record. Supervisor Aldean seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.** At Supervisor Walt's request, Mr. Werner reviewed the funding to be allocated from the Storm Water fund, the Redevelopment Authority, the Regional Transportation Commission, and Question #18. Mayor Crowell thanked the community support services organizations.

25. ACTION TO ADJOURN (7:35:06) - Mayor Crowell adjourned the meeting at 7:35 p.m.

The Minutes of the March 17, 2011 Carson City Board of Supervisors meeting are so approved this 21st day of April, 2011.

ROBERT L. CROWELL, Mayor

ATTEST:

ALAN GLOVER, Clerk - Recorder