

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
Minutes of the April 2, 1998, Meeting  
Page 1

A regularly scheduled meeting of the Carson City Board of Supervisors was held on Thursday, April 2, 1998, at the Community Center Sierra Room, 851 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada, beginning at 8:30 a.m.

PRESENT:	Ray Masayko	Mayor
	Tom Tatro	Supervisor, Ward 3
	Greg Smith	Supervisor, Ward 1
	Jon Plank	Supervisor, Ward 2
	Kay Bennett	Supervisor, Ward 4
STAFF PRESENT:	John Berkich	City Manager
	Alan Glover	Clerk-Recorder
	Rod Banister	Sheriff
	John Iratcabal	Purchasing Director
	Tim Homann	Acting Public Works Director
	Paul Lipparelli	Chief Deputy District Attorney
	Scott Fahrenbruch	Park Superintendent
	Ken Arnold	Environmental Control Manager
	Vern Krahn	Parks Planner
	Harvey Brotzman	RTC Engineer
	Katherine McLaughlin	Recording Secretary
	Beth Huck	Business License Compliance Ofr.
		(B.O.S. 4/2/98 Tape 1-0001.5)

NOTE: Unless otherwise indicated, each item was introduced by staff's reading/outlining/clarifying the Board Action Request and/or supporting documentation. Staff members present for each Department are listed under that Department's heading. Any other individuals who spoke are listed immediately following the item heading. A tape recording of these proceedings is on file in the Clerk-Recorder's office. This tape is available for review and inspection during normal business hours.

**CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL, INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE** - Mayor Masayko convened the meeting at 8:30 a.m. Roll call was taken. A quorum of the Board was present although Supervisor Tatro had not yet arrived. Rev. Bruce Henderson of the Church of Christ gave the Invocation. Mayor Masayko lead the Pledge of Allegiance.

**CITIZEN COMMENTS (1-0030.5)** - None.

**1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES - January 8 and 22, and February 5, 1998 (1-0037.5)** - Mayor Masayko noted the corrected pages to the February 5th minutes. Supervisor Smith moved to approve the Minutes as presented with the corrections. Supervisor Bennett and Mayor Masayko seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0.

**LIQUOR AND ENTERTAINMENT BOARD (1-0055.5)** - Mayor Masayko recessed the Board of Supervisors session and immediately reconvened the session as the Liquor and Entertainment Board. A quorum was present including Sheriff Bannister. Member Tatro arrived during the introduction to the first item.

(1-0825.5) Mayor Masayko again recessed the Board of Supervisors session and immediately reconvened the session as the Liquor and Entertainment Board. The entire Board was present for the second session including Sheriff Banister and Supervisor Tatro, constituting a quorum.

**2. TREASURER** - Business License Compliance Officer Beth Huck

**A. ACTION ON A BEER AND WINE LICENSE FOR HECTOR CRUZ AND NEIDA FLORES, DOING BUSINESS AS CARNICERIA AND TAQUERIA "EL TORITO" LOCATED AT 304 EAST**

**WINNIE LANE (1-0058.5)** - During Ms. Huck's introduction, Member Tatro arrived--8:35 a.m. (The entire Board was present constituting a quorum.) Both Hector Cruz and Neida Flores were present and indicated they understand the Nevada Liquor Laws. Member Smith moved that the Liquor and Entertainment Board approve a Liquor License for Hector Cruz and Neida Flores, doing business as Carniceria and Taqueria "El Torito", located at 304 East Winnie Lane, fiscal impact is \$575 Investigation Fee, \$500 New Fee, and \$150 Quarterly Fee. Member Tatro seconded the motion. Discussion noted the favorable Sheriff's Departmental Report. Discussion also explained the difference in fees assessed to the Liquor License applicants for consideration by the Board this morning. The motion to approve the Liquor License for Hector Cruz and Neida Flores was voted and carried 6-0.

**B. ACTION ON A FULL BAR LIQUOR LICENSE FOR ALFRED E. PEDIGO, DOING BUSINESS AS DESPERADO'S, LOCATED AT 272 FAIRVIEW DRIVE (1-0110.5)** - Tabled pending the applicant's arrival.

(1-0828.5) Alfred Pedigo discussed with the Board his DUI record which was several years old. Discussion also indicated that the bar is currently closed. It may be opened the first week in May. Supervisor Tatro moved that the Liquor and Entertainment Board approve a full bar liquor license for Alfred E. Pedigo, doing business as Desperado's, located at 272 Fairview Drive, fiscal impact is \$500 investigation fee, \$1,000 new fee, and \$200 quarterly fee. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Motion carried 6-0.

**C. ACTION ON AN ADDITIONAL NATURE OF PACKAGED LIQUOR FOR MARK AND JENNIFER CLAYPOOL, DOING BUSINESS AS GARIBALDI'S, LOCATED AT 301 NORTH CARSON STREET (1-0121.5)** - Discussion explained that the request is to expand the current Liquor License by having a "wine of the month club". Member Smith moved that the Liquor and Entertainment Board approve the additional nature of packaged liquor for March and Jennifer Claypool, doing business as Garibaldi's, located at 301 North Carson Street, fiscal impact is a \$25 application fee. Member Tatro seconded the motion. Member Banister noted the favorable Sheriff's Departmental Report. Motion carried 6-0.

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (1-0142.5) (1-0895.5)** - There being no other matters for consideration by the Liquor and Entertainment Board, Chairperson Masayko adjourned the Liquor and Entertainment Board and immediately reconvened the session as the Board of Supervisors. The entire Board was present constituting a quorum.

**3. CONSENT AGENDA (1-0145.5)**

**A. TREASURER - ACTION ON PARTIAL REMOVAL OF 1997-98 REAL PROPERTY TAXES DUE TO DONATION OF VETERAN EXEMPTION TO THE VETERAN'S HOME ON 18 PARCELS**

**B. PARKS AND RECREATION DIRECTOR - ACTION ON NAMING THE PARK SITE ON MARK WAY**

**C. PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT**

**i. ACTION ON AMENDMENTS TO POLICY NUMBER 1998-P-1, TITLED "POLICIES AND PROCEDURES RELATED TO DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTS"**

**ii. ACTION ON APPOINTMENT OF JIM HADDEN, P.L.S. NO. 5286, AS ACTING CITY/COUNTY SURVEYOR EXCLUSIVELY FOR THE REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF THE CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT FOR SILVER OAK P.U.D., PHASE 8, RECORDER 2/13/98, FILE NO. 213633, CARSON CITY OFFICIAL RECORDS**

**iii. ACTION ON DEDICATION OF PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENT FROM TERRY AND MYRA TANNER OVER A STRIP OF LAND 15 FEET WIDE BY 20 FEET LONG (CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 300 SQUARE FEET) FOR THE INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF PUBLIC UTILITIES ON THE NORTHWESTERLY SIDE OF HOT SPRINGS ROAD ON APN 02-062-12**

**iv. ACTION ON DEDICATION OF PUBLIC UTILITY, DRAINAGE AND ACCESS EASEMENT 25 FEET WIDE BY 75 FEET LONG RUNNING WEST TO EAST ON PROPERTY AT THE**

**EAST END OF BASQUE WAY ON APN 08-125-38 FROM PATRICK AND ANGELINE DOUGLAS**

v. **ACTION ON DEDICATION OF PUBLIC UTILITY, DRAINAGE AND ACCESS EASEMENT 25 FEET WIDE BY 75 FEET LONG RUNNING WEST TO EAST ON PROPERTY AT THE EAST END OF BASQUE WAY ON APN 08-125-37 FROM DIALYSIS CLINIC, INC.**

vi. **ACTION ON APPROVAL OF AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TO PROVIDE CARSON CITY'S TRANSIT PROGRAM MATCHING FUNDS FROM OCTOBER 1, 1997, TO SEPTEMBER 30, 1998**

**D. PURCHASING DIRECTOR**

i. **ACTION ON CONTRACT NO. 9798-239 - EDMONDS SPORTS COMPLEX PHASE I CONSTRUCTION - CONSULTING SERVICES, CONTRACT APPROVAL**

ii. **ACTION ON CONTRACT NO. 9798-213 - BREWERY ARTS CENTER PHASE IV, AWARD**

iii. **ACTION ON CONTRACT NO. 9798-240 - SURPLUS AND DISPOSAL OF VEHICLES**

iv. **ACTION ON CONTRACT NO. 9697-209 - HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE DISPOSAL, AMENDMENT NO. 1**

v. **ACTION ON CONTRACT NO. 9798-178 - PARKS DEPARTMENT LINEAR BIKE PATH IMPROVEMENTS, APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDERS 1 AND 2 AND REQUEST TO RETAIN A CONTINGENCY** - Mayor Masayko pulled Item B. for discussion. Supervisor Bennett pulled Items C. i. and vi. for discussion. Supervisor Bennett moved that the Board of Supervisors approve the Consent Agenda as presented with the exception of the two items on Public Works as just mentioned and the one item for the Parks and Recreation Director. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Discussion with Ken Cavin indicated that Supervisor Bennett had pulled the Policies and Procedures for Development Agreement issue for discussion. The motion was then voted and carried 5-0.

**B. (1-0199.5)** - Mayor Masayko explained his reasons for wishing to have comments regarding the proposal to name the Mark Way park in honor of former Purchasing Director Ron Wilson. Mrs. Wilson's letter suggesting the park be named in honor of her husband was read into the record. (A copy is in the file.) Supervisor Plank moved to approve the Parks and Recreation Commission's recommendation to name the park site on Mark Way "Ronald D. Wilson Memorial Park" with an appropriate plaque to give the history of the site. Supervisors Smith and Tatro seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

**C. i. (1-0254.5)** - Discussion between the Board and Acting Public Works Director Tim Homann included his definition of the term "vicinity" and its flexibility. The agreements will be considered on a case-by-case basis and only used when sensible. Tom Cavin expressed his concern that the proposal would force his firm to make unnecessary infrastructure improvements on Mouton Drive. He invited the Board to visit his site and verify his position. He also requested he be agendaized for the next meeting to discuss with the Board creation of a "development agreement" whereby he would not be required to make the curb and gutter infrastructure improvements. Discussion between the Board and Mr. Cavin explained the location, the acquisition and development of his parcel, the need for a broad, all encompassing ordinance, the need to enforce the policy with reasonableness and common sense, the purpose of the agreements, and the procedure which would be followed if an exemption from the ordinance is granted. Mr. Cavin agreed to work with the adjacent property owners and master plan the area to determine if the infrastructure improvements are required for the entire street. Mr. Homann expressed a willingness to consider various street standards for the industrial park. He also explained the drainage improvements required throughout the industrial area. The proposed development agreement process will allow staff to postpone some of these improvements until they are sensible. Mr. Cavin was willing to work with Public Works and develop another option. He supported the suggestion to have the entire street master planned. He explained his feeling that the noticing requirements needed to be expanded. Mayor Masayko explained the need to evaluate the entire area and not just a small portion. Supervisor Tatro explained that the proposal merely expanded the current Code requirements. Mr. Cavin's suggestion is a variance from that required by the Code. He was willing to consider the suggestion. Storm drainage needs to be addressed. Mayor Masayko explained that the Board could not consider Mr. Cavin's request for a waiver. Mr. Cavin continued to iterate his reasons for his request. Supervisor Plank indicated the desire to consider his issue at a future meeting. Mayor Masayko also

noted several issues which the Chamber of Commerce is analyzing regarding the industrial park and its zoning. A willingness to consider any proposals/issues which Mr. Cavin and others from the area wished to discuss was expressed. Supervisor Bennett moved that the Board of Supervisors approve the amendments to Policy Number 1998-P-1 titled "Policies and Procedures Related to Development Agreements" with the additional comments on Items 6 and 7 as presented. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

**C. vi. (1-0780.5)** - Supervisor Bennett pointed out the success of the program. Supervisor Bennett moved that the Board of Supervisors approve Grantee's Agreement between Carson City and Nevada Department of Transportation to provide matching funding for Carson City's transit program from October 1, 1997, to September 30, 1998, and all matters related thereto; fiscal impact is previously budgeted \$50,000 for the Carson City's share of the Transit program; and funding source is the General Fund \$50,000 ongoing funds. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

#### **4. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

**A. ACTION ON APPOINTMENT TO THE CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE (1-0905.5)** - Mayor Masayko explained Senator Adler's nominee had been Abigail Johnson and that she had requested someone else be appointed in her place. Senator Adler had nominated Lucille Zuend to replace her. Supervisor Plank moved to appoint Lucille Zuend to the Carson City Charter Review Committee. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

#### **B. SUPERVISOR BENNETT**

**ii. PRESENTATION BY THE TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY STAFF ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM AND REPORT ON RELATED ISSUES CONCERNING THE SUMMER OF 1997 PRESIDENTIAL SUMMIT (1-0938.5)** - Supervisor Bennett's introduction included TRPA Representative Pam Drum. Ms. Drum displayed a copy of the EPA Executive Summary. There are nine different elements to the summary. The program is a list of projects and program needs which will lead to the proposed environmental threshold standard desired for the next ten to 15 years. The TRPA Board had approved the program. The program is dynamic and will change over time. It carries a \$900+ million price tag. The components are and can be managed over a ten year period. Presidential support was noted including the \$26 million investment for the next two years. This funding is considered a down payment on the long-term Federal commitment. The Feds own 75 to 78 percent of the land at the Lake. Private lands contain the majority of the disturbances. The program includes Congress and its funding ability. Designation as a national scenic area was described and the potential for the Lake to be designated one was explained. Public support for this designation was also noted. California and Nevada support for the program was described.

**iii. ACTION ON REQUEST FOR FUNDING OF CARSON CITY'S SHARE OF MATCHING FUNDS FOR THE UPDATE OF THE ALTERNATIVE REVENUE SOURCE FEASIBILITY STUDY (1-1095.5)** - Ms. Drum explained the funding request and funding commitments made by other entities around the Lake. The need to accelerate the funding was described. Operational and maintenance funding demands were explained. Purpose of the study was detailed. A list delineating the funding to date was distributed to the Board and Clerk. The lack of residents living in Carson City at the Lake and use of the Lake's east shore by Eagle Valley residents were noted. Supervisor Bennett elaborated on the need for the study. There is adequate funding in the Board's budget to meet the \$500 request. Mayor Masayko indicated that the funds could be taken from another unnamed source. Supervisor Smith moved that the Board approve Carson City's contribution of \$500 for the completion of an update to the Alternative Revenue Source Feasibility Study within the Lake Tahoe Basin; fiscal impact is \$500; and the funding source is from the Fiscal Year 97-98 Board of Supervisors budget. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

**iv. ACTION ON A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE DESIGNATION OF THE TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY AS THE METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION**

**FOR THE LAKE TAHOE BASIN (1-1255.5)** - Supervisor Bennett introduced TRPA Senior Planner Richard Wiggins. Mr. Wiggins explained the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) designation. The Basin will not meet the population criteria for the designation so a different avenue is being used to obtain that designation. Once the designation is obtained, additional Federal grants can be accessed. The use of these funds was described. His comments emphasized that the MPO is not a regulatory body but is a program type body. Reasons for requesting support at this stage were outlined. Mayor Masayko expressed his desire to have Carson City explore the alternatives instead of waiting until the year 2000 and to determine what an MPO would look like for the City. Mr. Wiggins indicated that the City could do this. Mayor Masayko also noted the importance of transit to the City. Supervisor Bennett moved that the Board adopt Resolution No. 1998-R-16, A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE DESIGNATION OF THE TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY AS THE METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION FOR THE LAKE TAHOE BASIN. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

**i. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON A RESOLUTION OF SUPPORT TO SPONSOR THE NEVADA DIVISION OF STATE PARKS APPLICATION FOR STATE BOND EROSION CONTROL FUNDS FOR NORTH CANYON IMPROVEMENTS (1-1378.5)** - Supervisor Bennett explained the resolution, the funding, and its purposed use. The request included matching funds from the City. The suggested funding source is the Burton-Santini Act reserves. Supervisor Plank moved to approve Resolution No. 1998-R-17, A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING CARSON CITY'S APPLICATION FOR STATE BOND EROSION CONTROL FUNDS FOR THE NEVADA DIVISION OF STATE PARK'S NORTH CANYON IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT AND APPROVING CARSON CITY'S MATCHING CONTRIBUTION OF \$12,500 and indicated that these funds could only be used for this purpose. Supervisor Bennett supported his comments and indicated that the funds could only be used in the Tahoe Basin. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

**C. NON-ACTION ITEMS - INTERNAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS (1-1470.5)** - Mayor Masayko reported on the Future Farmers Association conference. He displayed and read the plaque which the FFA had given to the City for its support over the years. Supervisor Tatro reported on the Future Business Leaders of America conference which was held last weekend in Carson City. The group's fundraiser and donation of \$12,000 to the March of Dimes was commended. Mayor Masayko explained his role in the donation presentation and commended the group on its efforts. Supervisor Bennett reported on the American Hospital Association meeting, its efforts to curb fraud and abuse in the medical profession, and the difference between fraud and abuse and billing errors; the Tahoe Transportation District Executive Director's resignation and the District's efforts to get the shuttle going again; and her intent to seek Board funding for the shuttle. Supervisor Smith reported on neighborhood meetings which he had been attending in the Winnie Lane area regarding the City's drainage improvements which were installed last year after the flood. The neighborhood feels that the improvements are not adequate and will not handle minor events. Contacts from individuals in the Graves Lane extension area were also explained. He announced the April 15 RTC meeting. This meeting may include an evening session on Graves Lane. Supervisor Plank reported on neighborhood meetings on the curb damage created by snow plowing in Lakeview and on a possible nuisance situation on Minnesota. He then reported on the Housing Consortium's public hearing. He plans to attend the Carson River conference at the Ormsby House next week and to meet with City staff on the affordable housing issues after the Board meeting. Mayor Masayko explained his attendance at the Carson Detox Center's expansion grand opening, its funding for the project, and the benefits of the program; the recent Redevelopment Authority Citizens Committee meeting; the Teachers' Resource Center grand opening; his request that any usable office equipment be given to the Center; and thanked Supervisor Bennett and Mr. Berkich for attending the March 14th NACO strategic issues conference. He then explained his attendance at a Chamber of Commerce meeting where the industrial rezoning issues were discussed. Senior Planner Tara Hullinger from Community Development is working with the Chamber group. He urged the other Board members to contact her for a briefing on these issues. Several of the issues were noted. Supervisor Bennett reported on the status of the Carson River Conference. Mayor Masayko indicated that he planned to attend. Supervisor Plank indicated there is a waiting list of 25 people wishing to attend the conference.

**D. STAFF COMMENTS (1-1880.5)** - Mr. Berkich indicated that there is a joint meeting scheduled for April 30 at 6 p.m. with the Hospital Board of Trustees in the Community Center Sierra Room.

BREAK: A 15 minute recess was declared at 10:20 a.m. The entire Board was present when the meeting was reconvened at 10:35 a.m., constituting a quorum.

**5. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR - Senior Planner Juan Guzman - ORDINANCE - SECOND READING - ACTION ON BILL NO. 113 - AN ORDINANCE EFFECTING A CHANGE OF LAND USE ON APPROXIMATELY 26.5 ACRES FROM MULTI-FAMILY APARTMENT(MFA) TO NEIGHBORHOOD BUSINESS (NB) (7.4 ACRES) AND MOBILE HOME 6,000 (MH6000) (19.1 ACRES) ON PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE EAST SIDE OF ROOP STREET, 320 FEET SOUTH OF LITTLE LANE AND NORTH OF LINEAR PARK DITCH AND GOVERNOR'S FIELD, CARSON CITY, NEVADA, ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER 9-071-75, AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO (1-1889.5)** - Supervisor Smith moved that the Board of Supervisors adopt on second reading Bill No. 113, Ordinance No. 1998-15, AN ORDINANCE EFFECTING A CHANGE OF LAND USE ON APPROXIMATELY 26.5 ACRES FROM MULTI-FAMILY APARTMENT(MFA) TO NEIGHBORHOOD BUSINESS (NB) (7.4 ACRES) AND MOBILE HOME 6,000 (MH6000) (19.1 ACRES) ON PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE EAST SIDE OF ROOP STREET, 320 FEET SOUTH OF LITTLE LANE AND NORTH OF LINEAR PARK DITCH AND GOVERNOR'S FIELD, CARSON CITY, NEVADA, ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER 9-071-75, AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

**6. PERSONNEL DIRECTOR - Judie Fisher - ACTION TO REVISE THE URGENT LEAVE REGULATION (1-1945.5)** - Discussion indicated that the Federal labor standards would not be violated by the revision. Supervisor Plank moved to approve the revision to the Urgent Leave Regulations as presented in the packet. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

**7. UTILITIES DIRECTOR - Acting Utilities Director Jay Ahrens**

**A. ORDINANCE - SECOND READING - BILL NO. 112 - AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND THE SILVER OAK DEVELOPMENT CO., A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, AND THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE SYSTEM OF NEVADA ON BEHALF OF WESTERN NEVADA COMMUNITY COLLEGE REGARDING ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NO. 8-061-28 AND 7-091-82 LOCATED AT THE SILVER OAK PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT AND THE WESTERN NEVADA COMMUNITY COLLEGE, 2201 WEST COLLEGE PARKWAY, CARSON CITY, NEVADA, FOR WATER TANK AND MAIN ENGINEERING (1-2018.5)** - Discussion between Mr. Ahrens and Supervisor Smith indicated that the special use permit approved by the Planning Commission may be appealed to the Board, therefore, staff has not proceeded with implementation of the special use permit. Mayor Masayko indicated he had received several calls about the access route. Staff is working to resolve this issue. Supervisor Smith moved to adopt Ordinance No. 1998-16 on second reading of Bill No. 112, AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND THE SILVER OAK DEVELOPMENT CO., A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, AND THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE SYSTEM OF NEVADA ON BEHALF OF WESTERN NEVADA COMMUNITY COLLEGE REGARDING ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NO. 8-061-28 AND 7-091-82 LOCATED AT THE SILVER OAK PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT AND THE WESTERN NEVADA COMMUNITY COLLEGE, 2201 WEST COLLEGE PARKWAY, CARSON CITY, NEVADA, FOR WATER TANK AND MAIN ENGINEERING. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

**B. UPDATE ON STATE ENGINEERING ORDER 1140, WHICH ALLOWS CARSON CITY TO PUMP ADDITIONAL EAGLE VALLEY GROUNDWATER DURING DROUGHT YEARS (1-2094.5)**  
- Water Superintendent Tom Hoffert - A copy of the State Engineer Order No. 1140 was distributed to the Board

and Clerk. Discussion between staff and the Board emphasized the importance of the conjunctive use program. The order will remain in effect so long as the conjunctive use program is maintained. (1-2431.5) Mr. Hoffert responded to Harold Siegfried's question by explaining how the population is calculated and the formula for determining the water needs of the future. Mayor Masayko and Mr. Hoffert felt that the City currently has between 95 and 100 percent of the water rights needed to meet need for a population of 70,000. Mr. Hoffert indicated that the City does not have the ability to utilize these rights at this time. Capital improvements are constructed as growth occurs. Supervisor Smith also pointed out that growth would not be stopped when the 70,000 population figure is reached. Growth Management's restriction on the number of building permits allocated per year is based on a three percent growth rate and the City's water infrastructure capacity. If the infrastructure cannot handle the population growth, growth could be restricted further. Although the City has 95 to 100 percent of the water rights it needs for a population of 70,000, the City is still in the market for additional water rights. The price of these rights no longer is dictated by the seller as the City does not have to purchase the rights to meet everyday demands. No formal action was required or taken.

**8. PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - Acting Public Works Director Tim Homann**

**A. ORDINANCE - FIRST READING - ACTION ON AN ORDINANCE DELETING A PORTION OF SECTION 10.24.145 (ENFORCEMENT OF HANDICAPPED PARKING SPACES ON PRIVATE PROPERTY) OF THE CCMC WHICH PROVIDES FOR THE CHARGING OF A \$10 FEE TO A PERSON WHO WAS CITED FOR FAILURE TO DISPLAY A HANDICAPPED PARKING PERMIT WHO LATER PROVES HE HAD THE PERMIT AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO (1-2558.5) -** Chief Deputy District Attorney Paul Lipparelli - Mr. Homann's introduction corrected two typographical errors--the word "changing" was corrected to be "charging" in the title and at Line 23 the word "tot" was corrected to be "to". Discussion between the staff and Board explained the original purpose behind the fine, the legal issue on distribution of the fee, the punitive requirement mandating that the handicapped individual must go to the City Hall to show the placard, and the need for the permittee to be responsible and display the placard. City enforcement personnel, as a matter of policy, look everywhere for the placard. Supervisor Plank moved to introduce on first reading Bill No. 114, AN ORDINANCE DELETING A PORTION OF SECTION 10.24.145 (ENFORCEMENT OF HANDICAPPED PARKING SPACES ON PRIVATE PROPERTY) OF THE CCMC WHICH PROVIDES FOR THE CHARGING OF A \$10 FEE TO A PERSON WHO WAS CITED FOR FAILURE TO DISPLAY A HANDICAPPED PARKING PERMIT WHO LATER PROVES HE HAD THE PERMIT AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO; the fiscal impact is nothing, and the funding source is nothing. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

**B. STATUS REPORT ON TRANSIT SERVICES CONSOLIDATION PLAN AND CONTRACTS WITH CARSON CITY COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION, CARSON CITY SENIOR CENTER, AND ORMBSY ASSOCIATION FOR RETARDED CITIZENS (1-2810.5) -** Mr. Homann's introduction included Paratransit Representative Mark Reynolds. Mr. Homann distributed the packet to the Board and Clerk during his comments. Requests for Board action at the next meeting were explained as part of the status report. Mr. Berkich explained the Johnson group's contract to analyze the plan and the OARC letter requesting financial assistance. (A copy of the letter is included in the packet.) The funding request will be agendized for the next Board meeting. Plans are to complete the consolidation by July 1. The status of the budget and revenue reports were noted. The budget will include the funding request for the program. Board comments noted the length of time it had taken to reach this point. Discussion also indicated the need for the service and to find funding to support the program.

(1-3090.5) Lloyd Leadman, a disabled senior citizen, questioned the length of time it would take before the badly needed service is available. He uses the current program and had since its inception. He felt that a transportation system without restrictions should be implemented posthaste. Mayor Masayko agreed and expressed the feeling that the City needed to start slowly and grow with proper planning and funding. At this time efforts are being undertaken to provide only enough services for those who need it the worse until a full service can be built. Mr. Leadman felt that the community should not support a "tourist trolley" before the residential needs are met. Mayor

Masayko explained that the trolley is the Chamber of Commerce's project. Downtown Redevelopment had given the Chamber a \$5,000 grant. This is not a comparison of the amount of money and resources required for public transit. (3142.5) Mr. Homann indicated for Lynn Gallagher that the current service level will be continued under the consolidated program. The expansion plan will eventually provide services for longer periods. Mr. Gallagher then explained the need for transportation to medical facilities in Reno and the current bus service. Mr. Homann reiterated that the current service program will be continued. Currently, some of the providers service Indian Hills and Moundhouse. Supervisor Plank indicated that the hours will be expanded. Mr. Homann explained that the Senior Center currently operates from 7 a.m. to 5 p.m. OARC operates from 6 a.m. to 11 p.m. seven days a week. Staff is studying the need and ability to expand the service and their related issues.

(1-3248.5) OARC Board Member Ted Stokes expressed concern about when the City would be taking over the service and whether OARC would be able to continue to maintain it until that occurs. OARC is currently losing money on the operation and could no longer afford to provide it. He felt that the City had continued to ignore OARC's pleas for help. He referenced Mary Winkler's March 18th letter and indicated that all of the requested information had been provided. The current revenue loss was explained to illustrate the dire need for assistance. Mr. Berkich responded by explaining the need for OARC's financial report. Until that report is provided it is impossible to quantify the request. The original request had not specified an amount. He planned to meet with Ms. Winkler and expressed an intent to agendize the request for the next meeting. Mr. Stokes indicated that funding requests had been made for the last two years. He felt that adequate information had been provided on several different occasions and that funding should include reimbursement for their efforts to keep the transit system going over the last two years. Mayor Masayko indicated that this issue could not be considered today and that as public monies are being considered, proper documentation must be provided. Mr. Stokes requested that the type of requested information be adequately detailed so that it could be provided. The need for urgency was stressed and, unless the funding is coming posthaste, OARC may be forced to close the service. Mr. Stokes reiterated his request on the type of documentation required and the need to respond posthaste. Mayor Masayko indicated that neither he nor Supervisor Plank had seen the March 18th letter nor its attachments and reiterated his comments about the need for proper documentation.

(2-0019.5) Supervisor Smith expressed his hope that City staff understands the urgency of the request and that OARC is under no obligation to subsidize or provide public transit services for the community at a loss. He also explained his personal contact with Mr. Stokes about the matter. A lot of people will suffer if the community loses OARC's service. Supervisor Plank indicated that the financial issue had not impacted him as being as urgent as had been expressed today. He requested staff to delineate to OARC line-by-line the type of information required to bring the request to the Board. Supervisor Bennett felt that when the user side subsidy had been contracted that it was to have had a positive cash flow for the provider. She requested "good documentation" to explain why this had not occurred. Mayor Masayko indicated that the Board is now aware of the financial crisis and felt that a quick response would be provided. The request will be agendized for the next meeting.

Mr. Gallagher questioned the figures as there had been three agencies involved with the program. The Board had only provided \$50,000 which is the sum total OARC had indicated it had lost. Mr. Homann responded by indicating that additional figures were being requested. The current budget contained \$50,000 to consolidate the programs. The actual budget to takeover the program would require additional funding. Figures for this operation have not been provided. No formal action was required or taken.

**9. JOINT MEETING WITH THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION AND TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (2-0096.5) -** RTC Chairperson Smith and Commissioners Tatro and Wolf were present, constituting a quorum. Transportation Advisory Committee Members present were: Chairperson Frank Page and Members Walter Sullivan, Kelly Garcia, Juan Guzman, Jack Loebeer, Vern Krahn, and Dennis Ritchie. Member Kelly Garcia arrived near end of the meeting. This was after Member Loebeer left. Members Fronapfel, Abbott, Bullis, Mather, Hoffman, Robertson, and Weaver were absent. A quorum of the Committee was never present.

**DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON THE TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE'S MISSION STATEMENT AND PROPOSED TRAFFIC SERVICE LEVELS AND A STATUS REPORT ON THE PROGRESS OF THE TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN PROCESS (2-0162.5)** - Mr. Homann began the discussion by explaining the Committee's meetings and the District Attorney's opinion that the Committee must comply with the Open Meeting Law. The Committee, although it had met and drafted a mission statement, needed to ratify actions taken during those meetings. Member Guzman then moved to approve the Mission Statement as discussed previously, that is: "The Transportation Advisory Committee is dedicated to improving all levels of transportation in Carson City. The Committee assists the staff with the direction and planning of the Transportation Master Plan Element and its integration with other planning documents and regulations. The Committee provides local knowledge and direction with emphasis on safety and innovative ideas." Member Krahn seconded the motion. Motion carried 6-0. (See the following discussion which determined there was not a quorum present.)

Discussion ensued on whether there was a quorum of the Committee present which determined that there was no quorum present as only six members were present. Eight members were required to make a quorum. Mr. Lipparelli indicated that the Committee Members could provide input as individuals and that the Committee should take formal action to ratify its direction when a quorum is present. Chairperson Page explained the Committee's intent had been to act on the mission statement which had been drafted prior to the ruling on the need to comply with the Open Meeting Law requirements. Therefore, Mayor Masayko asked the Commission about its direction on the Mission Statement.

Commissioner Tatro moved to accept the Mission Statement as presented by the Carson City Transportation Advisory Committee that will, hopefully, be ratified by the Committee at its next meeting. Commissioner Wolf seconded the motion. Motion carried 3-0.

Mr. Homann explained the Committee's request for the joint meeting and for clear direction and understanding of its role and activities. Chairperson Smith indicated his hope that the legal technicalities had been addressed and that the Committee, Commission, and Board could now discuss the issues appropriately.

(2-0344.5) DKS Consultant John Long used an overhead projector to display "bullets" highlighting the master plan elements which will be considered and maps illustrating the current residential areas, projected residential growth areas, projected traffic patterns including those created by through traffic from neighboring counties, current traffic service levels, projected traffic service levels on those same roads, projected traffic service levels with roads which will be constructed between now and 2005 as well as 2012 and those same roadways if the entire Bypass is constructed, the recommended "base" improvements with and without the full freeway including signal upgrades, reasons for the request to allow intersections to have an "E" service level, and alternatives to this policy change. Criteria used to develop the maps was explained. Classifications for the level of service were defined. His comments clearly indicated that several major arterials would experience an F level of service--gridlock--by 2005. This will cause diversions to other roadways and, likewise, reduce their service levels. Chairperson Smith pointed out that the maps verify the contention that the northern half of the freeway will not alleviate local traffic congestion but will help alleviate the through traffic problems. (2-0621.5) Copies of new level of service policy statement and alternatives were distributed to the Board, Clerk, Commission and Committee. Board comments indicated that this policy should be reconsidered periodically as the freeway's status changes. Mr. Page pointed out the need to consider the Highway 50 improvements which are needed when the northern leg of the freeway is constructed. Mr. Long delineated those improvements including those which he felt would be "throw always" when the southern leg is constructed, such as traffic signals. These improvements were discussed and stressed the importance of having the southern leg.

(2-0771.5) Discussion ensued on the need for the Ormsby Boulevard extension and the Commission's desire to act on it during the budget process. Mr. Long pointed out that this street may have issues which are not related to circulation and could change his map. Mr. Long felt certain that these issues could be analyzed at the Committee's May meeting. RTC Chairperson Smith explained the urgency and requested this information be furnished as soon

as possible. Mr. Long described Ormsby Boulevard's different traffic volumes with and without the southern leg of the freeway. Commissioner Wolf did not feel that the freeway would have that much of an impact on Ormsby. Mr. Long indicated that the traffic volume would be created by local residents and not individuals passing through town.

Discussion returned to the impact created on Saliman if the freeway is not constructed. Comments emphasized safety concerns for the students at the three schools on this street. (Mayor Masayko stepped from the room during this discussion--12:38 p.m.--and returned at 12:40 p.m. A quorum was present the entire time.) Traffic concerns and volumes on College Parkway, Graves Lane, and the extension between Arrowhead and Graves with and without the southern leg of the freeway were discussed. Comments also indicated that the freeway to be constructed with four lanes and have the ability to be expanded to six lanes. It was felt that this would occur some time beyond the forecasted 15 year period.

Discussion ensued on the service level policy; reasons levels A, B, and C had not been recommended including the cost factors; and a description of the service level labels and standards. (2-1140.5) Chairperson Smith requested copies of the service level standards chart be given to the Board. Committee Chairperson Page indicated that these issues are part of the reason for the request that the consultant's contract and submittal of the master plan element be extended. His comments included concerns about the amount of work which the Committee needed to consider, i.e., the bicycle path, pedestrian trails, etc. Concern was expressed that the Committee may not be able to provide adequate direction as to the type of projects which should be considered in next year's budget prior to the completion of these elements. Other issues which the Committee should evaluate were also listed. Chairperson Smith supported his desire to analyze other modes of transportation as a part of the master plan element and explained the criticism he had heard when these modes have been ignored.

(2-1204.5) Chamber of Commerce Downtown Mainstreet Committee Member Steve Browne indicated the Committee supported the Bypass and urged the Committee, Commission and Board to implement programs so that the downtown area could be taken back by the businesses. Traffic in the downtown area will be the same after the freeway is constructed as it is today because it will become a designation point. It should be a pedestrian and bicyclist friendly area with plenty of parking. He volunteered Mainstreet's assistance in developing policies and plans to reconstruct Carson Street as a two lane road with on street parking. He supported having alternate roads which will allow residential traffic to circumvent the downtown area. This will help make the downtown area a designation point rather than an pass through. He urged the Board/Commission/Committee to develop a program which will allow for service level A as a minimum even if this costs more to accomplish.

Supervisor Bennett stressed the importance of the work which the Committee had done and commended the Commission and staff for establishing it. She also commended the Committee on its progress. Her original concept when she first suggested the Committee was explained. (Committee Member Garcia was present at this time. Committee Member Loebeer was absent.) Supervisor Bennett requested copies of the colored maps be given to the Board. She also suggested that the study include any impact which public transit may have on the service levels and, specifically, on service level F.

Mr. Browne requested the study include the impact making Carson Street a two-lane roadway would have and options which would allow the City to make this change, i.e., the use of Stewart Street.

Discussion between Supervisor Bennett and Chairperson Page explained the reasons the Parks and Recreation Commission is working on the bike plan and the Committee's awareness of the Bicycle Master Plan Element.

(2-1384.5) Chamber of Commerce Executive Vice President Larry Osborne expressed the Chamber's appreciation for being allowed to have a member on the Committee and to provide input into the process. He briefly noted the funding provided by Congress for Nevada and expressed his feeling that there would be additional requests for it. Competition against Southern Nevada projects will be heavy. Efforts to obtain the southern leg of the freeway must continue. The Chamber will continue to work with the City in any way it could on this endeavor. He then noted the impact some of the roads would have on different residential areas and neighborhoods. He also pointed

out the impact which will be created on the entire community if forward motion is not maintained.

(2-1408.5) Committee Member Ritchie indicated that the Committee would be analyzing all of the issues which the Commission/Board had raised. Mr. Long had indicated the pedestrian, bicycle, and transit issues would be considered by the Committee. Until the overall picture is completely analyzed it is difficult to assess the impact on each neighborhood. He also commended Mr. Long on his knowledge of the community. Better answers should be available by the next meeting.

Member Krahn then described the status of the bicycle master plan element and his support for the Mainstreet's Carson Street plan. Supervisor Bennett expressed her feeling that the Board/City will be faced with some tough decisions in the next five years and the need for substantiated factors upon which to make those decisions.

(2-1475.5) Lynn Gallagher expressed his support for public transportation within the City. He questioned whether an impact study had been conducted to determine whether public transit would help mitigate some of the service level concerns and, specifically, at those sites labeled D and E. Based on figures of \$78 million and \$400,000 which he had heard were being spent on roadway improvements, he felt that the allocation of \$50,000 for public transit was an clear indication that the City looked at public transit as a low priority. Chairperson Smith indicated that there had been several studies completed on public transit including the impact on traffic volumes. Financial concerns with public transit systems as experienced nationwide were noted. Until the funding problem is resolved, the reality of a public transit system is questionable. He also pointed out that now that the City has reached a population of more than 50,000, it can access a new classification of Federal funding programs. These funds could be used for a public transit system. He also pointed out that Carson City is the last capitol without a public transit system and one of a few cities of its size without a public transit system. The desire is to add the service to the community without taking funding from another worthwhile project/service.

Discussion between the Committee and Commission indicated that the next Committee meeting would be on April 7. Chairperson Smith indicated that the Commission will be able to discuss and act on the Committee's request for direction at its April 15 meeting. He also pointed out that vehicular traffic should be the number one priority, however, bicycle, pedestrian, sound wall, landscaping, etc., considerations are also warranted. Chairperson Page agreed and indicated that these issues may have to be a second part of the project.

Staff comments expressed the desire to have the Commission and Board act on the level of service question. **Commissioner Tatro moved that the Regional Transportation Commission adopt the level of service policy as follows: Maintain the level of service D standards for all intersections and roadway segments in the City with the following exceptions: Allow a level of service E on Carson Street and U.S. 50 if the improvements to level of service D are unacceptable due to impacts and/or cost and for construction, project planning, and prioritization allow worse than level of service D standard on roadway segments where improvements will not be necessary with construction of the U.S. 395 Bypass. Commissioner Wolf seconded the motion.** Commissioner Tatro then indicated that his motion is not popular with Mr. Osborne and the Chamber of Commerce. His intent, with the terms "construction, project planning, and prioritization", is that the Committee would not have to be concerned if the Bypass is not constructed. If a business desires to open a store in Carson City, which would create a negative impact on the traffic volumes to such an extent that it would be below level of service D and if the construction of the bypass at some future time would improve the level of service, these improvements would not automatically be waived. Staff could look at them and an intelligent choice could be made based on the facts surrounding the area, even though the master plan process does not provide community guidance other to the community developing the project to take the community out 15 years, so that when changes to the transportation infrastructure and impacts on the transportation infrastructure are being proposed the developers will assume the responsibility for those impacts. Reasons for this direction included the fact that the southern leg of the Bypass could take as long as the north leg of the Bypass to get started. Although this is really unlikely, it is possible.

Mr. Osborne expressed his concern that the Commission/Board were moving too fast. Although the master plan is

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
Minutes of the April 2, 1998, Meeting  
Page 12

not an ordinance or law, the comments had urged the process to go forward slowly. He urged them to take the time to have additional input before the final decisions are made. He was unsure whether the amount of information provided to date was adequate to make a decision to accept this as the policy. The proposal establishes the level of service standard which the community would be forced to accept. He recommended directing the Committee to go back to work and study other options. Something better may be found. It may be possible to ask for a higher level of service. He did not like the idea that the community was accepting something that is lower than a D service level. He questioned whether that level was E or F. He urged the Commission/Board to take more time and study the impact of higher levels. The proposed level of service was unacceptable at this time based on the amount of information provided.

Member Sullivan indicated that he had been the individual to ask the Board to define the Committee's course and obtain direction. The Committee definitely needed the direction, particularly if the motion passes. It will provide the threshold or compass on which the Committee relies in the formulation of recommendations for consideration by the Commission/Board in the future. Without a bench mark/threshold the Committee could not go forward. The Committee will be analyzing a plethora of items including level of services C, D, E, and F. This will quadruple the workload and push the completion date further back. Everyone wants something done now. The Committee needs this direction now. He urged the Commission/Board to poll the Committee. The Committee is willing to use the level of service D standards in its analysis.

(2-1742.5) Mr. Browne supported Mr. Sullivan's comments. The Commission/Board had pointed out earlier in the discussion that the standards would create a difference in applications and questioned whether the expenditure of \$100,000 or more for one situation could be justified with one situation as compared to another scenario. The question is the idea of maintaining a certain service level, which he felt was good, and allowing the Committee to analyze alternatives when the cost of the level justifies it. The majority of the objection is to the exceptions, what is said and whether they are really clearly defined, and whether the Commission/Board should go ahead and approve it right now when the Commission/Board is not even sure what those exceptions are. His point of view was against having Carson Street in the listings as an exception based upon whatever criteria may be established. He supported attempting to achieve no degradation of Carson Street. In fact, he supported improving the level of service which is the reason behind his exception to the motion.

Chairperson Smith indicating his support for the motion and a desire to consider the issue further. He then asked whether the Committee could consider the issue between now and next RTC and Board meetings. This would not cause a lengthy delay. Mr. Brotzman indicated that the last Streets and Highways Master Plan element had established the proposed D service level as the standard for arterials. Other communities have this same level of service. The cost to implement the A, B, and C levels is prohibitive. Reno's policy was described. It allows the area inside McCarren to have a level of service D with everything outside having a level of C with the exception of the downtown area which is F. Upgrading the downtown area would require the City to remove a lot of the improvements along Virginia Street. The same is true for Carson Street. Chairperson Smith indicated that the intent was to establish a policy which would be "boiler plated" but still reflect some of the unique characteristics and attributes of the City within the statement. Mr. Brotzman felt that this could be contained in other policy statements which do not pertain to the service levels. The last document had contained three pages of policies which the Committee will be working on. This policy statement relates strictly to the level of service of the roadway. The other policies address the pedestrian friendly element, etc. The level of service policy will allow the Committee to commence analyzing the improvements. If the level of service is raised, the bank may be broken.

(2-1835.5) Member Garcia explained that her understanding of the reason for coming to the Board had been based on the Committee's discussion that attempts should be made to increase the service level to C, contentment with level D, attempts to be innovative as stated in the mission statement, and whether the Committee was being innovative. The entire process will be required to develop the goals and objectives so that they could work together and not conflict with each other or create unnecessary constraints which will eliminate the ability to look at other methods of doing something. She asked the Board if it wanted a service level C or is D the primary focus. The Committee could then work on the exceptions as there can be changes. Funding will allow only so much to be

accomplished on the arterials particularly if the Bypass becomes reality. Chairperson Smith expressed his desire to go to service level C, however, the funding was not available.

Commissioner Tatro expressed his feeling that some type of direction should be given. All of the issues under discussion could be reconsidered in the future if necessary. The Committee could consider the comments and determine whether modifications could be made to the recommendation. The bar had to be set somewhere today so that the Committee could go forward. He requested a vote on the motion as indicated. He then explained his statements at the last Commission meeting that a discussion would occur at its next meeting on the Ormsby Boulevard extension and questioned when this could realistically occur. Mr. Brotzman indicated that the budget had to be finalized in April. It will be adopted by the Board on May 5. Commissioner Tatro questioned whether it could be changed. Mr. Brotzman indicated that the Ormsby extension had been listed but was not in the funded items which he had taken to Internal Finance. He agreed with Commissioner Tatro that the Commission could change this funding later. Clarification for Chairperson Page indicated that Commissioner Tatro wanted a recommendation on just Ormsby Boulevard. Mr. Homann indicated that Mr. Long had an adequate amount of data for the Commission to determine the service level of Ormsby Boulevard but enough information was not available to determine where this would place Ormsby Boulevard on the priority listing. Comments indicated that only Curry Street's estimate needed to be developed to establish its priority on the listing. Mr. Long He agreed that the Committee could consider other issues than the cost and traffic volumes. Discussion between Mr. Long and Chairperson Smith indicated that the anticipated completion date for the entire project is mid-summer. Mr. Long felt that an adequate amount of information could be provided to the Committee to allow the Commission to hold a public hearing in June. The only reason this would not occur is if the Committee decides it cannot reach a decision based on the information/discussions. He agreed that the Committee was busy with the bike plan and other items which could be reprioritized. Chairperson Smith explained the reason for wanting a target date. Therefore, he set the June meeting date as the final target date. Chairperson Page agreed.

The motion that the Regional Transportation Commission adopt the level of service policy as follows: Maintain the level of service D standards for all intersections and roadway segments in the City with the following exceptions: Allow a level of service E on Carson Street and U.S. 50 if the improvements to level of service D are unacceptable due to impacts and/or cost and for construction, project planning, and prioritization allow worse than level of service D standard on roadway segments where improvements will not be necessary with construction of the U.S. 395 Bypass was voted by the Commission and carried 3-0.

Commissioner Tatro then moved to adjourn the Regional Transportation Commission meeting. Commissioner Wolf seconded the motion. Motion carried and Chairperson Smith adjourned the RTC meeting. Comments indicated the Committee did not need to adjourn as a quorum was not present.

Supervisor Tatro then moved that the Board of Supervisors adopt the level of service policy just adopted by the Regional Transportation Commission and the Committee's mission statement. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Mayor Masayko indicated that the motion was to affirm the potential level of service policy as adopted by the Regional Transportation Commission in addition to the Carson City Transportation Advisory Committee mission statement, which had also adopted by the RTC. Motion carried 5-0.

There being no other matters for consideration Supervisor Bennett moved to adjourn. Mayor Masayko seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0. Mayor Masayko adjourned the meeting at 1:40 p.m.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
Minutes of the April 2, 1998, Meeting  
Page 14

The Minutes of the April 2, 1998, Carson City Board of Supervisors meeting

1998.

ARE SO APPROVED ON \_\_\_\_\_ May 7 \_\_\_\_\_,

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_

Ray Masayko, Mayor

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_

Alan Glover, Clerk-Recorder