

**Carson City  
Agenda Report**

**Date Submitted:** 08/07/12

**Agenda Date Requested:** 08/16/12

**Time Requested:** Consent

**To:** Mayor and Supervisors

**From:** Nick Providenti, Finance Director

**Subject Title:** For Possible Action: Action to adopt a resolution electing to receive a share of the State of Nevada's Secure Rural Schools (SRS) revenues pursuant to Sections 102(a)(1)(B) and 103 of the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000, which has been amended and re-authorized for FFY2012, referred to as the "full county payment amount".

**Staff Summary:** Title I of SRS2008 gives each eligible county the right to elect to receive either its traditional share of revenues from the National Forest lands pursuant to the Act of May 23, 1908 and Section 13 of the Act of March 1, 1911 (the "25-percent payments"), or instead to receive a guaranteed minimum share of the State payment pursuant to Sections 102 (a)(1)(B) and 103 (the "full county payment amount"). Carson City expects to net \$8,583 for Federal Fiscal Year 2012.

**Type of Action Requested:** (check one)

Resolution

Ordinance

Formal Action/Motion

Other (Specify)

**Does this action require a Business Impact Statement:** ( ) Yes (xx) No

**Recommended Board Action:** I move to adopt Resolution # \_\_\_\_\_, a resolution electing to receive a share of the State of Nevada's Secure Rural Schools (SRS) revenues pursuant to Sections 102(a)(1)(B) and 103 of the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000, which has been amended and re-authorized for FFY2012, referred to as the "full county payment amount".

**Explanation for Recommended Board Action:** This action extends the way Carson City receives revenues derived from National Forest lands and continues the "full county payment" method for another fiscal year. This election must be made by September 30, 2012 and each county must notify the State Treasurer of their election.

**Applicable Statute, Code, Policy, Rule or Regulation:** Have been listed throughout the resolution.

**Fiscal Impact:** Estimated revenues of \$8,583.

**Explanation of Impact:** n/a

**Funding Source:** n/a

**Alternatives:** Elect to use the "25-percent payments" method to receive SRS revenues, which will net us less revenues, the amount is unknown at this time.

**Supporting Material:** Resolution and federal information.

**Prepared By:** Nick Providenti

**Reviewed By:** Nick Providenti  
(Department Head)

Date: 8/3/12

: Mark A. Prenut  
(City Manager)

Date: 8/2/12

: Mark A. Prenut  
(District Attorney)

Date: 8/2/12

: Nancy Padra  
(Finance Director)

Date: 8/7/12

**Board Action Taken:**

Motion: \_\_\_\_\_ 1) \_\_\_\_\_ Aye/Nay  
2) \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Vote Recorded By)

Resolution No. \_\_\_\_\_

A RESOLUTION ELECTING TO RECEIVE A SHARE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA'S  
SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS (SRS) REVENUES PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 102(A)(1)(B)  
AND 103 OF THE SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITY  
SELF-DETERMINATION ACT OF 2000, WHICH HAS BEEN AMENDED AND  
RE-AUTHORIZED FOR FFY 2012, REFERRED TO AS THE  
"FULL COUNTY PAYMENT AMOUNT"

WHEREAS, Congress enacted in 1908 and subsequently amended a law that requires that 25 percent of the revenues derived from National Forest lands be paid to states for use by the counties in which the lands are situated for the benefit of public schools and roads; and

WHEREAS, the principal source of revenues from National Forest lands is from the sale and removal of timber, which has been curtailed in recent years with a corresponding decline in revenues shared with counties; and

WHEREAS, the United States Congress recognized a need to stabilize education and road maintenance funding through predictable payments to the affected counties, and to achieve that goal enacted the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000, which has been amended and re-authorized for FFY 2012; and

WHEREAS, Subtitle A of Title I of Public Law 112-141 gives each eligible county the right to elect to receive either its traditional share of revenues from the National Forest lands pursuant to the Act of May 23, 1908 and Section 13 of the Act of March 1, 1911 (the "25-percent payments"), or instead to receive a guaranteed minimum share of the State payment pursuant to Sections 102(a)(1)(B) and 103 (the "full county payment amount"); and

1  
2        WHEREAS, any county electing to receive a full county payment amount that is  
3        \$100,000 or more must further elect to expend an amount not less than 15 percent nor  
4        more than 20 percent of its full county payment amount as project funds; and  
5

6        WHEREAS, Subtitle A of Title I of Public Law 112-141 requires that counties  
7        electing to receive a full county payment amount of \$100,000 or more must allocate its  
8        project funds for between projects in accordance with Title II and Title III, and  
9        return the balance of project funds unspent under Titles II and III to the Treasury of  
10       the United States, and communicate such allocation to the Secretary of the United  
11       States Department of Agriculture; and

12  
13       WHEREAS, Title II provides for special projects on federal lands or that  
14       benefit resources on federal lands, which projects are recommended by local resource  
15       advisory committees ("RACs"); and

16  
17       WHEREAS, RACs recommend projects for consideration by the Secretary of  
18       Agriculture, with project funding supplied in whole or in part out of monies allocated  
19       for such purposes by participating counties; and

20  
21       WHEREAS, counties that allocate funding to projects under Title II , and are  
22       participants in more than one RAC, may further direct that their Title II project  
23       funds be divided between different RACs according to an allocation decided by each  
24       participating county, with such funds held in the Treasury of the United States under  
25       the name of the county with a designation of the amount allocated to each RAC; and

26  
27       WHEREAS, Title III provides for county projects, some of which are associated  
28       with federal lands, with Title III authorizing expenditures for search, rescue and

1 emergency services, fire prevention and planning under the Firewise Communities  
2 program, and development of community wildfire protection plans; and

4 WHEREAS, a county with a full county payment amount of \$350,000 or more may not  
5 allocate more than 7 percent of its full county payment amount for Title III projects.

7 NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved as follows:

9 1. Carson City hereby elects to receive the guaranteed minimum full county payment  
10 amount pursuant to Subtitle A of Title I of Public Law 112-141.

12 2. Carson City has elected to receive a full county payment amount that is less  
13 than \$100,000, and is not required to allocate any amount for projects under Titles II  
14 or III, but may choose to do so. Carson City elects to allocate 0 (zero) percent  
15 of its full county payment amount for projects under Titles II and III.

16 ADOPTED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2012.

17 AYES: Supervisors \_\_\_\_\_  
18 \_\_\_\_\_  
19 \_\_\_\_\_  
20 \_\_\_\_\_  
21 \_\_\_\_\_  
22

23 NAYES: Supervisors \_\_\_\_\_  
24 \_\_\_\_\_  
25

26 ABSENT: Supervisors \_\_\_\_\_  
27

28 ROBERT L. CROWELL, Mayor

ATTEST: \_\_\_\_\_

ALAN GLOVER, Clerk-Recorder

Secure Rural Schools Act, PL 112-141, Projected county shares of FY2012 Forest Service State payments, July 24, 2012 (Does not include payments for O&G lands administered by Bureau of Land Management in Oregon.) These projections assume All Laffer counties effect of receiving a share of the State payment. The calculations use the most recent per capita personal income data (POP) for 2010, and number of counties effected to receive a share of the State payment. Will differ from these projections due to updates in factors such as per capita personal income (POP) rates and number of counties effected to receive a share of the State payment.																
Fiscal Year	Full Funding Amount	FY2008			FY2009			FY2010			FY2011			FY2012		
		County	County Share of Transition Payment	7-year rolling average Payment	County	County Share of State Payment	7-year rolling average Payment	County	County Share of State Payment	7-year rolling average Payment	County	County Share of State Payment	7-year rolling average Payment	County	County Share of State Payment	7-year rolling average Payment
5	FY2008 25% Eligible County Payment	\$500,000,000			\$450,000,000			\$405,000,000			\$344,500,000			\$243,750,000		
6	6	Clark	\$9,803	\$9,803	Carson	\$9,684	\$9,684	Clark	\$9,505	\$9,505	Carson	\$9,379	\$9,379	Clark	\$8,583	\$8,583
7	7	NV Douglas	\$226,050	\$226,050	NV Douglas	\$213,776	\$213,776	NV Douglas	\$214,450	\$214,450	NV Douglas	\$223,187	\$224,460	NV Douglas	\$225,549	\$226,130
8	8	NV Elko	\$51,371	\$51,371	NV Elko	\$50,257	\$50,257	NV Elko	\$52,116	\$52,116	NV Elko	\$50,549	\$50,130	NV Elko	\$50,549	\$50,130
9	9	NV Esmeralda	\$34,171	\$34,171	NV Esmeralda	\$34,941	\$34,941	NV Esmeralda	\$35,591	\$35,591	NV Esmeralda	\$36,695	\$34,155	NV Esmeralda	\$36,695	\$34,155
10	10	NV Eureka	\$138,285	\$138,285	NV Eureka	\$148,634	\$148,634	NV Eureka	\$95,499	\$95,499	NV Eureka	\$108,297	\$108,297	NV Eureka	\$108,297	\$108,297
11	11	NV Humboldt	\$329,120	\$329,120	NV Humboldt	\$328,479	\$328,479	NV Humboldt	\$291,181	\$291,181	NV Humboldt	\$269,345	\$269,345	NV Humboldt	\$269,345	\$269,345
12	12	NV Lander	\$246,675	\$246,675	NV Lander	\$237,454	\$237,454	NV Lander	\$193,443	\$193,443	NV Lander	\$158,578	\$158,578	NV Lander	\$158,578	\$158,578
13	13	NV Lincoln	\$81,292	\$81,292	NV Lincoln	\$68,082	\$68,082	NV Lincoln	\$55,265	\$55,265	NV Lincoln	\$55,629	\$55,629	NV Lincoln	\$55,629	\$55,629
14	14	NV Lyon	\$47,901	\$47,901	NV Lyon	\$46,398	\$46,398	NV Lyon	\$42,157	\$42,157	NV Lyon	\$38,813	\$38,813	NV Lyon	\$38,813	\$38,813
15	15	NV Mineral	\$543,418	\$543,418	NV Mineral	\$520,272	\$520,272	NV Mineral	\$569,038	\$569,038	NV Mineral	\$349,373	\$349,373	NV Mineral	\$349,373	\$349,373
16	16	NV Nye	\$2,287,029	\$2,287,029	NV Nye	\$2,239,783	\$2,239,783	NV Nye	\$2,019,777	\$2,019,777	NV Nye	\$2,028,963	\$2,028,963	NV Nye	\$2,028,963	\$2,028,963
17	17	NV Washoe	\$98,204	\$98,204	NV Washoe	\$65,266	\$65,266	NV Washoe	\$53,587	\$53,587	NV Washoe	\$50,428	\$50,428	NV Washoe	\$50,428	\$50,428
18	18	NV White Pine	\$651,484	\$651,484	NV White Pine	\$595,155	\$595,155	NV White Pine	\$552,112	\$552,112	NV White Pine	\$545,130	\$545,130	NV White Pine	\$545,130	\$545,130