

**CARSON CITY AIRPORT AUTHORITY
MEETING MINUTES**

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 20, 2007 – 6:00 P.M.

Public Meeting at:
**CARSON CITY COMMUNITY CENTER
SIERRA ROOM
851 E. WILLIAM STREET
CARSON CITY, NEVADA**

A. CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL, AND DETERMINATION OF QUORUM. The regular meeting of the Carson City Airport Authority was called to order at 6:01 p.m. Roll call was taken, and quorum was determined:

Present: Don Peterson, Neil Weaver, Steve Lewis, Walt Sullivan, Gary Handelin and Richard Staub

Absent: Collie Hutter (*excused*)

Staff: Steve Tackes and Yvon Weaver; Jim Clague was excused from this meeting

B. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

C. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF PAST MEETINGS OF THE AIRPORT AUTHORITY. At the May regular meeting, during a discussion of the minutes for the regular meeting of 18 April 2007, Mr. Sullivan wanted to make a correction to the first line of Paragraph 3 on Page 5 of 10; however, he could not recall the intent of his statement during that portion of the meeting. A motion concerning the April minutes was withheld until Mr. Sullivan could properly structure the sentence. There was no objection to this request, and approval of the April minutes was carried forward to the June meeting. Mr. Sullivan was able to make the correction to the paragraph in question, and moved to approve the April minutes as corrected. Mr. Weaver seconded the motion, and the motion carried 6-0, with Mrs. Hutter absent for this vote.

After noting a correction to Page 1 of 15 of the May regular meeting minutes, Mr. Weaver moved to approve the minutes as corrected. Mr. Peterson seconded the motion, and the motion carried 5-0, with Mr. Staub absent from the meeting and therefore abstaining from the vote, and Mrs. Hutter absent for this vote.

D. MODIFICATION OF THE AGENDA. *The Chairman reserves the right to modify the agenda in order to most effectively process the agenda items.* There were no modifications to the agenda at this time.

E. PUBLIC COMMENT. *Members of the public who wish to address the Airport Authority may speak on non-agendized matters related to the Airport. Comments are limited to three (3) minutes per person or topic. If your item requires extended discussion, please request the Chairman to calendar the matter for a future Airport Authority meeting.*

Mr. Jerry Vaccaro addressed the Board. He presented an ad that had appeared in today's *Record Courier* regarding the leasing of airport property. Mr. Vaccaro believes this ad could be used as a basis for establishing fair market value for rentals on our Airport, and gave the ad to Mrs. Weaver for the record.

Mr. Ralph Smith, Executive Vice President and CEO for Valley Construction Company addressed the Board. He stated that he was involved in the Jet Ranch project, and had three recommendations for the future. He stated that the project would require placement of about seven cubic yards of material, and requested that the Airport pay for the compaction and testing of the fill material. He requested that future leasing agreements include verbiage to the effect that any suitable fill generated by a project within the Airport boundaries be kept on the project and compacted to 90 percent by the owner or builder so that future tenants realize it's part of their responsibility when they build. Mr. Smith requested that the tenant or owner indicate the approval process for specific permits within the boundaries of the Airport Authority.

Mr. Weaver asked what it would cost for the Airport Authority to compact the dirt. Mr. Lewis stated that we were not agendized for such a discussion, and suggested that the Airport Manager could authorize the compaction. Mr. Weaver asked if this item could be agendized for a future meeting, since it probably involved a larger expenditure than the limits of the Airport Manager's spending authority.

F. PUBLIC HEARINGS

(1) DISCUSSION AND ACTION REGARDING THE STATUS OF THE HERITAGE HANGARS CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE; NOTICE OF DEFAULT ON LEASE FOR FAILURE TO MEET CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS; PAYMENT OF RENT (*Patrick Dang*). Mr. Steve Tackes addressed the Board. He stated that the agenda item contained two issues plus payment of rent; however, Mr. Dang is in fact current on his rent. The extra 14 feet added to Mr. Dang's parcel last December needs to be included in the rental amount and Mr. Dang notified of the full amount, but otherwise his rent is current.

Regarding the lease timeline, Mr. Dang's lease took effect on June 23, 2004. He was to commence construction in one year (by 2005), and complete construction in two years

(by 2006). Because of the confusion in boundary definitions and the underlying utility placement, Mr. Dang's progress was delayed and the Airport Authority provided Mr. Dang with an extension of time on May 19, 2005. Therefore by May 19 2006, Mr. Dang should have begun construction on the project, and should have been completed by May 19, 2007. We are obviously past the May 19, 2007 date, and this item is on the agenda because we are currently outside of the approved schedule. Mr. Tackes suggested that the Board would need to determine fault or approve an extension of time, but suggested that the Board first hear from Mr. Dang.

Mr. Patrick Dang addressed the Board. He stated that he has resolved the first issue of the rent payment, and will hand-deliver a check for the next payment that is due on July 1, 2007. Mr. Dang stated he would deliver it on June 29th, since the first falls on a Sunday.

Regarding construction, Mr. Dang reported that he is unsophisticated in terms of the processes involved and required by the different agencies. Mr. Dang stated that he does not mean to criticize any department, but there have been a number of issues that have slowed down the process. There were issues on the layout for the sewer lines, structural issues that went back and forth from his designer in Chicago to Lumos and Associations, who is his engineer here in Carson City. There have been several things that have delayed the process, but other items are on track, including the tentative condo map approval. Mr. Dang went into some detail regarding the domino affect of the delays he has been through, and stated that it is all a process, and that everyone is making an effort and doing their best. Mr. Dang pointed out that Mr. Randall Long of Lumos and Associates was also present to answer any questions the Board might have. Otherwise, if there were no additional questions, Mr. Dang stated he would like to request a tentative eight-month extension of the construction period for the project.

Mr. Lewis asked if this request for an extension of time was to begin the construction process, or to complete it. Mr. Dang stated it was to complete the project.

Mr. Lewis asked if completion meant obtaining a Certificate of Occupancy (C of O). Mr. Dang stated that it did.

Mr. Lewis confirmed that eight months would culminate in February 2008. Mr. Dang confirmed that it would, and that he considered the request to be a generous one that included a “fudge factor” for time.

Mr. Lewis asked Mr. Dang where, in his opinion, did the delays originate, and whether Mr. Dang considered they were City related, or engineering delays, or delays on Mr. Dang's side of affairs.

Mr. Dang stated that the delays were caused by a little bit of everything. He stated that everything he had submitted for review and approval has taken two to three weeks at a minimum. Many items were submitted for review and had to be resubmitted to get them right. Mr. Dang stated that he was not trying to blame anyone, but that the process does take a long time. Mr. Dang stated that the process is similar to dealing with the Airport Authority, for if something is not resolved during a meeting, an entire month must pass before the item could be heard a second time, and it just takes time.

Mr. Staub asked for clarification on the timeline. He stated that he could understand construction setbacks and delays in obtaining materials and the potential issues with the City, but stated that we are three years out. Mr. Staub stated that he didn't care when it gets finished, but he wants to know when it will be started.

Mr. Dang stated that the project was already underway, but has been delayed by the resolution of some key issues.

Mr. Lewis asked Mr. Dang to state for the record the progress that has been made in terms of actual construction. Mr. Dang deferred this question to Mr. Long from Lumos and Associates.

Mr. Randall Long of Lumos and Associates addressed the Board. Regarding construction progress, Mr. Long explained in some detail the progress to date, and stated that all of the underground improvements have been placed, and fill work for the pad is completed and inspected. Until the foundation plan and construction is approved, the footings cannot be done. The building permit was applied for, and it was discovered only after submitting the application that a special use permit had to be obtained in conjunction with that application, which is a longer, more time-consuming ordeal. Mr. Long stated that some of the extra processes that had to be undertaken were not discovered until they actually got to those points.

Mr. Staub asked Mr. Tackes about options. Mr. Tackes stated that the lease is currently out of compliance, and notice of default has to give the tenant 30 days to cure the default. Mr. Tackes stated that the Board has to either give Mr. Dang 30 days, or give him one final allotment of time to complete the project – those are the only two options.

Mr. Weaver stated that he would like to note for the record that Mr. Dang has used local contractors and firms in the community, and thanked Mr. Dang for keeping the money local. Mr. Weaver stated that in the big scheme of things, another eight months in a 50-year lease isn't a big deal, and pointed out that the lease on the adjoining parcel was extended for similar reasons.

Mr. Handelin asked for clarification on the building permit status. Mr. Long stated that the pad is built, but that the footings cannot be built until the permit is issued, which is estimated to be another 30 days or so out in receipt of the permit from the City.

Mr. Handelin asked if there was anything else that would slow down the process. Mr. Long stated that they were doing everything possible to run parallel paths to save time and gain time where possible, and were already near completion in running the map to condo-ize the space.

Mr. Staub asked if eight months was enough time, or whether the Board should be considering more time in light of the approaching winter. Mr. Long stated that the contractor's schedule showed completion in a six-month timeframe.

Mr. Sullivan asked if Mr. Long would be associated with Mr. Dang and his contractor during the course of the project. Mr. Long stated that he would.

Mr. Sullivan recommended that in order for the project to stay within the six- to eight-month schedule allotted, that a meeting be held with the Building Department to determine now any problems they may foresee with the permit application so that those can be rectified and the project can get back on line. Mr. Sullivan stated that he had heard that there were problems with the permit, and that the permit is not moving forward. Mr. Sullivan recommended that Mr. Long and Mr. Dang meet with the Building Department as soon as possible so that the project can get back on line.

There were no other questions from the Board. Mr. Lewis called for a motion.

Mr. Handelin moved to give Mr. Dang one (1) year to complete construction and receive the C of O for his project, that this would be the final extension of time, and that the Board must receive from Mr. Dang a written progress report every three months.

Mr. Weaver seconded the motion.

There were no further questions or comments. Mr. Lewis called for the vote. The motion carried 6-0, with Mrs. Hutter absent for this vote.

(2) DISCUSSION AND ACTION REGARDING A REQUEST BY VALLEY CONSTRUCTION COMPANY TO PLACE A TEMPORARY “WORK TRAILER” AND SIGNAGE AT THE GONZALES LEASEHOLD SITE (LOT 207) IN PREPARATION FOR THE BEGINNING OF THE CONSTRUCTION PHASE OF THE PROJECT; CONFIRMATION OF THE CONTRACTOR’S ACCEPTANCE OF THE AIRPORT AUTHORITY’S RULES AND REGULATIONS AS PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED BY THE AIRPORT AUTHORITY (*Ralph Smith, Valley Construction Company*).

For the record, Mr. Lewis stated that he would be abstaining from comment and vote on this item, since he was affiliated with the Gonzales project. Mr. Lewis passed the gavel to Mr. Sullivan, the Vice-Chairman.

Mr. Ralph Smith and Mr. Paul Shaw, both of Valley Construction, addressed the Board. Mr. Smith presented a layout of the construction area, plus copies of signage they wish to place on the project. Mr. Smith explained the requirements they have for contractors who will be visiting the project, which incorporates the Airport Authority rules and regulations. Those regulations will be incorporated in all subcontractor’s contracts so that there will be no doubt as to what is required from any subcontractor in terms of working on the Airport.

Mr. Staub asked Mr. Smith if he accepted the Airport Authority rules and regulations. Mr. Smith stated that he did. Mr. Shaw read aloud the subcontractor agreement to work, which clearly included reference to the Airport rules and regulations.

Discussion turned to the signs that will be displayed around the project. They will be 4’x8’ and include the text as displayed on the poster board. There was discussion that the signage may be placed on the construction trailer or on “open” land, but Mr. Smith stated that if the sign placement would interfere with Airport movement or operation they could be moved and placed elsewhere out of the way.

Mr. Weaver clarified that the planned placement of the construction trailer would be off the leasehold property and on Airport property. Mr. Smith confirmed this was true. Mr. Weaver asked about the liability to the Airport Authority for having a construction trailer on Airport property, and how long it would be left in place, and whether the Airport Authority should be named as additionally insured.

Mrs. Weaver stated that she would like to go out and physically look at placement of the construction trailer to make sure that there is no problem with signage placement and general liability.

Mr. Tackes stated that the Airport Authority should be named as additionally insured, but he did not have a layout diagram for placement of the trailer or signage. He stated that as long as they are not in a space currently used for aviation purposes, he was unsure if there was a current liability but the insurance policy was a good idea. Mr. Sullivan and Mr. Staub concurred.

Mr. Sullivan stated that he thought it would be a good idea for Mrs. Weaver to look at the sign placement at the site.

Mr. Sullivan asked if there were any other questions or comments from the Board. There were none. Mr. Sullivan called for public comment.

Mr. Gary Nigro addressed the Board. He asked how far from aviation movement the construction trailer would be placed. Mr. Shaw explained that it would be about 400 feet, with no aircraft passageways near the trailer.

Mr. Weaver asked for the sunset timing for removal of the construction trailer. Mr. Sullivan stated that it was City regulations that a construction trailer be removed at C of O.

There were no additional questions or comments at this time. Mr. Sullivan called for a motion.

Mr. Staub moved to approve the placement of a temporary work trailer and signage on Lot 207 in preparation for the beginning of the construction phase of the project, with a notation that the trailer shall be removed from the project upon issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy by the City; to provide further that the contractor will add Carson City Airport Authority and the City of Carson City as additional insureds to the construction liability insurance policy; and to further note for the record that the contractor has accepted and concurred with the Carson City Airport Authority rules and regulations as noted in his documents provided here today and included in the record.

Mr. Handelin seconded the motion.

There were no additional questions and the vote was called. The motion carried 5-0, with Mrs. Hutter absent for this vote and Mr. Lewis abstaining from comment and vote for this item.

Mr. Sullivan returned the gavel to Mr. Lewis.

(3) DISCUSSION AND ACTION REGARDING APPROVAL AND ACCEPTANCE OF THE FAA AIP GRANT OFFER IN THE APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF \$5M (*S. Tackes*). Mr. Tackes explained that this item concerns the Airport Improvement Program (AIP) grant for this year. Mr. Tackes stated that he has received confirmation from Mr. Reed's office that the grant is approved and moving on so the money could be issued. A few days later Mr. Tackes received a call from the Burlingame FAA office that the money is coming. The item is on the Board of Supervisors agenda for tomorrow, since technically the City is the grant recipient, but in an abundance of caution thought that the Airport Authority should also approve and accept the grant funding of \$5M.

Mr. Lewis called for Board discussion.

Mr. Sullivan stated that this was a significant step in the improvement of the Airport, and wanted to go on record as thanking the previous Airport Authority administrations and the current Airport Authority administration for all their work.

There were no other Board comments at this time.

Mr. Tackes stated that the Board would need to approve the grant offer and terms and conditions document. Mr. Staub asked who would sign the document. Mr. Tackes stated that the Chairman of the Airport Authority Board and the Mayor of Carson City would be the signers.

Mr. Lewis called for the motion.

Mr. Staub moved to approve and accept the FAA AIP grant offer in the approximate amount of \$5M to include the grant agreement and terms and conditions document pertinent thereto, with the understanding that the Airport Authority Chairman and the City Mayor would sign the document.

Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

There was no additional discussion. The vote was called and the motion carried 6-0, with Mrs. Hutter absent for the vote.

(4) DISCUSSION AND ACTION TO REVIEW AND APPROVE TERMS OF ENGAGEMENT CONTRACTS FOR AIRPORT AUTHORITY INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS (LEGAL COUNSEL, AIRPORT MANAGER, RECORDING SECRETARY AND CPA/AUDITING FIRM) (*G. Handelin*). Mr. Handelin stated all of the terms of engagement contracts had been received, and that he would go through them one by one in the order identified in the agenda item.

Mr. Handelin stated that the document received from legal counsel is a document to continue their representation, which continues services as previously provided. Mr. Handelin stated that he had no comment on that.

Mr. Lewis asked if there were questions or comments. There were none from the Board or the public.

Mr. Handelin moved to approve and accept the engagement letter for Mr. Tackes for legal services. Mr. Staub seconded the motion. The vote was called, and the motion carried 6-0, with Mrs. Hutter absent for this vote.

Regarding the Airport Manager, Mr. Handelin pointed out two minor errors in the document that he would like to see clarified. Mr. Tackes stated that he had provided much of the wording for the Airport Manager document, and that much of it was taken from the Internal Revenue Service Code, which is why it may be difficult to understand.

Mr. Handelin questioned the term. He stated that he did not want the agreement to go on forever, but wanted to force the Board to review agreements periodically and to not have them go on forever. He wanted to see fixed term limits for independent contractors.

Mr. Peterson stated that we do not lose our opportunity to review contracts; that there is a renewal date provided in the Airport Manager agreement, and the Board has all the opportunity in the world to review the contract whenever it needed to do so.

Mr. Handelin stated that the Board might have the opportunity, but feared that when Board members changed things would be forgotten and certain things would not get done.

Mr. Peterson stated that he believed the Board would not lose the opportunity to review, but questioned the necessity for constant review if there was no *cause* to review a contract for service.

Mr. Staub stated that he respected Mr. Handelin's concerns, and that language should probably be added to the Airport Manager contract that said something like, "...unless the CCAA determined a different renewal term..." and that should resolve the question at hand. Mr. Staub stated that because members of the Airport Authority change and independent contractors may change – sometimes keeping up with the timing on contracts is difficult to do, and Mr. Staub stated that he did not want the agreement to expire without anyone noticing. Therefore, if the Airport Authority doesn't act on it, the renewal language would enable this agreement to continue on. If the Airport Authority Board does its due diligence and agendas this contract every three years, it can be renewed, "...unless the CCAA determines a different renewal term."

Mr. Staub asked Mr. Tackes if this would be okay. Mr. Tackes stated that he agreed with everyone, and pointed out that the Airport Authority could review anyone's performance at any time.

Mr. Weaver stated for the record that he would abstain from commenting and voting on this contract due to his well-known and much publicized relationship with the Airport Manager.

Mr. Lewis asked if there were any public comments. There were none. Mr. Lewis called for a motion.

Mr. Staub commented that, before the item was approved, he wanted to state how well Mrs. Weaver has done as our Airport Manager. Mr. Staub stated that it was a very difficult job to deal with Airport Authority members or with any board, because especially on this Board there are competing interests. Mr. Staub stated that Mrs. Weaver has done a commendable job, and that each person is properly counseled and their questions are answered, agendas are out on time, and he commended her for her efforts and the amount of money the Airport Authority can afford to pay at this time is minuscule in comparison. Mr. Staub stated that he would like to see Mrs. Weaver earn more, but did appreciate Mrs. Weaver's efforts and the compensation she was willing to accept for doing a difficult job at best.

Mr. Staub moved to accept the independent contract between the CCAA and Mrs. Weaver as the Airport Manager with the corrections noted, and to specifically include on Page 2, Paragraph 3, "...unless the CCAA determines a different renewal term."

Mr. Sullivan seconded the motion.

The vote was called and the motion carried 5-0, with Mrs. Hutter absent for the vote and Mr. Weaver abstaining for reasons stated above.

Regarding the recording secretary agreement, Mr. Handelin stated that the activities were not spelled out, and he would like Item 1 expanded to include detail on duties, with verbiage to state items such as, including but not limited to recording the meetings, prepare and distribute minutes within three weeks of meetings, post agenda and provide notice of agenda.

Mr. Handelin moved to accept the contractor agreement as corrected, and, regarding Paragraph 5, Term, stated that the term should begin effective today (June 20, 2007) and should be a three-year term with an additional one-year renewal option.

Mr. Weaver seconded the motion.

The vote was called and the motion carried 6-0 with Mrs. Hutter absent for this vote.

Mr. Lewis noted that there was one additional contract on the agenda for the auditing firm of Kohn and Colodny, but that the Airport Manager had previously executed the contract upon authorization by the Board in preparation for the annual audit. The auditor's contract was therefore not reviewed at this meeting.

- G. **AIRPORT ENGINEER'S REPORT (*Non-Action Item*)**. Mr. Jim Clague, Airport Engineer, was excused from this meeting, and there is no Airport Engineer's report.

- H. **AIRPORT MANAGER'S REPORT (*Non-Action Item*)**. Mrs. Yvon Weaver, Airport Manager, wished Mr. Tackes a happy birthday.

Mrs. Weaver thanked the recording secretary for her many years of service to her and to the Board.

Mrs. Weaver reported that there was a good turnout for the Airport Open House, and wanted to thank the committee for their diligence in seeing it through.

Mrs. Weaver reported that Tholl Fence is working on Gate 1 and it is close to completion. The posts are in the ground for the cantilever gate.

The crack sealing is done and the sawcutting is underway. The worst of the worst is done, and it will probably go on forever.

Mr. Lewis commented that, on behalf of the Board members, he wanted to commend Mrs. Weaver and her many volunteers for producing another very successful open house. He stated that he realizes now that it takes a lot of work, and that he had no idea how many volunteers Mrs. Weaver had until he had to sign a bunch of letters of appreciation for each of them. Mr. Lewis stated that this spoke volumes as to how much manpower is required to put on an event such as the Airport Open House, and stated that Mrs. Weaver did a great job.

I. **LEGAL COUNSEL'S REPORT** (*Non-Action Item*). Mr. Steve Tackes, Airport Counsel, stated that having the \$5M AIP grant almost behind us will complete the land acquisition step of the Master Plan. The next step is to remove the hill, and Mr. Tackes encouraged the Board to stay focused on that. Mr. Tackes stated that he was working with the City on the engineering plans for the connector road and the water/detention pond areas. When the hill is removed it can be done in conjunction with constructing the road. Mr. Tackes stated that he would remain in contact with Mr. Serpa to find the most expeditious way to remove the hill.

Regarding AB 462, we no longer need two real estate appraisals, just one, and it can be for comparative lease values, which is a good thing.

Mr. Tackes reminded the Board that he has sent each a legal opinion on lease terms in terms of non-aviation related issues on leaseholds. He encouraged them to distribute the information to tenants, and to anyone else involved. Mr. Tackes stated that in his opinion non-aviation business is not permitted in the center triangle area. That may change with better access, but right now that's the status. Anyone interested in business in the center triangle needs to come to the Airport Authority to get permission, and absent doing that, they cannot run a business.

J. **TREASURER'S REPORT** (*Non-Action Item*). Mrs. Collie Hutter, Airport Treasurer, was excused from this meeting and there is no treasurer's report.

K. REPORT FROM AUTHORITY MEMBERS (*Non-Action Item*). Mr. Lewis polled the Board for reports. Mr. Peterson and Mr. Weaver had nothing further.

Mr. Sullivan congratulated Mrs. Weaver for picking up the project of the SPPCo orange construction balls, and seeing it through to completion.

Mr. Sullivan stated that he has received a proposal regarding the Nevada Museum of Military History for space east of the state hangar. They desire to use the property, and if there is no objection, Mr. Sullivan would like the Airport Manager to invite them to show up at a future meeting to explain more about their proposal.

Mr. Sullivan stated that Mr. Gary Nigro, a former employee of the FAA, was in the audience. He has provided the Board with a lot of material regarding driving on the Airport. Mrs. Weaver has also done a lot of work gathering material on this subject. Mr. Sullivan stated that he has made copies of all of the information to hand out to the Board and staff because the issue needs to be tackled.

Mr. Handelin had nothing further to add.

Mr. Staub reported that regarding the residents on Apollo Drive, he has taken the liberty to talk with Linda Ritter, City Manager, and Sue Johnson, City Finance Manger, about placing a bond. A bond can be placed, and the Airport doesn't necessarily have to remain hostage to FAA funding. Mr. Staub wanted to add the item to the August agenda so it can be discussed in detail to see what we can do.

Mr. Lewis reported that, on June 9th, under the direction of Mrs. Weaver, a test flight/training mission was flown over the Apollo Drive area with help from volunteers at the EAA. Mr. Handelin also made numerous flights over the Apollo Drive area using the current flight pattern elevation (800 ft ags) to the new approach and pattern altitude proposed but not yet implemented (1,000 ft ags). Everyone saw a marked difference and a huge improvement. Because of the additional height, aircraft are also required to fly out a little higher and move slightly to the east. Unfortunately, once an aircraft is established on a glide path, there's not much to do for homes right under the flight path.

Mr. Lewis stated that the flight that spoke volumes to the observers was the one where the pilot pretended that the new runway was in place, and flew an approach pattern to the new runway. It comes with a huge price tag, but we are getting closer all the time.

Mr. Lewis thanked Mrs. Weaver for spearheading the demonstration and members of the EAA and Mr. Handelin for their assistance. Mr. Lewis stated that he thought the EAA would continue to monitor pilots at times when no one knows it, as we are looking for the abusers. We think we know a couple of them, and then there are a couple who are just not aware of the rules. Hopefully the residents of the Apollo Drive area will see some improvement in the coming year.

Mr. Lewis stated that he wanted to note the recent passing of a long-time Airport mechanic, Mr. Booth Bailey. Mr. Bailey was involved in general aviation for more than 50 years, and the FAA recognized his years of service.

L. ACTION ON ADJOURNMENT. Mr. Sullivan moved to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Staub seconded the motion, and the meeting was adjourned without objection at 7:15 p.m.

* * * * *

NOTE: A tape recording of these proceedings is on file, and available for review and inspection at the Airport Manager's office during normal business hours.

* * * * *

The minutes of the 20 June 2007 Regular Meeting of the Carson City Airport Authority are so approved on this 18th day of July, 2007.

BY: 

TITLE: Steve Lewis, Chairman