

A regular session of the Carson City Board of Supervisors was held on Thursday, September 19, 1991, at the Community Center Sierra Room, 851 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada, beginning at 9 a.m.

PRESENT:	Marv Teixeira	Mayor
	Tom Feticc	Supervisor, Ward 2
	Greg Smith	Supervisor, Ward 1
	Tom Tatro	Supervisor, Ward 3
	Kay Bennett	Supervisor, Ward 4

STAFF PRESENT:	John Berkich	City Manager
	Kiyoshi Nishikawa	Clerk-Recorder
	Paul McGrath	Sheriff
	Pat Sorenson	Deputy City Manager
	Jack Fralinger	Health Director
	John Iratcabal	Acting Purchasing Agent
	Charles P. Cockerill	Chief Deputy District Attorney
	Don Davis	Fleet Manager
	Bill Barker	Street Supervisor
	Katherine McLaughlin	Recording Secretary
	(B.O.S. 9/19/91 Tape 1-0005)	

NOTE: Unless otherwise indicated, each item was introduced by staff's reading/outlining/clarifying the Board Action Request and/or supporting documentation. Staff members present for each Department are listed under that Department's heading. A tape recording of these proceedings is on file in the Clerk-Recorder's office. This tape is available for review and inspection during the normal business hours.

Mayor Teixeira called the meeting to order at 9:05 a.m. He requested a moment of silence to reflect on the killing which had occurred Wednesday evening. Rev. Ken Haskins of the First Christian Church gave the Invocation. Mr. Berkich lead the Pledge of Allegiance. Roll call was taken. A quorum was present although Supervisor Tatro had not yet arrived.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES - July 18, 1991, Regular Session and July 29, 1991, Special Session - Supervisor Feticc moved to approve the Minutes as presented. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0.

CITIZEN COMMENTS (1-0041) - Robert Brogan gave a copy of his comments to the Board. (None to the Clerk.) He then read the statement which expressed his opposition to the Sheriff's R.A.I.S.E. traffic enforcement program. The traffic ticketing program would place an unfair burden on the residents and be utilized as an harassment technique. The City's speed zones were ill conceived, arbitrarily established, and poorly marked. Traffic citations would increase the insurance costs. He urged the Board to reconsider its action.

(1-0151) Frank Chase expressed his feeling that the Sheriff received more than an adequate amount of the General Fund now. The traffic enforcement program would generate additional funds for him at an unfair burden on the residents. He then explained his feeling that the growing Hispanic problem needed to be addressed. He urged the Board to take action outlawing gangs in Carson City and to remind the Sheriff he was no longer a Highway Patrolman but rather had been retained to protect the residents.

(1-0252) Harry L. Boethin explained his frustrations with the City's Street Maintenance. He felt that all of the street maintenance should be contracted. Flooding problems in his area including the inadequate drain facilities and lack of maintenance on the few facilities that the City has were cited to further illustration his feeling that the

division should be eliminated. He questioned the City's hiring practices due to his feeling that poorly qualified individuals were being retained. Mayor Teixeira asked him to discuss these issues with Public Works Director Dan O'Brien. The Board could not act on his request as it was not agendized. Mr. Boethin then expressed his feeling that if service was not going to be provided to the Pinion Hills area, he should not be required to pay taxes. Mayor Teixeira advised him to agendize any items which could not be resolved with Mr. O'Brien.

Supervisors Fetic and Bennett explained for Messrs. Brogin, Chase, and Boethin that the Board could not interact on their comments as these issues had not been agendized. They requested the Sheriff's item be agendized for an evening session. Mr. Brogan explained that this had been his intent and urged the Board to be sure and publicize the meeting. (During this discussion, Supervisor Tatro arrived--9:28 p.m. A quorum was present as noted.

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS - ORDINANCES, RESOLUTIONS, AND OTHER ITEMS

1. TREASURER - ACTION ON CARSON CITY TREASURER'S FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE MONTH OF JULY 1991 - Deferred.

2. SHERIFF - Paul McGrath - ACTION ON EMERGENCY REPLACEMENT OF UNMARKED VEHICLE (1-0475) - Sheriff McGrath responded to the Board questions concerning the need for the vehicle, cost, delivery date, and funding source. Acting Purchasing Agent John Iratcabal explained the legal requirements for the emergency purchase of used equipment. Supervisor Tatro moved that the Board approve the purchase of a used replacement vehicle from the Capital Acquisition Vehicle Sale Account and direct the Finance Department to augment the aforementioned account, fiscal impact \$10,500, and declare this an emergency purchase under the appropriate provisions of Chapter 332 of the Nevada Revised Statutes. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Public Works Director Dan O'Brien supported the acquisition, however, stressed his feeling that future purchases should utilize the Purchasing Department procedures. Mr. Davis had seen the vehicle and had no problem with its acquisition. His comments stressed the need for Departments to communicate with one another. Clarification noted that there is a written procedure for the purchase of equipment. Sheriff McGrath acknowledged the procedure and noted the breakdown in communication. The motion to authorize the acquisition of one emergency replacement vehicle for the Sheriff's Department was voted and approved 5-0.

3. HEALTH DIRECTOR - Jack Fralinger.

A. ACTION ON REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF DISINTERMENT OF BODY FOR OUT-OF-STATE RE-BURIAL (1-0685) - Supervisor Smith moved that the Board approve the request of FitzHenry Funeral Home and Crematory for disinterment of a body (E. Mills) for out-of-state re-burial. Supervisor Fetic seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

B. STATUS REPORT ON HEALTH DEPARTMENT GOALS (1-0702) - Discussion among the Board and Mr. Fralinger included the need for a fee schedule to monitor the industrial EPA Clean Air Act requirements, its requirements, penalties if the City does not comply, gasoline tank leakage problems, insurance policies available to address such liability, the potential of eliminating four of the eleven City tanks, alternatives to having tanks, status of the Sheriff's gas tank, reasons the mosquito abatement program worked, and his need for automation. Discussion directed Mr. Fralinger to supply copies of the Clean Air requirements to the Board and Chamber of Commerce. No formal action was required or taken on this item.

4. DEPUTY CITY MANAGER - Pat Sorenson - ACTION ON 1992 FISCAL YEAR BUDGET FOR GOLF COURSE PROJECTS (1-1214) - Discussion among the Board and Mr. Sorenson included the sprinkler requirement for the cart barn; timeframes for completion of the cart barn, restrooms, staging area, water main extension; funding sources; completion date for the maintenance building; and reasons Ms. Walker had not

signed the Board Action Request. No action was taken on this matter as it was ruled a status report by Mayor Teixeira.

5. **PURCHASING AGENT** - Acting Purchasing Agent John Iratcabal - **ACTION ON AWARD OF CONTRACT 9091-172 - EAGLE VALLEY GOLF COURSES CART STORAGE BUILDING (1-1455)** - Mr. Iratcabal explained the correction to the Board Action form and reasons the bid totals did not add to the corrected amount. He did not anticipate any change orders although it is possible that two or three change orders may be needed. Supervisor Smith moved that the Board accept the Acting Purchasing Agent's recommendation and award the contract to Bidder No. 2, Central Sierra Commercial Construction, 2838 Heybourne Road, Minden, Nevada 89423, as the lowest responsive and responsible bidder pursuant to the requirements of NRS Chapter 332, 338, 339, and 624, for a contract amount of \$243,460 plus a contingency amount of \$11,540, for a total commitment of \$255,000; funding source will be account 540-5200, the Golf Cart Building which requires augmentation of \$202,000 from prior year budget and a commitment of \$53,000 from the 1991-92 Fiscal Year staging area line item 540-52000, for a total commitment of \$255,000. Supervisor Tatro seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

B. **ACTION ON AWARD OF CONTRACT 9192-28 - ASPHALT UNITIZED PATCHER AND TRUCK (1-1595)** - Following Mr. Iratcabal's introduction, Street Supervisor Bill Barker gave the Board (none to the Clerk) a photograph of the equipment and explained its function and difference in the bids. Mr. Cockerill explained the motions to reject H. D. Industries and Silver State bids and award the bid. Supervisor Tatro then moved that the Board reject the bid from H. D. Industries of Jacksonville, Texas, for Contract 9192-28 as nonresponsive in not meeting the physical specification requirements of the bid. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0. Supervisor Tatro then moved that the Board reject the bid from Silver State International Trucks of Sparks, Nevada, for Contract 9192-28 as nonresponsive for failure to comply with the provisions of the bid. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0. Supervisor Tatro then moved that the Board accept the Acting Purchasing Agent's recommendation and award Contract No. 9192-28 to Bidder No. 2, Jones West Ford of Reno, Nevada, as the lowest responsive and responsible bidder pursuant to the requirements of NRS 332 for a contract amount of \$72,260, with funding source of Fund 256-3038 Machinery and Equipment. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

6. **DISTRICT ATTORNEY** - Chief Deputy District Attorney Charles P. Cockerill - **ACTION ON COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT WITH THE STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES (1-1837)** - Supervisor Tatro moved that the Board approve and authorize the Mayor to sign the Cooperative Agreement with the State of Nevada Department of Human Resources. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

7. **PERSONNEL MANAGER** - Judie Fisher - **ACTION ON AMENDMENT OF THE CARSON CITY RULES AND RELATIONS RELATING TO OVERTIME HOURS (1-1868)** - Supervisor Bennett moved that the Board accept the Personnel Manager's recommendation and adopt the amendment to the City's Rules and Regulations relating to overtime hours. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Following Mr. Cockerill's request for amendment, Supervisor Bennett amended her motion to include effective September 5, 1991. Supervisor Smith continued his second. Motion carried 5-0.

BREAK: At 10:10 a.m. a five minute recess was taken. When the meeting reconvened at 10:15 a.m., the entire Board was present, constituting a quorum.

8. **PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR** - Dan O'Brien.

A. **DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON ABATEMENT OF NUISANCE AT NO.**

7 CASTLE WAY AND NO. 9 CASTLE WAY (1-1912) - Discussion among the Board and Mr. O'Brien included the conflict between the two property owners and the potential court involvement. Supervisor Smith moved that the Board concur with the Public Works Director's decision to issue a citation to the property owner and his agent of No. 7 Castle Way and to the property owner of No. 9 Castle Way for failure to comply with notice to abate and correct a public nuisance. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

B. ORDINANCE - FIRST READING _ ACTION ON AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION 10.33.360, FEES, IN RELATION TO CLIENT PARKING ZONES AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO (1-2214) - Mr. Berkich explained the workshop held with users and their comments on the fee increase as well as other parking issues. Progress on several parking lots were included in his comments. Businesses who had attended the meeting were named. Public comment was solicited but none made. City Engineer Tim Homann explained how the fees were assessed. Revenue generated by the increase had been included in the budget. Supervisor Bennett moved that the Board introduce on first reading Bill No. 154, **AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION 10.33.360, FEES IN RELATION TO CLIENT PARKING ZONES AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO**. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

C. UTILITY DIVISION MATTERS - ACTION ON CHANGE OF USE AND PLACE OF USE ON ECO-VISION APPLICATIONS 56303 THROUGH 56318 (1-2701) - Discussion included Eco-Vision's original application which had not included its purpose, e.g., being for environmental purposes, location of the water rights, and purposed usage sites. Supervisor Smith moved that the Carson City Board of Supervisors make no recommendation to the State Engineer regarding the change of use and place of use on Eco-Vision Application 56303 through 56318. Supervisor Tatro seconded the motion. Comments noted NACO had unanimously adopted a motion of nonsupport. The motion to make no recommendation on the application was voted and carried 5-0.

D. ORDINANCES - SECOND READING (1-2889)

i. ACTION ON BILL NO. 151 - AN ORDINANCE ADDING CHAPTER 12.07 (CROSS CONNECTION CONTROL), AND ON BILL NO. 152 - AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 12.01.240 (SERVICE CONNECTIONS AND CUSTOMER'S FACILITIES) TO TITLE 12 OF THE CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE - Supervisor Tatro moved that the Board adopt on second reading Ordinance 1991-51, **AN ORDINANCE ADDING CHAPTER 12.07 (CROSS CONNECTION CONTROL) TO TITLE 12 (WATER, SEWERAGE, AND DRAINAGE) OF THE CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO**. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

Supervisor Tatro moved that the Board adopt Ordinance No. 1991-52 on second reading, **AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 12.01.240 OF THE CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO**. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

ii. ACTION ON BILL NO 153 - AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING CHAPTER 12.11 OF THE CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE REGARDING THE USE OF TREATED WASTEWATER EFFLUENT FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES (1-2967) - Supervisor Tatro moved that the Board adopt Ordinance No. 1991-53 on second reading, **AN ORDINANCE ADDING CHAPTER 12.11 OF THE CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE REGARDING USE OF TREATED WASTEWATER EFFLUENT FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES WHICH ESTABLISHES CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PENALTIES AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO**. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

9. **REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY MATTERS** - Mayor Teixeira then recessed the Board of Supervisors session and passed the gavel to Redevelopment Chairperson Tom Fetic. For Minutes of the Redevelopment Authority, see its folder. Following adjournment of the Redevelopment Authority, Chairperson Fetic passed the gavel to Mayor Teixeira who reconvened the Board of Supervisors. A quorum was present as noted.

10. **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

A. **ACTION ON PURCHASE OF PROPERTY AT CURRY STREET BETWEEN SECOND AND THIRD STREETS FOR PARKING (2-1995)** - Supervisor Bennett moved that the Board of Supervisors proceed with the negotiations for the purchase of property on Curry Street between Second and Third Streets for parking. Supervisor Fetic seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

B. **ACTION ON CONSTRUCTION OF ONE-WAY PARKING STREETS ON THIRD, FOURTH, CAROLINE, AND SPEAR STREETS (2-2035)** - Supervisor Tatro moved that the Board of Supervisors direct staff to proceed with the construction of one-way parking streets on Third Street between Carson Street and Nevada Streets and on Caroline and Spear Streets between Curry Street and Nevada Street, with a funding source of the Redevelopment Authority, fiscal impact \$18,540. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

CITIZENS COMMENTS (2-2060) - None.

BREAK: A lunch recess was declared at 11:55 a.m. When the meeting reconvened at 1:35 p.m., the entire Board was present constituting a quorum.

14. **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS REPORTS, RESOLUTIONS, AND PROCLAMATIONS (2-2085)** - Mayor Teixeira invited Kathryn Margaret Cleary to the dais. Mayor Teixeira then introduced her as Supervisor Bennett's mother. Mayor Teixeira welcomed her to the City. Supervisor Bennett then presented her mother with a plaque and expressed her appreciation for her mother's dedication and devotion. Mrs. Cleary thanked them.

Mayor Teixeira then reviewed the facts as he understood them about the teenage shooting which had occurred in Carson City last night. His comments included the Board's commitment of funding for youth activities and Hispanic Services as well as City services utilized to address community social problems. He felt that with the assistance and cooperation of the private sector the problems would be addressed and the City would become proactive on all fronts. He did not feel that the answer was additional law enforcement. He urged the community to remain calm and work with the programs which were in place.

11. **COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR** - Walt Sullivan.

A. **PLANNING COMMISSION REFERRAL - REVIEW AND APPEAL MATTERS (2-2494)**

i. **ACTION ON MPA-91/92-3 REGARDING A MASTER PLAN AMENDMENT REQUEST FROM CARSON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT TO AMEND THE MASTER PLAN LAND USE DESIGNATION FROM SUBURBAN RESIDENTIAL TO PUBLIC ON APPROXIMATELY 24.23 ACRES OF LAND ZONED PUBLIC AND AGRICULTURE LOCATED AT 1111 NORTH SALIMAN ROAD (CARSON CITY HIGH SCHOOL) (APN'S 10-041-19 <PORTION> AND 10-041-37 <PORTION>) -**

PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVED 5-0-2-0

ii. ORDINANCE - FIRST READING - ACTION ON Z-91/92-3 REGARDING A CHANGE OF LAND USE REQUEST FROM CARSON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT TO REZONE APPROXIMATELY 24.23 ACRES OF LAND FROM AGRICULTURE (A) TO PUBLIC (P) LOCATED AT 1111 NORTH SALIMAN ROAD (CARSON CITY HIGH SCHOOL) (APN'S 10-031-03 <PORTION> AND 10-041-37 <PORTION>) - PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVED 5-0-2-0 - Supervisor Bennett moved that the Board of Supervisors uphold the Planning Commission decision and approve MPA-91/92-3, regarding a Master Plan Amendment from the Carson City School District to amend the Master Plan Land Use Designation from Suburban Residential to Public on approximately 24.23 acres of land zoned public and agriculture located at 1111 North Saliman Road, Carson City. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

Supervisor Bennett moved that Board introduce on first reading Bill No. 155, AN ORDINANCE EFFECTING A CHANGE OF LAND USE ON PORTIONS OF ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBERS 10-041-37 AND 10-041-19, SAID PARCELS BEING LOCATED IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT TO THE EAST AND SOUTH OF THE CARSON CITY HIGH SCHOOL IN CARSON CITY, NEVADA, FROM AGRICULTURE (A) TO PUBLIC (P) ZONING. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

iii. ACTION ON MPA-90/91-7 REGARDING A MASTER PLAN AMENDMENT REQUEST FOR SIERRA TECHNOLOGY (PROPERTY OWNERS: SIERRA TECHNOLOGY AND EXCHANGE INTERMEDIARY, INC.) TO AMEND THE MASTER PLAN LAND USE DESIGNATION FROM LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL TO INDUSTRIAL ON APPROXIMATELY 78 ACRES OF LAND ZONED SINGLE FAMILY 6000 (SF6000), MOBILE HOME 6000 (MH6000), AND MULTI-FAMILY APARTMENT (MFA) LOCATED SOUTH OF HOT SPRINGS ROAD AND WEST OF LOMPA LANE (APN'S 8-121-45 <PORTION>, 8-131-65 <PORTION>, 8-121-60 <PORTION>; 8-131-49, 8-131-64 <PORTION>, 8-131-65 <PORTION>, AND 8-151-20) - PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVED 5-0-2-0 (2-2676) - Clarification ensued on the units allowed on the property. Shankel Construction and Development President Al Shankel, representing Sierra Technology, used a colored map to explain the concept and responded to the Board's questions on type of businesses which would be located in the park. Mr. Sullivan then explained the reasons the Master Plan was to be changed and the proposed Master Plan Ordinance amendments. Sierra Technology Representative Mike Perry reviewed the steps his firm had taken to reach this point in the process, the firm's desire to maintain the environmentally sensitive sites on the property, commended the staff and City Boards on their cooperation throughout the process, and responded to Board questions on the location, egress/ingress to the freeway, and size of the project. Mr. Shankel also explained for May Ruth French the type of housing facilities proposed on the site. Mr. Sullivan then explained the difference between this application and the master plan amendment and zone change requested by the School District. Mr. Shankel also explained that one of the proposed developers is an allowed use in the area under the current zoning and the desire to retain this firm in the overall concept. He agreed that all of the changes could be submitted at one time, which due to timing had not been elected by his firm. Supervisor Tatro then explained his negative vote on the request due to his feeling that all related items should be addressed at one time rather than piecemeal as any commitments made during the discussion were not binding on the final plan. Supervisor Smith then moved that the Board of Supervisors uphold the Planning Commission's decision to approve MPA-90/91-7 regarding a Master Plan Amendment request for Sierra Technology to amend the Master Plan Land Use designation from low density residential and commercial to industrial on approximately 78 acres of land zoned Single Family 6000, Mobile Home 6,000 located south of Hot Springs Road and west of Lompa Lane, Assessor's Parcel No. 8-121-45, a portion of 47, a portion of 60, 8-131-49, a portion of 64, a portion of 65, and 8-151-20. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Mr. Sullivan and Mr. Cockerill responded to Board questions on what the action would do, the procedures remaining, and feeling that the developer would remain at risk until the zone change is approved.

Motion to uphold the Planning Commission's recommendation of approval was voted by roll call with the following result: Bennett - Yes and expressed her feeling that the project illustrated a significant step forward in the type and quality of industrial and commercial/residential development that the Board had indicated its intent to have, Mr. Perry and his colleagues have presented to us over the last year their seriousness, and her hope that the presentation reviewed today would actualize into something that all would be proud of; Smith - Yes; Tatro - No; Fetic - Yes; and Mayor Teixeira - Yes. Motion carried 4-1.

C. OTHER MATTERS (3-0523)

i. ACTION ON ASH CANYON ACCESS PROJECT AND ALLOCATION OF CITY FUNDS FOR THE PURCHASE OF STATE UNIVERSITY PROPERTY - Mr. Sullivan explained some roadway concerns along the north side of the development and requested continuance of the matter to allow investigation of the matter. Due to the feeling that the majority of the audience had taken the time to hear this matter and due to the concerns and contacts received by the Board, Mayor Teixeira requested discussion on the issues. Western Nevada Community College President Tony Callebro introduced Administrative Services Vice President Bill Davies, who requested the opportunity to speak later in the meeting. (3-0691) May Ruth French expressed her feeling that the Newman development had closed access to the wildlands. She expressed her feeling that the development could not close the access due to its use by the public over a long period of time--prescriptive rights. (3-0755) Jim Newman, general partner of Canyon Properties and owner of Wellington Crest, urged the Board to continue the matter due to the impact it would have on residents in his developments. (3-0791) Robert Laxalt explained the ownership and requested Board action within this millennium. (3-0825) Dick Biggs expressed his feeling that the University was owned and supported by the taxpayers. As the taxpayers had paid for the land originally, they should not have to pay for the property twice. (3-0875) Mr. Davies explained his original involvement in the issues and desire to cooperate with the City as well as the Ash Canyon residents. He wished to proceed in a fashion which would be defensible, fair to all, and reflect pride on the University System. Taxes are not involved with the property which is owned by the University Board of Regents, a body elected by the public. Supervisor Fetic moved that, based on the Community Development Director's request, the Board continue the status report and allocation of City funds for the purchase of State University property until October 3, 1991. Discussion indicated the desire to resolve the issue as soon as possible. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

Mayor Teixeira commended everyone on attending, participating, and accepting the decision to continue the matter.

ii. ACTION ON APPOINTMENT OF MEMBERS TO THE HISTORIC ARCHITECTURE REVIEW COMMITTEE (3-0965) - Neither Mr. Nietz nor Mr. Ling were present. Discussion ensued on the terms/and length of terms served by several of the Members. Supervisor Fetic moved that the Board appoint for a four year term: Angelo DeFelice, Michelle McFadden, John Copoulos, Scott Klette, Richard Wipfli, and Michael Drews. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

Discussion ensued among the Board about having more than one family member serve on Board Committees and notification given to the Applicants. Mr. Joiner explained the residency and occupational requirements for the position. Supervisor Smith moved that the Board of Supervisors appoint Louis A. Ling to a four year term to serve on the Carson City Historic Architecture Review Committee. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

BREAK: At 2:36 p.m. a ten minute recess was declared. When the meeting reconvened at 2:46 p.m. the entire Board was present constituting a quorum.

12. INTERNAL AUDITOR - Gary Kulikowski - ACTION ON INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT OF GOLF COURSE CONTROLS (3-1205) - Mr. Kulikowski reviewed his audit report and responded to Board questions related to establishment of the procedural manual, compliance with the daily reporting requirements, communication problems, the cash registers, responsibility for the working condition of the cash registers, and notification that the registers were malfunctioning. (3-1590) Mr. Berkich explained that procedures were being followed to replace the registers and that he had attended the demonstration as Mr. Sorenson could not. Discussion ensued among the Board and Mr. Kulikowski concerning the information provided in the daily reports, the City Account Clerk's limited knowledge of her duties, her tenure, her other duties, communication problems, training deficiencies, the other issues in his report including suggested corrective measures, cash shortages, and tournament deposits. Mr. Berkich explained the City's cash bank issues and desire to delay implementation of same until a complete evaluation of the proposal has been conducted. Mr. Kulikowski then explained his audit recommendations and discussed with the Board the proposal to have the cash bank be City funds, restricting the cash register "No Sales" and "Voids", the vending machines in the Pro Shop, the detail tapes and "Z" key, desire to upgrade the cash registers including elimination of one register there now, (4-0001) pros and cons of having one cash register assigned to each course versus using "A" or "B" keys, suggested a change vending machine be utilized to eliminate the "No Sales", noted reasons for needing detailed information on the cash registers, need to number and color code gift certificates, and a \$744 shortage which Mr. Bushman repaid and indicated a need for better controls. District Attorney Waters explained his reasons for not seeking a criminal indictment and his support for the change in policy on "No Sales" and "Voids". Mayor Teixeira explained his recommendation that tournament deposits be held and not require staff to take on the burden of reimbursement when cancelled. Mr. Sorenson agreed to continue negotiations on this matter.

BREAK: At 3:40 p.m. a fifteen minute recess was taken. When the meeting reconvened at 3:55 p.m. the entire Board was present constituting a quorum.

Freeman and Williams CPA Roger Williams, Mr. Bushman's CPA, explained his contract with Mr. Bushman to provide an annual reviewed financial statement, his meeting with Messrs. Bushman and Kulikowski on the audit, his support for modifying the procedural manual, his feeling that many of the problems had been at the course for several years, and his feeling that the Account Clerk was no aware of the procedures which he felt was more of a miscommunication problem than a noncompliance issue. He recommended Mr. Bushman retain an accounting related person to assist with the daily verification process. He expressed a willingness to work with the City and the City's Account Clerk in updating the manual. Discussion ensued among the Board, Mr. Williams, and Mr. Sorenson on the Account Clerk's past reporting procedures. Mr. Williams continued to respond to the audit issues. Discussion ensued on Mr. Bushman's position about the utilization of the City's cash register for the pro shop. Supervisor Bennett expressed her feeling that Mr. Bushman should have brought the procedural manual problems to the Board's attention. Mr. Bushman's attorney Mike Pavlakis explained that Mr. Bushman had brought the manual problems to staff's attention during the January meeting. He suggested that the cash register detail include the time of each transaction which could be cross checked to determine what was paid. Communications between Mr. Bushman and staff had not provided him with a copy of the Internal Auditor's report, however, the press had a copy. Mr. Pavlakis explained his dismay at the handling of the missing funds report and feeling that if a crime occurred, the District Attorney should prosecute. He felt it was inappropriate to charge Mr. Bushman at this time by innuendo. He felt the procedure to deposit tournament checks and refund when cancelled was lengthy and would cause the forfeiture of many. The number and coding of cash registers were negotiable. Mr. Kulikowski responded by noting that during the meeting Mr. Bushman had discussed the cash register, however, had not indicated they were inoperable. Claims do not take as long as indicated. The deposit checks have not been lost, destroyed, etc., however, leaving them lying around or tacked to the walls is not felt to be a good business practice. His normal communications with Mr. Bushman in attempting to perform the audit were seriously interrupted by the District Attorney/Sheriff's investigation. He had meant with Messrs. Williams and Bushman one week before the report was issued and in view of their comments had re-evaluated his report in an attempt to remain as fair,

factual, and objective as possible. Clarification indicated Mr. Bushman's records had been returned to him one week ago. Copies were available to him for his reporting records when requested. Supervisor Smith felt that this activity was not good business procedures. Supervisor Feticc responded by noting the contact he had received indicated the public felt it was their money and its whereabouts should be noted. The two registers are being negotiated. He urged Mr. Bushman and staff to continue negotiations. Supervisor Bennett then read her prepared statement into the record expressing her feeling that the Golf Pro was not interested in protecting the public funds and that the Board was not negotiating a contract in the public's best interest, e.g., allowing the Pro's staff to collect and report the funds. She urged the Board to reconsider its direction for staff to negotiate a contract with Mr. Bushman without going through the RFP process first. (A copy of her statement was not given to the Board or Clerk.) Mayor Teixeira noted that Mr. Bushman had only been the Pro for nine months and the contract negotiations was not agendized. If she wished to agendize this matter, she was invited to do so. He then explained to Mr. Pavlakis that a response was not warranted as the issue was not agendized. Mr. Bushman acknowledged that he was in complete agreement with the audit report other than the cash register for the Pro Shop (No. 7). Supervisor Smith moved that the Board accept the audit report as submitted and require management to report back within six months on the status of the implementation of the audit recommendations. Supervisor Feticc seconded the motion. On hearing no further comments on the motion, it was voted by roll call with the following result: Ayes - Tatro, Feticc, Smith, Bennett, and Mayor Teixeira. Nays - None. Motion carried 5-0.

11. C. iii. STATUS REPORT ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT GOALS (4-1650) - Pulled.

14. A. MAYOR TEIXEIRA (4-1645) - Requested direction from the Board concerning the need to prepare editorial comments on the shooting. Consensus indicated the comments should be from the Board.

CITIZEN COMMENTS (4-1725) - John Baker then explained his involvement at the course and noted for the record that the course was owned by the public. The need to have truthful media information was stressed. He urged everyone to begin to work together, issue a reasonable contract, and begin trusting one another. He then explained the counseling he had provided Mr. Bushman. He was pleased with Mr. Bushman's progress and the courses. He recommended the Board take steps to mandate that all future press releases be handled by the City Manager, that all future negotiations on the City cash control system be made by the City Manager, and replace the cash registers posthaste. Mayor Teixeira noted that with the new facility the working conditions had improved. Mr. Baker recommended that accounting procedures be established for processing emergency expenditures as quickly as possible. The current procedures were too cumbersome and lengthy. He then urged the Board to direct staff to abandon its search for alternatives to the Golf Professional's position. Mayor Teixeira thanked Mr. Baker for his dedication and work on the project.

(4-2138) Miles Sherman expressed his feeling that there were residents who were upset with the decision to negotiate another contract with the current Pro. He urged the Board to seek RFP's and accept the best bid.

(4-2239) Steve Sassman expressed his feeling that as it was a City course, it should be managed by the City and the Pro should handle that function.

BREAK: At 4:45 p.m. a recess was declared. When the meeting reconvened at 6 p.m. the entire Board was present constituting a quorum. Staff members present included: City Manager Berkich, Clerk-Recorder Nishikawa, Community Development Director Sullivan, Chief Deputy District Attorney Cockerill, City Engineer Homann, and Recording Secretary McLaughlin.

15. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR - Walt Sullivan.

A. PLANNING COMMISSION REFERRAL - REFERRAL AND APPEAL MATTER - ACTION ON MPA 91/92-4 REGARDING A MASTER PLAN AMENDMENT REQUEST FROM CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY TO AMEND THE MASTER PLAN LAND USE DESIGNATION FROM LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL TO HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL ON APPROXIMATELY .31 ACRES OF LAND ZONED SINGLE FAMILY 6000 (SF6000) LOCATED AT 1211 GOLDFIELD AVENUE (APN 4-121-06) - PLANNING COMMISSION DENIED 3-2-2-0

B. ORDINANCE - FIRST READING - ACTION ON Z-91/92-4 REGARDING A CHANGE OF LAND USE REQUEST FROM CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY TO REZONE APPROXIMATELY .31 ACRES OF LAND FROM SINGLE FAMILY 6000 (SF6000) TO MULTI-FAMILY APARTMENT (MFA) LOCATED AT 1211 GOLDFIELD AVENUE (APN 4-121-06) - PLANNING COMMISSION DENIED 3-2-2-0 (4-2279) - Mr. Sullivan began the explanation by outlining the two courses of action available. He then explained the Planning Commission recommendation, the petition, letters to staff, and staff's recommendation. The parcel is landlocked and the proposal would provide access via the adjacent apartment complex. Resource Concepts Consulting Engineer Bruce Scott explained the adjacent property owners and introduced Attorney Steve Hartman and adjacent property owner Richard Gaston. Reasons the parcel became landlocked, the surrounding property uses, zoning, proposed complex, slides of the area, development alternatives, Mr. Gaston's apartment complex and commitment to the area, contact with the neighbors and resulting commitments were explained by Mr. Scott. He then responded to Board questions on how the parcel became landlocked, Chicago Title's acquisition of the parcel, original easement, the partial easement through Mr. McCabe's property, potential two story homes which are allowed under the current zoning, the commitment to remove the second story window which would overlook Mr. McCabe's property, proposed access through an adjacent apartment complex, the type of low cost homes perceived, the rental agreements used by Mr. Gaston and his apartment tenants, and location of the Como Street apartments.

(5-0340) Jack Bird expressed his opposition due to his concern related to the lack of access should a fire occur and the problems caused by the Como Street tenants. Clarification indicated the Como Street Apartments were not owned by Mr. Gaston. Mayor Teixeira requested all comments be restricted to the site and its adjacent parcels. Mr. Bird continued his remarks by explaining his feeling that continued construction of apartments downgraded the value and marketability of residential homes in the immediate vicinity. (5-0475) Lilac Rider displayed pictures of the access via 1211 Goldfield and explained her concern about the continued encroachment of apartment complex on her residential area. The increased vandalism was cited as a reason for denying the continued increase in transient tenants. Friends have advised her to leave the area. She felt the proposal imposed a hardship on the residential area. (5-0536) Arthur J. "Jack" Lane explained a previous Board denial of apartments at Carson Meadow Drive and Musser Street due to the "clustering" of apartments in the area as a suggested means to deny further encroachment. (5-0570) Lucille Martinez explained the purpose and acquisition of her home, the increased number of homes which originally had for sale signs but now have for rent signs, improvements to her home which she felt had been mandated by the increased vandalism, the need for additional parking for the apartments--suggesting the use of this site, and her opposition to the proposal. (5-0645) Lisa Wicks expressed her concern about the area, noting the tenant turnover rate, need for traffic enforcement, increased vandalism, and decrease in real estate values of the homes to support her opposition. The apartments she was discussing were pointed out on a map for Supervisor Fetic. (5-0691) John Gulino distributed copies of his statement to the Board and Clerk. He then read into the record his opposition to the proposal based on the number of apartments in the area now, increased vandalism in the area, increasing parking demands, and deterioration of the apartment complexes. There is sufficient vacant areas zoned for apartments available throughout the City to indicate the need for additional encroachment is not necessary. He questioned the vacancy factors used to justify the need for additional apartments. He commended GAC Corporation on its management and appearance of its complexes and noted that this is part of the necessity for conducting a rental property business. He felt that his residential property was being negatively impacted by this continued encroachment of apartments. If the request is granted, both he and his

neighbor Peter Spomer felt justified in seeking a change of zoning for their sites. If the request is denied, both he and Mr. Spomer are willing and able to purchase the site from Chicago Title at the value placed on the property by the Assessor. He felt this was a fair offer based on the fact that the title company could seek further monetary relief from the title company performing the original faulty title search. Supervisor Smith commended him on his presentation.

(5-1315) Mr. Scott explained that the Fire Department had reviewed the proposal. Its requirements were included in the conditions and explained. There was no plan to utilize the narrow access from 1211 Goldfield (Mr. McCabe's). Access was planned through Mr. Gaston's apartment complex and its existing driveway from Carson Meadows. He supported the comments that residential homes would find little market. He felt that better management would control several of the neighborhood problems and that the six units would eliminate a fire/crime potential by utilizing it for a six unit apartment complex. Clarification ensued on the offer made by Mr. Gulino and Chicago Title's position as to its value and the issues which the Board was to consider. Comments stressed that the Como Street Apartment Complex problems were being foisted on Mr. Gaston and his complex. Mr. Scott suggested that if the zone change is allowed, a lot line deletion be made which would make the parcel a part of the apartment site and mandate one owner for both. Mr. Gaston's management policies and vacant lot problems were discussed. Conditions which could be placed on the site were noted. Mayor Teixeira elaborated on the Como Street Apartment management policies, its affect on the surrounding area, and his desire to establish a procedure whereby the exploitation of tenants could be addressed. Mr. Gaston's willingness to make the commitments necessary were noted.

Mr. Cockerill outlined the information required in a motion. Supervisor Bennett then moved that the Board of Supervisors uphold the findings of the Planning Commission and approve the--at which point Supervisor Smith interrupted her and explained the Planning Commission denial. Supervisor Bennett then continued her motion to uphold the Community Development recommendation and approve the Master Plan Land Use designation from low density residential to high density residential and a Change of Land Use from Single Family 6000 to Multi-Family Apartments based upon the findings that the proposal is in keeping with Objective 2, Recommendations 1, 3, and 10 in that the proposal is in keeping with the existing mixture of multi-family and single family structures and the subject parcel will be integrated with older existing apartment structures, and the proposed Master Plan Amendment Change of Land Use will meet Objective 3, Recommendations 3 and 6 by providing an urban infill strategy while assuring maximum effective use of current services and facilities, and the proposal meets Recommendation 6 by providing housing opportunities to all income levels since statistics indicate a local apartment vacancy rate is only 3.52 percent in Carson City. Supervisor Feticc seconded the motion. Supervisor Smith suggested that consideration be given to the fact that an individual could purchase the property and automatically receive the change of zoning and not maintain Mr. Gaston's management style. He could not support six additional apartments in an area which is currently overburden with apartments. He could not find the merit and value necessary to support the change. The motion to uphold the Community Development recommendation was voted by roll call with the following result: Tatro - Yes; Smith - No; Feticc - Yes; Bennett - Yes; and Mayor Teixeira - Does not make any difference--Yes. Motion carried 4-1.

BREAK: A five minute recess was taken at 7:10 p.m. When the meeting reconvened at 7:15 p.m., the entire Board was present.

(5-2280) Mr. Sullivan's introduction of the following items was interrupted for clarification of the continuance of Item B - Ordinance on First Reading. This matter will be considered in two weeks.

C. PLANNING COMMISSION REFERRAL - REVIEW AND APPEAL MATTER - ACTION ON MPA-91/92-2 REGARDING A MASTER PLAN AMENDMENT REQUEST FROM HAROLD JACOBSEN, GERALDINE LEDYARD D'ANJOU, MARY KOONTZ, ANTHONY

O'SHAUGHNESSY, AND PHILIP WEINBERT TO AMEND THE MASTER PLAN LAND USE DESIGNATION FROM SUBURBAN RESIDENTIAL TO LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL ON APPROXIMATELY 40.9 ACRES OF LAND ZONED SINGLE FAMILY ONE ACRE (SF1A) LOCATED EAST OF SILVER SAGE DRIVE, NORTH OF KOONTZ LANE, AND WEST OF HILLVIEW DRIVE (APN'S 9-331-05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20;; 9-134-01, 02, 03, 04; 9-133-02, 03, 05, 06, 10, 11; 9-131-02, 03, 04, 05; AND 9-121-15) - PLANNING COMMISSION DENIED 3-1-2-1

D. ORDINANCE - FIRST READING - ACTION ON Z-91/92-2 REGARDING A CHANGE OF LAND USE REQUEST FROM HAROLD JACOBSEN, GERALDINE LEDYARD D'ANJOU, MARY KOONTZ, ANTHONY O'SHAUGHNESSY, AND PHILIP WEINBERT TO REZONE APPROXIMATELY 40.9 ACRES OF LAND FROM SINGLE FAMILY ONE ACRE (SF1A) TO SINGLE FAMILY 21000 (SF21000) LOCATED EAST OF SILVER SAGE DRIVE, NORTH OF KOONTZ LANE, AND WEST OF HILLVIEW DRIVE (APN'S 9-331-05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20; 9-134-01, 02, 03, 04; 9-133-02, 03, 05, 06, 10, 11; 9-131-02, 03, 04, 05; AND 9-121-15) - PLANNING COMMISSION DENIED 3-1-2-1 (5-2248) - Mr. Sullivan's introduction was interrupted for clarification of action on Item B above. (5-2305) Mr. Sullivan continued his introduction by reviewing the ownership and the Commission's recommendation. Discussion ensued among the Board and Mr. Sullivan concerning the need to update the master plan, the mixed usage in that area, the flood zone, the different runoff impacts of SF1A and SF21000, and the current flooding problem. (5-2715) Harold Jacobsen began his presentation and noted the flood maps. Clarification indicated the information he had given to the Planning Commission was not included in the Board's packet. A break was taken to allow staff time to duplicate this information.

BREAK: A 25 minute recess was taken at 7:45 p.m. When the meeting reconvened at 8:10 p.m. the entire Board was present.

Mr. Sullivan explained Mr. Cockerill's advice concerning the parcels which Mr. Jacobsen was appealing, e.g., 9-133-10, 11; 9-132-23, 9-133-05, 06; 9-121-15; 9-131-04, 05; 9-131-02, and 03. Owners of the remaining parcels had not appealed the denial. Mr. Walker had also requested removal from the Zone Change Application of parcels 9-131-04 and 05. Clarification by Mayor Teixeira indicated the parcels under discussion were 9-131-02 and 03, 9-121-15, 9-131-10 and 11, 08 and 09, and 9-133-03, 06, 02, and 05.

Mr. Jacobsen then explained Mr. Weinbert's withdrawal and explained the parcel ownership and locations. Supervisor Smith questioned the legality of removing some of the parcels. Mr. Cockerill explained staff's expansion of Mr. Jacobsen's application and Planning Commission's denial of their submittal. Only individuals appealing the Commission's denial could be included in the Board hearing. Mr. Jacobsen then read his prepared response to the three key objections presented to the Planning Commission. (A copy was given to the Board and Clerk.) The Master Plan was a planning tool which had built in flexibility to meet the changing needs of an area. Reasons for the zoning along Koontz Lane, his last application, the present request, the number of SF21000 lots available for development, original acquisition of the property from BLM, lack of buyers for SF1A lots in that area, agricultural uses on SF1A and SF21000 lots, the current 1/2 and 1 acre mixture found in the area, and how the proposal would provide an orderly transition from the 7,000 square foot lots on the north side of Koontz were explained. Flood mitigation measures would be included in the requirements. A proposed development plan was exhibited and explained. Discussion then ensued among the Board, Messrs. Jacobsen and Sullivan on the proposed development of his 11 lots, the other Applicants' development plans, the sites where animals are housed, and potential CC&R's.

(6-0865) Pam Case was happy to hear that her parcel had been removed from the application. She then explained her reasons for feeling that the City had a lack of SF1A sites available for development, the rural environment in the southeast section of the City and around the proposed site, and her concern that future down zones would occur

under a variance request. (6-0971) Bob Hughes felt the entire application should be reviewed. Originally a buffer had been created along Sinbad Street which was later abandoned by the City. Flooding problems on his property were explained. He felt that the proposed development would further impact his home. City Engineer Homann acknowledged his comments on the flooding problem currently existing at his site and attempts to resolve same. Conditions are placed on the tentative/parcel maps dealing with this problem. His review of the proposal indicated the runoff could be mitigated. Mayor Teixeira and Supervisor Fetic noted the statutory requirements mandating development mitigate its runoff. Supervisor Bennett also stressed Public Works attempts to mitigate the flooding problems at Bigelow now. Mr. Homann noted that funding mechanics for mitigation of all of the drainage problems will be brought to the Board in the near future. (6-1405) Fran McLain expressed her delight that her parcel had been withdrawn from the application. She felt that Mr. Jacobsen was continually confusing the issue and attempting to receive spot zone changes. She felt that if the change is granted it would create a problem for people selling their lots. She felt the first block between Carson Street and Silver Sage was for transition. East of Silver Sage is the residential area and should remain. It was a desirable area of one acre lots with animals. Sinbad was the buffer. The residences along it have CC&R's prohibiting buildings within 30 feet of it, however, construction had encroached into this area. CC&R's are not enforced. (6-1610) Betty Moran was surprised to learn that her property had been removed. She read a letter from Gene and Lois Butler into the record opposing a change. She had purchased her property to retire on not sell for a profit. Supervisor Fetic explained his original plan to oppose the request if the change to Hillview was not modified. (6-1695) Jim Moran was happy to learn that his property had been removed. He felt that Mr. Jacobsen had been denied numerous times. He explained the problems encountered when individuals retire on a one acre parcel where livestock/fowl are kept and the surrounding area is subdivided. He felt this was an encroachment on the original property owners rights. He urged the Board to protect the acreage it has in the City and avoid the slum conditions of Oakland.

Mr. Sullivan explained Charlene Schettler's opposition to the proposal and desire to retain her one acre. Fran McLain gave a letter to the Clerk for the record also. Discussion ensued on the parcel she owned.

(6-1895) Mr. Jacobsen explained Mr. Walker's lack of response on the proposal. He is purportedly opposed to the change. The Planning Commission had included his parcel in the request. Mr. Jacobsen then expressed his willingness to utilize CC&R's. Clarification indicated that the two to six units is a range allowed under the master plan amendment, however, the change in zoning restricted the property to two units per acre. Mr. Jacobsen was willing to accept the two per acre restriction. Supervisor Tatro explained that oral commitments could not be placed against the change and that the parcels could be subdivided and constructed without any further Board involvement. Clarification indicated that he was suggesting that the Board approve only the master plan amendment at this time and that the zoning change should be presented with a subdivision map. Mr. Cockerill counseled that such a denial of the zoning change was illegal. If a resolution of intent is used with the zone change, the map presented during the meeting could be made binding. Mr. Jacobsen expressed his feeling that the only way the area could be developed would be as a unit. Supervisor Fetic noted that as Mr. Jacobsen was not the only individual involved, the final result could not be predicted. Supervisor Tatro elaborated further on his concerns. Mr. Cockerill explained the legal constraints under which the application could be approved/denied. Comments stressed that if the amendment is approved, its ultimate development is not known. Mr. Jacobsen elaborated on his reasons for feeling that his proposal was a legitimate transition from the high density usage to the north. Supervisor Fetic moved that the Board of Supervisors continue until the October 3, 1991, meeting Z-91/92-2, discussion and possible action regarding a change of land use request from Harold Jacobsen, Geraldine Ledyard D'Anjou, Mary Koontz, and Anthony O'Shaughnessy to rezone approximately 40.9 acres of land from Single Family One Acre to Single Family 21,000. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Clarification indicated the acreage involved was only 19.9 acres. Mr. Jacobsen requested clarification of the action he is to seek. Supervisor Fetic explained his concern about the change on the nine acres and its proposed development. Mr. Jacobsen felt that the question was for him to seek the D'Anjou's support and that it should not require his returning to the Planning Commission. Mr. Cockerill explained that if Mr. Jacobsen presents new evidence, Board policy dictated that it be returned to the Planning Commission. Concern was expressed about the October 3 date.

Mr. Berkich suggested that Mr. Jacobsen's parcels be approved, and the balance on October 3. Mr. Cockerill felt this would be spot zoning and should not be allowed. Supervisor Smith expressed his confusion over the issue. Supervisor Feticc explained his concern as all of the applicants were not present and whether they were willing to accept the conditions in a resolution of intent. Mr. Jacobsen then explained that it may be difficult for him to meet the timeframe indicated by the motion. Supervisor Feticc amended the motion to have the matter considered at the second meeting in October 1991. Supervisor Smith continued his second. Mr. Jacobsen explained that he would not be available for that date. Supervisor Bennett felt that Mr. Jacobsen was asking too much. Mr. Jacobsen agreed to a continuance to the first of November. Supervisor Feticc again amended the motion to the first meeting of November 1991. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Motion to continue the application was voted by roll call with the following result: Bennett - Yes; Tatro - No; Feticc - Yes; Smith - Yes; and Mayor Teixeira - Yes. Motion carried 4-1.

Mr. Jacobson agreed to a continuance on the master plan amendment. Supervisor Feticc then moved to continue the master plan amendment until the first meeting of November. Supervisor Smith seconded the motion. Motion was voted by roll call with the following result: Tatro - No; Bennett - Yes; Feticc - Yes; Smith - Yes; and Mayor Teixeira - Yes. Motion carried 4-1.

13. CITY MANAGER REPORTS, RESOLUTIONS, AND BOARD DIRECTIVES

14. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS REPORTS, RESOLUTIONS, AND PROCLAMATIONS -
Pulled.

Supervisor Bennett moved to adjourn. Supervisor Feticc seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0. Mayor Teixeira adjourned the meeting at 9:50 p.m.

The Minutes of the September 19, 1991, Carson City Board of Supervisors minutes

1991. ARE SO APPROVED ON____November_21____,

_____/s/_____
Marv Teixeira, Mayor

ATTEST:

_____/s/_____
Kiyoshi Nishikawa, Clerk-Recorder