

**MINUTES**  
**Regular Meeting**  
**Carson City Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife**  
**Monday, November 1, 2021 ● 4:30 PM**  
**Community Center Bonanza Room**  
**851 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada 89701**

**Board Members**

<b>Chair – Gene Green</b>	<b>Vice Chair – Daniel Thompson</b>
<b>Member – Corbett Fleming</b>	<b>Member – Robert Boehmer</b>
<b>Member – Kirk Stewart</b>	

**Staff**

Danielle Howard, Public Meetings Clerk

**NOTE:** A recording of these proceedings, the board’s agenda materials, and any written comments or documentation provided to the recording secretary during the meeting are public record. These materials are on file in the Clerk-Recorder’s Office, and available for review during regular business hours.

Audio recordings and approved minutes of the Board’s meetings are available on [www.carson.org/minutes](http://www.carson.org/minutes).

**1. CALL TO ORDER AND DETERMINATION OF QUORUM**

(4:35:03) – Chairperson Green called the meeting to order at 4:35 p.m. and read into the record the “Notice to Public,” located on the agenda. Roll was called, and a quorum was present.

Attendee Name	Status	Arrived
Gene Green, Chair	Present	
Daniel Thompson, Vice Chair	Absent	
Corbett Fleming	Absent	
Robert Boehmer	Present	
Kirk Stewart	Present	

**2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

(4:37:00) – Led by Member Boehmer.

**3. PUBLIC COMMENT**

(4:37:30) – Chairperson Green entertained public comments; however, none were forthcoming.

**4. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: APPROVAL OF MINUTES – SEPTEMBER 20, 2021**

(4:43:48) – Chairperson Green introduced the item and entertained a motion.

(4:37:57) – Member Boehmer moved to approve the minutes from the September 20, 2021 meeting as presented. The motion was seconded by Member Stewart and carried 3-0-0.

**5. FOR INFORMATION ONLY: UPDATE AND ACTIVITY REPORT ON THE CARSON CITY URBAN WILDLIFE COMMITTEE.**

(4:38:12) – Chairperson Green introduced the item. Member Boehmer referenced the Urban Wildlife Program month. He also noted the human-deer conflicts in the area and brought to the Board's attention the publication *Methods for Managing Human-Deer Conflicts in Urban, Suburban, and Exurban Areas*, which he wished to review and highlight for a campaign to organize and present to the Carson City Board of Supervisors (BOS) along with deterrents, attractants, and other things that could be considered acceptable to the legislators to assist with an effort to manage and educate the public on urban deer.

**6. FOR INFORMATION ONLY: UPDATE FROM THE CHAIR ON THE SEPTEMBER 24, 2021 NEVADA BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS MEETING.**

(4:58:19) – Chairperson Green reported on the September 25, 2021 Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners meeting, which was held remotely via Zoom.

**7. CONSENT AGENDA:**

*The items listed under the consent agenda are considered routine and may be acted upon by the Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife with one action and without extensive hearing. A member of the advisory board may request an item be pulled for separate discussion and action, but the advisory board chair retains discretion in deciding whether to pull an item from the consent agenda. (For additional information on the items to be considered within this action item, please visit the Nevada Department of Wildlife website at: [http://www.ndow.org/Public\\_Meetings/Com/Agenda/](http://www.ndow.org/Public_Meetings/Com/Agenda/) under agenda and corresponding support material).*

(5:09:38) – Chairperson Green introduced the item and entertained requests to pull items from the Consent Agenda. Member Boehmer wished to pull item 7-D.

(5:10:42) – Member Boehmer moved to accept Consent Agenda items 7-A through 7-C as presented. The motion was seconded by Member Stewart and carried 3-0-0.

**7-A. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO OFFER COMMENT TO THE NEVADA BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS REGARDING THE LITIGATION REPORT.** *At its November 5, 2021 meeting, a Deputy Attorney General will present to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners a report on litigation, as an informational item. The CCCABMW may desire to offer public comment on the litigation.*

**7-B. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO OFFER COMMENT TO THE NEVADA BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS REGARDING THE TAG ALLOCATION AND APPLICATION HUNT COMMITTEE (“TAAHC”) REPORT.** *At its November 5, 2021*

meeting, a report will be provided to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners on the recent TAACH meeting. The CCCABMW may desire to offer public comment on the report.

**7-C. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE NEVADA BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS REGARDING COMMISSION GENERAL REGULATION 499, BONUS POINT ONLY APPLICATION PERIOD.** *At its November 5, 2021 meeting, the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners will review language amending NAC 502 chapters that would decouple the hunt application periods from bonus point purchase periods, remove the requirement for a 7-day bonus point only purchase period, and allows for the creation of a separate period for bonus point purchases to facilitate a more timely draw process and Page 3 potentially provide increased opportunity for customers to obtain a bonus points in the future. The change also outlines the definitions for “awarded” or “successful” relative to obtaining a big game tag.*

**7-D. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE NEVADA BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS REGARDING COMMISSION GENERAL REGULATION 503, LCB FILE NO. RXXX-XX, PREDATOR AND FURBEARING CONTESTS.** *At its November 5, 2021 meeting, the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners will hold a workshop to discuss potential language on predator and fur-bearing contents proposed by Commissioner McNinch. The CCCABMW may desire to offer public comment.*

(5:12:25) – Chairperson Green introduced the item. Member Boehmer believed that the regulation “is so loose that it’s going to cause more problems than it is good” and pointed out that there are laws that already exist to prevent people from trespassing onto other people’s properties. He also noted that nothing has been brought forth to indicate anything detrimental towards any predatory species due to the contests and believed that the law was being proposed based on feelings. Member Boehmer was “absolutely opposed” to the regulation and suggested offering a vote of opposition to the item as well as reminding the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners that the reason for County Advisory Boards (CABs) across the State is to take county stances into consideration. Member Stewart echoed Member Boehmer’s points.

(5:19:03) – Chairperson Green entertained a motion to oppose item 7-D.

**(5:21:16) – Member Boehmer so moved. The motion was seconded by Member Stewart and carried 3-0-0.**

**\*\*\*\*\* END OF CONSENT AGENDA \*\*\*\*\***

**8. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE NEVADA BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS REGARDING ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES, REGULATIONS AND POLICY (“APRP”) COMMITTEE REPORT. COMMISSION POLICY 1, GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR THE COMMISSION-FIRST READING.** *At its November 5, 2021 meeting, the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners will have a first reading of Commission Policy 1, General Guidelines for the Commission, and may take action to*

*repeal or revise the policy. The Commission may advance the policy to a second reading for possible adoption at a future meeting.*

(5:21:50) – Chairperson Green introduced the item and entertained Member discussion. Member Boehmer, based on first reading, was in favor of supporting the policy; however, Member Stewart wished to remain neutral at this time. No formal action was taken on this item.

**9. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE NEVADA BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS REGARDING COMMISSION POLICY 10, HERITAGE TAGS AND VENDORS-FIRST READING.** *At its November 5, 2021 meeting, the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners will have a first reading of Commission Policy 10, Heritage Tags and Vendors, and may take action to repeal or revise the policy. The commission may advance the policy to a second reading for possible adoption at a future meeting.*

(5:24:48) – Chairperson Green introduced the item and entertained Member discussion. Member Boehmer noted that he did not notice anything he opposed regarding the policy, although he also believed that the Board should maintain a neutral position until after a reading and a discussion had taken place as well as a possible review of potential negative factors at the next meeting. No formal action was taken on this item.

**10. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE NEVADA BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS REGARDING MODERNIZATION OF THE STATEWIDE ELK SPECIES MANAGEMENT PLAN.** *At its November 5, 2021 meeting, the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners will discuss and may take action to begin the evaluation and review process of the ESMP. The Nevada Elk Species Management Plan (ESMP) was approved in 1997. Over the last 25 years, the Department has adopted various techniques, technologies, and harvest guidance designed to improve elk management in Nevada and some of these practices are not specifically described within the ESMP. Further, certain portions of the ESMP may be outdated and may require revision.*

(5:26:16) – Chairperson Green introduced the item. Member Boehmer believed that the Board should take a neutral position on this item and on item #11 until more information is made available. Member Stewart agreed with Member Boehmer's suggestion. No formal action was taken on this item.

**11. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE NEVADA BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS REGARDING ADJUSTMENTS TO MEETING INTERVALS FOR COORDINATION AND OVERSIGHT TEAMS RESPONSIBLE FOR OVERSEEING EXISTING ELK MANAGEMENT SUB-PLAN.** *At its November 5, 2021 meeting, the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners will discuss and may take action to hold Coordination Oversight Team ("COT") meetings as requested by team members to address emerging management needs rather than on an annual basis. Language in several local elk management sub-plans*

*stipulate the COT hold annual meetings to discuss various aspects of elk management within the planning area. Achieving a voting quorum during these meetings has been difficult in recent years.*

Discussion on this item took place during item #11, and no formal action was taken on this item.

**12. FOR INFORMATION ONLY: ADVISORY BOARD MEMBER COMMITMENT(S) TO THE NOVEMBER 5 MEETING AND JANUARY 28 AND 29, 2022 MEETING.**

(5:28:50) – Chairperson Green introduced the item and noted that he would attend the November 5, 2021 meeting. He also stated that he would attend the January 28 and 29, 2022 meeting if no one else could. Member Boehmer indicated that he should be able to attend the January 28 and 29, 2022 meeting.

**13. FOR INFORMATION ONLY: FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS**

(5:33:28) – Chairperson Green entertained suggestions for future agenda items. Member Boehmer requested discussion regarding the Urban Wildlife Program, which he wished to discuss as a subtopic of the Update and Activity Report on the Carson City Urban Wildlife Committee.

**14. PUBLIC COMMENT**

(5:37:56) – Chairperson Green entertained public comments; however, none were forthcoming.

**15. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION: ADJOURNMENT**

(5:38:27) – Chairperson Green adjourned the meeting at 5:38 p.m.

The minutes of the November 1, 2021 meeting of the Carson City Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife are approved on this 24 day of January 2022.