

CARSON RIVER ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Minutes of the September 5, 2001 Meeting

Page 1

A regular meeting of the Carson River Advisory Committee was scheduled for 6:00 p.m. on Wednesday, September 5, 2001 in the Community Center Sierra Room, 851 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada.

PRESENT: Chairperson Charles Zimmerman
Vice Chairperson Claire Clift
Dan Greytak
Thomas Hall
David Johnson
Kevin Walsh
Barbara Wright

STAFF: Steve Kastens, Parks and Recreation Director
Vern Krahm, Park Planner
Juan Guzman, Open Space Manager
Kathleen King, Recording Secretary
(CRAC 09/05/01)

NOTE: Unless indicated otherwise, each item was introduced by Chairperson Zimmerman. A tape recording of these proceedings is on file in the Clerk-Recorder's Office and is available for review and inspection during regular business hours.

A. CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL, DETERMINATION OF QUORUM (1-0011) - Chairperson Zimmerman called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. Roll was called; a quorum was present. Member Walsh arrived at 6:02 p.m. Member Johnson arrived at 6:06 p.m. Member Greytak arrived at 6:33 p.m.

B. APPROVAL OF MINUTES - AUGUST 1, 2001 (1-0014) - Vice Chairperson Clift moved to approve the minutes. Member Hall seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0.

C. PUBLIC COMMENT (1-0015) - Jon Nowlin, a resident of Carson City, requested that the Committee agendize a presentation of Carson City's plans to develop the Clear Creek riparian zone. He advised that the Board of Supervisors, during their August 2, 2001 meeting, directed City staff to sell the Fuji Park Fairgrounds. He described the area defined as Fuji Park, and expressed an understanding that the area being offered for development includes all of the Clear Creek riparian zone. A consultant has been retained by the City to guide development of the parcel for sale. Chairperson Zimmerman indicated that the Committee would discuss agendizing this matter later in the meeting. He called for additional public comment; however, none was provided.

D. MODIFICATIONS TO THE AGENDA (1-0064) - None.

E. DISCLOSURES (1-0068) - None.

F. PUBLIC HEARING

F-1. REPORT AND DISCUSSION ONLY REGARDING LAW ENFORCEMENT ISSUES RELATING TO THE CARSON RIVER CANYON (1-0070) - Intelligence Officer Tom Casey, of the Carson City Sheriff's Office, provided information on his areas of responsibility and advised that the

CARSON RIVER ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Minutes of the September 5, 2001 Meeting

Page 2

Sheriff requested him to check out the Carson River Canyon area. He discussed his visits to the area over the last 4-5 years and his findings. The last time he visited, there were six camp sites on private property. Notices to vacate were left at the camp sites; however, there was no subsequent follow up. Officer Casey explained the processes for dispatching the mounted unit and for following up on notices to vacate, which may include towing vehicles or issuing trespassing citations. He noted that issuing trespassing citations requires the property owner to appear in court.

Officer Casey advised of letters received through Member Walsh from John Serpa and Tim Bertagnolli's property manager. The letters indicate that the property owners have not given permission for overnight use of the property. Officer Casey further advised that the Sheriff's Office has received no formal complaint from the property owners with regard to the trespassers. He explained the process for a property owner to formally complain against a trespasser. He emphasized that the Sheriff's Office is willing to assist with complaints against trespassers as long as the property owners are willing to cooperate.

Officer Casey discussed the additional consideration of garbage and unsanitary conditions created and left by the campers. He reiterated that the Sheriff's Office is willing to help in this situation but needs the assistance of the property owners. He noted that a concern exists regarding a perception that the Sheriff's Office is working only for the property owners in the Carson River Canyon area. The Sheriff's Office will follow up on complaints by property owners in that area just as for any other citizen within its jurisdiction. In response to a question, Officer Casey advised that a complaint must be received prior to the Sheriff's Office responding to the area. He explained that responding to the area requires a team of deputies because radio communication is not available. In response to a further question, Officer Casey advised that the Sheriff's Office prefers a complaint by a property owner for each instance rather than a blanket complaint. He clarified that one complaint can be made against several individuals camped on the same property. He explained that if the area is not posted against trespassing, the Sheriff's Office is required to provide notification to the campers that they are trespassing on private property and give them 24 hours to vacate the area. If the area is properly posted, the Sheriff's Office is not required to provide notification. In response to a question, Officer Casey indicated he did not believe a formal complaint would be necessary if the area was properly posted against trespassing. In response to a further question, he advised that the formal complaint would have to be made by the property owner as they would be required to appear in court. In response to a further question, he indicated that the letters received from Mr. Serpa and Mr. Bertagnolli do not state that they or their representatives would be willing to appear in court. He discussed the problems created by property owners who are not willing to appear in court after making formal complaints against trespassers.

Member Walsh expressed appreciation for Officer Casey's presentation. He advised that the area is not properly posted against trespassing at this time and that signs posted in the past have been destroyed. He indicated he has established a good rapport with the property owners and that they have been cooperative. He inquired as to illegal activities which take place in the area other than camping. Officer Casey discussed under-age drinking, target shooting, etc. and reiterated that this is the reason for not sending deputies to the area alone. He indicated that the Sheriff's Office responds to every call to the area; however, the manpower necessary for random patrol is not available. He described problems with radio communication in the area.

Chairperson Zimmerman thanked Officer Casey for his presentation. He inquired as to the Committee's and staff's interest in pursuing this issue further. Mr. Kastens indicated that the Committee can encourage and promote no camping along the River in accordance with the Carson River Master Plan. He noted that

CARSON RIVER ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Minutes of the September 5, 2001 Meeting

Page 3

the private property owners seem to be aware of the no camping policy as evidenced by the letters received from Mr. Serpa and Mr. Bertagnolli. He discussed letters received from property owners along the Carson River from Deer Run Road to Lloyd's Bridge, and subsequent clean up of the area. He suggested that Member Walsh continue to work with the three property owners to determine their commitment to follow through on trespassing complaints. The fact that the Carson River Master Plan calls for no camping along the River is adequate evidence of the Committee's support. Member Walsh concurred with Mr. Kastens' comments and suggested that the Committee could endorse his continued efforts to work with the property owners. Officer Casey committed the assistance of the Sheriff's Office, but indicated that advance notice of meetings with the property owners would be necessary in order to reallocate staff. Member Johnson requested Member Walsh to draft a letter encouraging the property owners in their efforts for review by the Committee at the next meeting. Officer Casey suggested having the District Attorney's staff review the letter as well. Vice Chairperson Clift pointed out that the purview of the Committee includes assisting private property owners along the River. She suggested that the Committee may be able to help facilitate installing signage. Chairperson Zimmerman thanked Officer Casey.

F-2. VIDEO PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION ONLY REGARDING THE CARSON WATER SUBCONSERVANCY DISTRICT'S ACTIVITIES AND ISSUES ALONG THE ENTIRE CARSON RIVER WATERSHED (1-0401) - Edwin James, General Manager of the Carson Water Subconservancy District ("CWSD"), provided an overview of the video prior to presenting it. [Member Greytak arrived at 6:33 p.m.] Mr. James advised that the CWSD entered into a Joint Powers Agreement with Alpine County, California in June to more formally work together. He noted that the CWSD is one of the first bi-state organizations developed entirely at the local level, and now represents 98% of the watershed.

In response to a question, Mr. James advised that the CWSD took the position to not support the wild and scenic designation for the upper portion of the River. He indicated that the U.S. Forest Service considered including the designation as part of its recent plan amendment, and the CWSD submitted letters of concern. Mr. James explained that a wild and scenic designation for the upper portion of the River could adversely affect downstream users and the environment. Discussions have taken place regarding in-stream flows, the downstream water supply, and considerations to move water as part of the management plan. The CWSD has requested that the U.S. Forest Service postpone implementing the designation until additional discussions can take place with the watershed groups. He acknowledged that the State of California has designated the east fork of the Carson River as wild and scenic; however, there has been no federal designation. Chairperson Zimmerman commended Mr. James on the video presentation. Mr. James expressed appreciation for the Committee's efforts.

F-3. PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION ONLY REGARDING THE CARSON RIVER COALITION, EDUCATION AND OUTREACH WORKING GROUP'S ACTIVITIES AND FUTURE PROJECTS ALONG THE CARSON RIVER (1-0700) - Steve Lewis, an educator with the University of Nevada Cooperative Extension in Douglas County and Chair of the Education Outreach Working Group for the Carson River Coalition, expressed appreciation for the Committee's involvement in the Carson River Coalition. He referred to Mr. James' video presentation and provided information regarding the purpose of the Carson River Coalition. He advised that the overall goals of the Education Outreach Working Group are to educate residents to recognize and respect their impact on the Carson River watershed, to foster a desire to learn more about the Carson River, and to adopt best management practices.

CARSON RIVER ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Minutes of the September 5, 2001 Meeting

Page 4

The needs giving rise to these goals include impaired waters, a growing population, mine tailings, river channelization, deforestation, open space river access, and wildfire.

Mr. Lewis discussed three ongoing projects of the Education Outreach Group: Approval and adoption of the guiding principles referred to in the video presentation throughout the entire watershed; development of a poster depicting an artistic rendition of the watershed targeted to fifth through seventh grade students; and development of a chronicle of the various events, projects, and activities which have taken place within the watershed. The purpose of the ongoing projects is to provide a better appreciation for the watershed.

Vice Chairperson Clift commended Mr. Lewis on the poster project. Chairperson Zimmerman inquired as to whether any of the Committee members would be interested in participating in the Carson River Coalition Education Outreach Group meetings. Mr. Lewis thanked Mr. Guzman, Paul Pugsley, Joanne Skelly, and other citizens present for their participation in the Carson River Coalition. In response to a question regarding Carson City citizens who participate in the working group, Mr. Lewis listed Joanne Skelly, of the Cooperative Extension; Mary Kay Reedle, of the Nevada Department of Environmental Protection; Linda Conlan; Craig Rock; and Meg Getty, the Coordinator of the Carson River Coalition. Chairperson Zimmerman thanked Mr. Lewis for his presentation.

F-4. PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION ONLY REGARDING BLM'S APPROVED ACQUISITION OF RIVER PROPERTIES USING FEDERAL FUNDS FROM THE SOUTHERN NEVADA PUBLIC LANDS MANAGEMENT ACT, PHASE II (1-0875) - Mr. Krahn provided background information on this item. Mr. Guzman reviewed the staff report, and advised that, as funding is released, more designated priorities will be actively pursued and acquired. He indicated that discussions with the property owners may begin as early as November 2001. He referred to the properties listed on the Nominated Properties Land Acquisition Category table included in the agenda materials, pointed them out on a displayed map, and read the list of property owners into the record.

F-5. DISCUSSION AND ACTION REGARDING THE PRIORITIZATION OF PROPERTIES TO BE NOMINATED BY THE OPEN SPACE ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND THE CARSON RIVER ADVISORY COMMITTEE AS PART OF THE SOUTHERN NEVADA PUBLIC LANDS MANAGEMENT ACT, PHASE III (1-0945) - Mr. Guzman referred to a displayed map and reviewed the staff report. He advised that the first nomination is the property presently owned by Carson City, purchased from Al Bernhard and located on Carson River Road. The parcel consists of 61 acres, including 31 recorded $\frac{1}{2}$ to 1-acre parcels. Mr. Guzman commented that the values added to the Open Space Program primarily include preservation of views, additional support of the lands used at the Silver Saddle Ranch and the recreation and outdoor programs sponsored by the Bureau of Land Management ("BLM") at Prison Hill. Mr. Guzman noted that Assistant Fire Chief Steve Mihelic advised that acquisition of the property is a benefit in terms of fire protection. In response to a question, Mr. Guzman advised that the area is anticipated to revegetate and, therefore, there are no plans to rehabilitate the portion of the parcel which was graded in preparation for development. He acknowledged that Carson City is the present owner of the property, and that it is being nominated in order that the BLM will be able to purchase it.

In response to a question, Mr. Guzman provided information on the history and issues relating to the Carson River Canyon area. He advised that the parcels owned by Mr. Serpa, Mr. Bently, and Mr. Bertagnolli have been considered for exchange with the BLM; however, the process is currently on hold due to the

CARSON RIVER ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Minutes of the September 5, 2001 Meeting

Page 5

possibility of mercury contamination. The BLM has requested the property owners to conduct the necessary environmental studies. Mr. Guzman advised that Mr. Serpa has submitted studies; however, BLM representatives were not satisfied with them. Mr. Guzman is attempting to mediate the situation and determine what can be done to move the process forward.

In response to a question regarding the 61-acre parcel, Mr. Guzman explained the water tank requirement, and advised that the location has been moved, subject to the permitting process by the BLM, to a point north, closer to the State Prison lands boundary. Additional road and pipe length will be required; however, Mr. Bernhard has agreed to construct the water tank in this location if the BLM will give permission. Paul Pugsley, of the Carson Valley Conservation District (“CVCD”), inquired as to whether the parcel will be managed by the BLM as part of the Prison Hill Management Area or incorporated into the Silver Saddle Management Area. Mr. Guzman anticipates that the parcel will be part of BLM’s recreation and outdoor management system, but not necessarily part of the Silver Saddle Ranch.

Mr. Guzman reviewed the details of the parcels owned by Jerry Howard and Donald Dombrowski as outlined in the staff report. He pointed out the subject parcels on the displayed map in addition to the Wrights’ property. He noted that the scenic value of the parcels is “incredible” and that the character of the land is very wild. A dispute exists with regard to ownership of the River bank. Mr. Howard is under the impression that he will be selling an island and the River bank to the City if nomination is approved. Mr. Guzman advised that the parcel does not appear to have legal access as it is bordered entirely by BLM land. He explained that the phase 2 nominations concentrated on lands adjacent to the Silver Saddle Ranch. Since that mission has been nearly completed, with the exception of the Andersen parcels, staff decided to submit the Howard/Dombrowski properties to the Open Space Advisory Committee (“OSAC”). Mr. Howard is also pursuing a trade with the BLM on a parallel track. Staff and OSAC members have assured him that acquisition through the Southern Nevada Public Lands Management Act (“SNPLMA”) nominations will occur much more quickly.

Mr. Guzman reviewed the recommended action as outlined in the staff report. He advised that Chuck Wright testified before the OSAC regarding the concern that access to their property is from the south via a road which they helped to construct and pay the BLM to use. The Wrights are not willing to continue to pay for use of the road if it is to become public. In response to a question, Member Wright explained that they had the road surveyed, obtained permission from the BLM to use the land, and pay an annual fee to use it. She further explained that the State Department of Prisons has granted permission to use a portion of the road, and that the Wrights have granted a portion of their right-of-way to Bently. She provided background information on the history of their parcel. Mr. Guzman acknowledged that there is currently no legal access to the Howard/Dombrowski parcels. He explained how he accessed the site. In response to a question, Mr. Guzman advised that neither the BLM nor the City have come to any decision regarding public access through the Wrights’ property. Member Wright recused herself from discussion and action with regard to this matter. Member Johnson moved to accept and endorse the recommendation to acquire these parcels. Motion died for lack of a second.

Member Hall inquired as to whether any compensation has been offered to the Wrights with regard to the use of their road. Mr. Guzman indicated that he was not prepared to discuss this matter; however, common sense would suggest that adjustments will have to be made in terms of the road’s uses. He suggested that because the area is so remote, the BLM may choose not to provide for access. Member Hall expressed a concern over the Wrights being required to continue to pay for use of the road if it is open to the public.

CARSON RIVER ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Minutes of the September 5, 2001 Meeting

Page 6

Mr. Guzman acknowledged that the OSAC took action to approve nomination of the three parcels. He further acknowledged that the parcels will be forwarded for nomination whether the Committee takes action or not. He clarified that he would be bound to disclose the Committee's denial of any of the parcels. In response to a further question, Mr. Guzman indicated that the value of the Howard/Dombrowski parcels is dependent upon whether access is available. He suggested that the value could range from as low as \$800 per acre to as high as \$3500 per acre. In response to a further question, Mr. Guzman advised that Mr. Howard's property is not currently for sale. He explained Mr. Howard's proposal to trade his land for 80 acres of BLM land located in Goni Canyon.

Vice Chairperson Clift commented that the Howard/Dombrowski properties seem to have no benefit to Carson City. Mr. Guzman discussed the concept of urgency in the context of the nomination process and the requirements for dividing the Howard property into five-acre parcels. He indicated that development of the Howard property is possible but not very likely. Member Wright pointed out that 51 acres cannot be divided into five-acre parcels. Mr. Guzman explained the process for dividing land into parcels. He advised that there is no urgency attached to the Howard property. It "makes sense" because of access to the River, the views, and the wildlife habitat. In response to a question, Mr. Guzman provided an overview of Mr. Howard's presentation to the OSAC. He clarified Mr. Howard's preference to accomplish a trade with the BLM, and the OSAC's recommendation for submitting the property to the SNPLMA nomination process. Mr. Howard is pursuing both options. Mr. Guzman acknowledged that the proposed trade would be for land in the Goni Canyon area which is currently open space. In response to a question, Mr. Guzman advised that the land in the Goni Canyon area has been designated as tradable because it is adjacent to a gravel pit. In response to a further question, he advised that the Howard/Dombrowski properties total approximately 118 acres. He explained that appraisals are not done on nominated properties until closer to the time of purchase. He acknowledged that once the appraisals are done, they are available for public review. He further acknowledged that the estimate of value is based on the value of the properties already in the nomination process. In response to a question, he explained the appraisal process.

Member Johnson moved that the Committee accept staff's proposal for the purchase of the Bernhard parcel, the Howard parcel, and the Dombrowski parcel. Vice Chairperson Clift seconded the motion. Mr. Pugsley advised of a recent visit to the Howard/Dombrowski parcels and that this particular area has one of the finest young galleries of cottonwood trees anywhere on the River. He expressed the opinion that the ability to protect the two parcels is very important, and encouraged the Committee to do so. He expressed a concern that although a multi-family development may not be imminent, someone may wish to build a "retirement castle" on the property. He discussed the recent occurrences of this in the Carson Valley. He advised of the potential for access to the two parcels similar to that which was arranged by the Wrights through the BLM. He further advised that the 30-acre parcel owned by Mr. Dombrowski is on the Snyder Road side and would be one of the few public parcels in that particular stretch. He reiterated his request that the Committee consider the two parcels specifically because of the unique, riparian corridor represented. Chairperson Zimmerman called for a vote on the pending motion with the following result: **3-1-3, Members Wright, Greytak and Chairperson Zimmerman abstaining.** Discussion took place with regard to the outcome of the motion. Consensus of the Committee members and staff present was that the motion had failed. The District Attorney's office later determined that the motion passed. Mr. Krahn suggested separate, individual motions on the three parcels since the controversy seemed to be over the Howard/Dombrowski properties. **Member Johnson moved that the Committee approve the recommendation for purchase of the parcel designated as the Bernhard property. Member Wright seconded the motion. Motion carried 7-0.**

CARSON RIVER ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Minutes of the September 5, 2001 Meeting

Page 7

Mr. Guzman solicited comments regarding the concerns over the Howard/Dombrowski property. Member Hall expressed a concern regarding how the property will be publicly accessed. Mr. Guzman advised that he knows of no plans to create an attraction and, therefore, the land would simply be available to the public. Member Johnson suggested that if there is currently no problem with an influx of use, there probably will be no significant change. He indicated that there is no signage indicating the property is private. He commented that the critical issue with both parcels is preservation of the riparian zone. He concurred with Mr. Pugsley's earlier concerns regarding development possibilities. Chairperson Zimmerman commented that when the Committee reviewed properties with the OSAC last year, a tour was conducted. He suggested that a tour of the properties may provide additional, helpful information. In response to a question, Mr. Guzman advised that applications for the SNPLMA nomination process are due by the end of September. Member Greytak indicated that he had not received an agenda packet and had no information regarding the parcels. Member Johnson inquired as to the possibility of scheduling a tour of the parcels and a special meeting to take action prior to the end of September. Mr. Guzman agreed to discuss scheduling a special meeting to tour the property and subsequently take action. In response to a question, Mr. Guzman advised that the SNPLMA phase 4 process will begin in approximately a year. Vice Chairperson Clift suggested waiting a year. Mr. Guzman advised that an additional alternative is to nominate the property with an indication that the Committee did not take action on these parcels. Chairperson Zimmerman thanked Mr. Guzman.

(1-2213) Mr. Pugsley advised that he has permission to access the Dombrowski property if the Committee members are interested in visiting it. Discussion took place with regard to scheduling a field trip.

F-6. DISCUSSION AND ACTION REGARDING SELECTING A DATE/TIME FOR THE ANNUAL JOINT MEETING BETWEEN THE OPEN SPACE ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND THE CARSON RIVER ADVISORY COMMITTEE (1-1788) - Mr. Krahn discussed the purpose for the annual meeting with the OSAC, and reviewed the August 30, 2001 memo included in the agenda materials. Chairperson Zimmerman referred to Mr. Nowlin's request to agendize the Clear Creek riparian area. Discussion took place regarding the October meeting date, and consensus of the Committee was to schedule the joint meeting for Monday, November 5th.

F-7. DISCUSSION ONLY REGARDING NATIONAL PUBLIC LANDS DAY AND CARSON RIVER CLEAN UP ON SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2001, AND PRESENTATION OF COMMITTEE'S AWARDS (1-1958) - Chris Miller, of the BLM, displayed a poster and discussed the focus of this year's clean up project. The major partners for the event will be the Kiwanis Club and the Carson City Parks and Recreation Department. Major projects include willow tree planting, boulder placement, tree wrapping, and litter collection. Smaller projects will include cleaning out the existing wood duck boxes, trail construction, and painting one historic building. In response to a question, Ms. Miller advised that registration is scheduled from 8:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. Other partners include the Natural Resource Conservation Service, the Boy Scouts of America, the U.S. Forest Service, the Friends of the Silver Saddle Ranch, and the Pine Nut Mountains Trails Association. Ms. Miller invited the public to attend and provided the telephone number for the BLM.

Mr. Krahn advised that he will not be available on Saturday, September 15th, and requested that a Committee representative plan to attend to present the Carson River awards. Discussion took place regarding the timing for presentation of the awards. Mr. Krahn acknowledged that the award recipients have been invited to attend. Ms. Miller gave directions to Silver Saddle Ranch, and Member Walsh

CARSON RIVER ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Minutes of the September 5, 2001 Meeting

Page 8

encouraged the public to attend. In response to a question, Ms. Miller listed the items participants should consider bringing with them. Chairperson Zimmerman thanked Ms. Miller.

F-8. DISCUSSION ONLY REGARDING AN ACTIVITY REPORT ON THE UPPER CARSON RIVER MANAGEMENT PLAN (1-2108) - Mr. Pugsley reported that, in conjunction with Supervisor Robin Williamson and Kelly Kite of Douglas County, using funding available from the CWS, the CVCD is in the process of hiring an intern. Fifty percent of the intern's time will be dedicated to forming a watershed group for Clear Creek. Mr. Pugsley noted that Clear Creek has never been considered as part of the Committee's purview and is not included in the Carson River Master Plan. He suggested that the Committee may want to consider incorporating it into the master plan.

Mr. Pugsley advised that the Mexican Dam Users Association has been working on an upstream concrete facing of the structure to try to eliminate some of the water which has been seeping through. He indicated that it is by no means a complete repair.

Mr. Pugsley reported that the BLM has offered a funding source for a report to be done by the Desert Research Institute. The focus of the report will be the levels of the River as they change during the course of a year as well as changes from year to year, specifically considering the impact of flows due to agricultural diversions. The perspective is to then use those flows to determine the ability to restore the riparian corridor. This approach is very similar to that used by The Nature Conservancy with regard to reviewing watersheds and is focused on whether or not there is enough water in the River to support cottonwood regeneration, willow growth, and a reasonable channel formation. Mr. Pugsley has argued that a sediment transport model would be more beneficial for the River, and commented that the proposed model will not particularly benefit his efforts or those of the CVCD in the streambank restoration projects previously done on the River. Chairperson Zimmerman thanked Mr. Pugsley for his report.

F-9. DISCUSSION ONLY ON THE COMPLETION OF THE CARSON RIVER PARK, PHASE 1B, SITE IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (1-2235) - Mr. Krahn advised that this project is complete and that it "looks very nice." Member Johnson commented that the contractor did a very nice job. Mr. Krahn agreed and commented that the contractor was very easy to work with and was very generous to provide some additional items not specifically identified in the plans. Member Johnson commended the contractor on his willingness to do "above and beyond" what was expected.

F-10. DISCUSSION ONLY REGARDING FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS FOR THE CARSON RIVER ADVISORY COMMITTEE (1-2270) - Mr. Krahn advised that the Clear Creek issue can be agendized once information is available to present to the Committee. Vice Chairperson Clift suggested that staff could present background information on the matter. Member Johnson agreed and commented that at least a status report would be helpful. Mr. Krahn indicated that he would discuss the matter with Mr. Kastens, and that the entire issue will involve Development Services Director Andrew Burnham and other City staff. Supervisor Williamson provided background information on development of the watershed group mentioned by Mr. Pugsley. She advised that the watershed group will be composed of Douglas County, Carson City, Nevada Department of Transportation, Washoe Tribe, and U.S. Forest Service representatives. She pointed out that this Committee's purview will need to be expanded to include Clear Creek. Member Johnson reiterated his request that staff agendize an item to discuss this matter.

CARSON RIVER ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Minutes of the September 5, 2001 Meeting

Page 9

Chairperson Zimmerman reviewed the items to be agendized, as follows: The purview of the Carson River Advisory Committee and a presentation regarding the status of Clear Creek. Mr. Krahn acknowledged that the agenda for the next meeting will be developed in the usual manner. Vice Chairperson Clift requested that staff agendize a presentation regarding the history and status of the Riverview Park wetlands.

G. ADJOURNMENT (1-2525) - Member Johnson moved to adjourn the meeting. Vice Chairperson Clift seconded the motion. Motion carried 7-0.

The Minutes of the September 5, 2001 meeting of the Carson River Advisory Committee are so approved this 7th day of November, 2001.

CHARLES ZIMMERMAN, Chairperson